

Under the Sergei Magnitsky Rule of Law Accountability Act. Submission of alleged candidates

Categories defined by the Sergei Magnitsky Rule of Law Accountability Act:

- (A) is responsible for the detention, abuse, or death of Sergei Magnitsky;
- (B) participated in efforts to conceal the legal liability for the detention, abuse, or death of Sergei Magnitsky;
- (C) benefitted financially from the detention, abuse, or death of Sergei Magnitsky;
- (D) was involved in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Sergei Magnitsky

Name	Date of birth	Title	Organization	Category	Alleged role	Alleged details	Extract from available material	Date of material	Item	Link
GENERAL PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE										
Michail Alexandrov		Aid to General Prosecutor for special matters Previously: - Deputy Head of Major Cases Oversight Division, GPO; - During Dec 2013- Dec 2014, Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigative Department - Head of the Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	GPO	A + B	In his current senior role at the General Prosecutor's Office, directly reporting to General Prosecutor CHAIKA, Prosecutor ALEXANDROV is personally and centrally involved in the oversight of the criminal cases advancing the false account of the fraudulent tax refund scheme and posthumously and falsely naming Magnitsky as its "perpetrator".			15-Mar-13	Letter to Mr ALEXANDROV, then Head of the Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division at the Interior Ministry, from Mr ALYSHEV, Deputy Head of the Investigative Committee's Main Investigations Division, requesting access to case No 678540, which posthumously advances the false account of Mr Magnitsky being a perpetrator of the \$230 Million Fraud.	D2201.pdf
					In his previous senior position with the Interior Ministry, in 2013-2014, Mr ALEXANDROV was also in charge of the advancement of the same false account of the fraudulent tax refund scheme (under the Interior Ministry case No 678540), and authorized the false posthumous naming of Sergei Magnitsky as a perpetrator of the \$230 Million Fraud – the crime which Magnitsky had in fact uncovered.	The SDN PAVLOV-SDN URZHUMTSEV WhatsApp correspondence from February 2013 shows further evidence of collusion and abuse of office involving Mr ALEXANDROV and SDNs in order to create a false account exonerating SDNs from liability. This evidence has formed the basis for the 27 March 2018 complaint filed by Mr. Magnitsky's mother with the Russian Investigative Committee in respect of Mr ALEXANDROV.		25-Feb-14	Letter by Mr ALEXANDROV, then at Interior Ministry, to Mr YUDIN, Head of Major Cases Oversight Division at GPO, concerning case No 678540.	D2202.pdf
								16-Jun-16	Letter by Mr. ALEXANDROV to Tverskoi District Court authorizing his subordinate, Prosecutor KULIKOV, to participate in the proceeding under case No 678540	D2203.pdf
								27-Mar-18	Application by Ms. Magnitskaya to investigate Mr. ALEXANDROV for abuse of office in light of PAVLOV-URZHUMTSEV WhatsApp evidence of collusion and abuse of office	D2204.pdf

Alexander Emanuilovich Buksman	15-Sep-51	First Deputy Prosecutor	GPO	B	In his position as First Deputy General Prosecutor, endorsed decisions by Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin, refused the complaint on behalf of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to cease the posthumous allegation falsely naming Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft (Interior Ministry case No 678540)		A.E. Buksman: "There are no grounds to cancel the order of Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia [Grin] and the decree to open a criminal case [No 678540]."	08-Aug-12	Decree by Mr. Buksman refusing to cancel the posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky for an alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft	D1012.pdf
		Acting General Prosecutor of Russia			In his position as acting General Prosecutor of Russia, refused to remove Deputy General Prosecutor Grin on the grounds of his conflict of interest from the Magnitsky posthumous case (No 679591)	The SDN PAVLOV-SDN URZHUMTSEV WhatsApp correspondence from February 2013 shows further evidence of collusion and abuse of office involving Mr ALEXANDROV and SDNs in order to create a false account exonerating SDNs from liability. This evidence has formed the basis for the 27 March 2018 complaint filed by Mr. Magnitsky's mother with the Russian Investigative Committee in respect of Mr ALEXANDROV.	A.E. Buksman: "Statements..about the partiality of V.Y. Grin are not supported by any data and are refuted by the materials of the criminal case...No showing of personal, direct or indirect interest, in the outcome of this criminal case has been expressed."	17-Nov-12	Decree by Mr. Buksman refusing to remove prosecutor V.Y. Grin from the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magntisky	D1013.pdf
Alexander Konstantinovich Bumazhkin	21-Jun-57	Senior Aid to General Prosecutor	GPO	D	In his oversight role at the General Prosecutor's Office, did not compel proper investigation of the criminal conspiracy involved in the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and subsequent theft of \$230 million, allowing the cover up of the fraud to continue	In the letter to Hermitage Fund's lawyer, Mr Bumazhkin informed that three persons in whose name Hermitage Fund's companies were fraudulently re-registered - Markelov, Kurochkin and Khlebnikov - were released from criminal prosecution.	A.K. Bumazhkin: "On 11 June 2008, the criminal prosecution of Markelov, Kurochkin and Khlebnikov was discontinued...The results are under control of the General Prosecutor's Office."	18-Aug-08	Letter by Mr Bumazhkin on the release from prosecution of the false directors of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1024.pdf
Vladimir Petrovich Yudin	03-May-50	Senior Aid to General Prosecutor, Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases (since September 2011)	GPO	B	In his position as head of department of General Prosecutor's Office, Mr Yudin attempted to deliver to the Magnitsky family an accusation conclusion three years after his death, refused the complaint against another posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky (under Interior Ministry Case No 678540) in relation to the \$230 million theft, and refused complaints against the non-disclosure to the Magnitsky family of prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the complaint about the non-disclosure of prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky to his mother	V.P.Yudin: "Your application about the improper consideration of previously submitted requests have been considered...Replies have been motivated, justified, given within the due time and by authorised personnel."	05-Mar-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of the complaint against the non-disclosure of prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky to his mother	D1027.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the complaint against the failure to disclose to Mr Magnitsky's mother the prosecutorial oversight files concerning Mr Magnitsky's treatment in custody, on the ground that other persons filed documents at the time	V.P. Yudin: ""You have submitted no grounds to disclose to you the applications [concerning Mr Magnitsky] that you and N.N. Magnitskaya did not author. General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has no consent from other parties to disclose their statements to you."	19-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of the request for information on the prosecutorial consideration of complaints concerning Mr Magnitsky to his mother	D1025.pdf

					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused to disclose the information about the group of prosecutors overseeing the cases concerning Mr Magnitsky as not concerning her rights and interests, and refused to refute the information published posthumously by the General Prosecutor's Office's website about an alleged complicity of Mr Magnitsky in crimes	V.P. Yudin: "Your application about the improper consideration of previously submitted requests has been checked. It has been determined that the information published by the General Prosecutor's Office is based on the results of the investigation of the criminal case about Mr Magnitsky committing tax crimes. It had been reported that there were no grounds to provide to Mrs N.N. Magnitskaya the decree of the General Prosecutor of Russia to form a group of prosecutors ensuring the oversight over the investigation of respective criminal cases because this act does not concern the rights and lawful interests of the said person, but organises the work of employees of the agency."	25-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin to cease the posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky and to release to the Magnitsky mother the names of prosecutors overseeing cases concerning her son	D1686.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the request for information on how many posthumous cases had been reopened by the Prosecutor's Office after the 14 July 2011 ruling of the Constitutional Court of Russia, similar to the reopening of the case in relation to Mr Magnitsky a year and a half after his death	V.P. Yudin: "Your application seeking to disclose the number of procedural decisions adopted by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation in accordance with Article 214 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia...has been considered. The probe has determined that the request is not related to the protection of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya under a criminal case, because it does not concern the circumstances of a crime and an appeal of any specific procedural decision that violates the rights of said person."	05-Jul-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of request for information on how many posthumous proceedings were initiated by the General Prosecutor's Office	D1028.pdf
					In his reply to the request for information on the prosecutorial consideration of reports about the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies, Mr Yudin refused the request, and referred instead to the court files that did not contain records of prosecutorial decisions on the reports	V.P. Yudin: "During a probe, it was determined, that the application from the said person [Attorney E.Khairtudinov] previously submitted to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation, concerned circumstances that had been investigated by the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia under the criminal case No 152979. An indictment was approved in this case on 18.02.2011 [in relation to Khlebnikov] following which it was sent to Tverskoi District Court of Moscow where on 22.03.2011 it was considered on substance. In light of the above, you have the right to apply to the mentioned court and seek access to the available materials in case of lawful grounds."	17-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of application seeking access to prosecutorial files concerning the investigation of the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1033.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the complaint challenging the order by Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin, which falsely named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft (under Interior Ministry case No 678540) which he had uncovered	V.P. Yudin: "Your application about the disagreement with the opening of a criminal case No 678540 and the order by deputy general prosecutor of Russia [Grin] about the elimination of violations of criminal procedural legislation has been considered. As part of the probe, it has been determined that previously on instruction from General Prosecutor of Russia his first deputy [Buksman] had already considered your request with similar arguments. The lawfulness of the opening of the criminal case had been considered by Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow on 9 December 2011 and the Moscow City Court on 27 February 2012. The arguments concerning the order by deputy general prosecutor of Russia [Grin] had been determined to be baseless...In relation to your request about the existence of untrue data in the said procedural decisions, it has been explained to you on several occasions that they are based on investigation materials. In case of the disagreement with their conclusions, you have the right in accordance with the legal position of the Constitutional Court of Russia...to demand for the criminal case to be sent to court for the comprehensive and objective examination."	29-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of the complaint against the posthumous allegation targeting Mr Magnitsky concerning the \$230 million theft	D1026.pdf

					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin attempted to deliver a notice of accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky three years after his death	V.P.Yudin: "In accordance with Article 222 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, I inform you that Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation has approved an accusation conclusion under the criminal case No 679591 in relation to W.F. Browder, accused of committing crimes under p. a,b of p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF, p. a,b, p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF, and also in relation to S.L. Magnitsky for the purpose of his possible rehabilitation, who was accused under p. 3 and 5 of Article 33, p. a, b of p.2 of Article 199, p.3 and 5 of Article 33, p. a,b of p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF. ...the said criminal case has been sent to court for consideration on substance to the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow."	29-Nov-12	Letter by Mr Yudin announcing delivery of accusaton conclusion to Mr Magnitsky after his death	D1029.pdf
				D	Mr Yudin in his previous capacity, as Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow, approved the decree to commence a fabricated criminal case concerning Kameya used to seize corporate documents for the criminal conspiracy to steal Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 million taxes they had paid uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	V.P. Yudin: "Approve" - Decree to commence a criminal case in relation to Kameya	28-May-07	Decree approved by Mr Yudin to begin a Kameya case used to seize documents for the criminal conspiracy	D1031.pdf
					Testimony by Mr Magnitsky describing that the fabricated criminal case approved by V.P. Yudin and initiated by Interior Ministry officer A.K. Kuznetsov was used a pretext to seize the corporate documents by the criminal conspiracy	S.L. Magnitsky: " I believe that it was specifically the criminal case fabricated on the initiative from Kuznetsov A.A. [sic] which allowed to seize the founding and registration documents of the stolen companies and deprive the legal owners of the control over them."	14-Oct-09	Testimony by Mr Magnitsky	D1032.pdf
Denis Evgenievich Grunis	21-Jul-77	Senior Aid to General Prosecutor, previously Head of International Cooperation Department, GPO, and Head of Section in the same Department	GPO	A + B	In his senior role as aid to General Prosecutor and previously in the International Cooperation Department of the General Prosecutor's Office, Mr GRUNIS oversaw and assisted with the advancement of the false account of the fraudulent tax refund scheme (under the Interior Ministry case No 678540 directed by SDN Victor Grin).	In his letter of 3 December 2014 to the Russian Interior Ministry, Mr. GRUNIS stated: "I attach a reply ... to be added to the criminal case No 678540 ... concerning the absence of commercial relations of Ms. O.D. Tsareva, Ms. E.E. Anisimova and S.Y. Zhemchuzhnikov with Credit Suisse AG..."	03-Dec-14	Letter to Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigative Department with documents for the criminal case No 678540	D2209.pdf
						On 29 May 2014, Mr GRUNIS sent a letter to BVI attorney general to advance the false narrative of the fraudulent tax refund under the case No 678540.	29-May-14	Letter to BVI Attorney General for materials under case No 678540	D2210.pdf
						Mr GRUNIS was also involved in refusing the release of evidence to Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning her son.	11-Jan-16	Refusal by Mr GRUNIS of access to information on Mr Magnitsky to Mr Magnitsky's mother	D2234.pdf
Petr Petrovich Gorodov	02-Jul-63	Prosecutor, Section of International Cooperation Department	GPO	B	In his prosecutorial role in the International Cooperation Department of the General Prosecutor's Office, Mr GORODOV assisted with the oversight and advancement of the false account of the fraudulent tax refund (under the Interior Ministry case No 678540 directed by SDN Victor Grin).	Mr GORODOV prepared a letter for signature by his superior, Mr GRUNIS, on 3 December 2014 to the Russian Interior Ministry, which stated: "I attach a reply to be added to the criminal case No 678540 ... concerning the absence of commercial relations of Ms. O.D. Tsareva, Ms. E.E. Anisimova and S.Y. Zhemchuzhnikov with Credit Suisse AG..." "Gorodov P.P. "	03-Dec-14	Letter to Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigations Department with documents for the criminal case No 678540 prepared by Mr GORODOV	D2209.pdf
						On 29 May 2014, Mr. GORODOV prepared a letter for Mr GRUNIS to be sent to the BVI attorney general to advance the false narrative of the fraudulent tax refund under the case No 678540.	29-May-14	Letter to BVI Attorney General for materials under case No 678540 prepared by Mr GORODOV	D2210.pdf

						In 2017, Mr GORODOV was revealed as an interlocutor with the senior official in the Cyprus Prosecutor's Office, who communicated with Russian officials, in what appears to be an abuse of office, on numerous politically-motivated cases, including the Russian proceedings against Hermitage executives and posthumously Mr. Magnitsky.		Corruption Scandal in Cyprus, 2017	https://www.theadvocate.com/world/2017/nov/29/cyprus-prosecutor-allegedly-offered-advice-russia-officials-eleni-loizidou	
								Probe results into leaked emails in hands of AG	https://cyprus-mail.com/2018/03/20/probe-results-leaked-emails-	
Sergei Alexandrovich Bochkarev	14-Mar-83	Deputy Head of Section of Oversight over Interior Ministry's Criminal Cases, GPO, promoted to General Major of Justice	GPO	B	Prosecutor S.A. BOCHKARYEV has been centrally involved in the posthumous proceeding in relation to Sergei Magnitsky and represented the General Prosecutor's Office in the hearing concerning the lawsuit by Mr. Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son.	In Tverskoi district court of Moscow, Prosecutor BOCHKARYEV stated that the decree by SDN Interior Ministry Investigator URZHUMTSEV who posthumously named Mr. Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft was lawful and justified, and refused to consider arguments to the contrary from Mr. Magnitsky's mother.	"Prosecutor...S.A. Bochkaryev...Prosecutor in court proceeding requested to leave without satisfaction the complaint under consideration due to the lawfulness and justifiability of the decree being complained about, and the absence of any justified arguments eligible for examination in the complaint."	09-Dec-11	Transcript of the court hearing which refuses the lawsuit against the posthumous accusation of Mr. Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 m fraud	D1047.pdf
						In Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow, Mr BOCHKARYEV resisted the complaint from Mr. Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her deceased son directed by decree of SDN Deputy General Prosecutor Grin.	S.A. BOCHKARYEV: "Having heard the arguments of the applicant and the interested person, including lawyer N.A. Gorokhov, I have come to the conclusion that there is the lack of evidence in his arguments about the unlawfulness of the decree issued by Deputy General Prosecutor".	03-Apr-12	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit by Mr. Magnitsky's mother against his posthumous prosecution	D1049.pdf
						Mr BOCHKARYEV then oversaw and authorized the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme under case No 678540.		21-Mar-12	Appointment by Mr. Ignashin of prosecutor Bochkarev to the posthumous proceeding against Mr. Magnitsky	D1044.pdf
								19-Mar-14	Letter from Mr. BOCHKARYEV to Tverskoi District Court in Moscow concerning case No 678540	D2211.pdf
S.A. Tyukavkin		Prosecutor of 2nd Section of Investigative Committee's criminal cases	GPO	B	In his prosecutorial role, he advanced the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme, and refused application from Ms. Magnitskaya to investigate SDN Investigator URZHUMTSEV for his role in the concealment from liability of members of the criminal conspiracy involved in the \$230 mln fraud.	Prosecutor TYUKAVKIN obstructed the application from Ms. Magnitskaya to commence the investigation into SDN Investigator URZHUMTSEV for the false posthumous accusation of Magnitsky in the fraudulent tax scheme.		19-Mar-14	Letter prepared by Mr TYUKAVKIN (signed by his superior Mr. BOCHKARYEV) to Tverskoi District Court in Moscow concerning case No 678540	D2211.pdf
								21-May-14	Decree of Tverskoi District Court refusing the application from Ms. Magnitskaya seeking to investigate Investigator URZHUMTSEV in relation to the false posthumous accusation against her son	D2212.pdf

Igor Alexandrovich Myasnikov	31-Mar-59	Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases (2009-2011)	GPO	A, B	In his position as head of department of General Prosecutor's Office, found no violations in the criminal prosecution of Mr Magnitsky, did not compel an investigation of the application from his mother about the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, sanctioned the posthumous criminal proceeding against Mr Magnitsky, and refused to disclose to the Magnitsky family the identity of prosecutors in charge of the oversight of cases concerning Mr Magnitsky	In his letter to O.G. Kovalev, acting head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to Law in Penal System, Mr Myasnikov determined that there were no grounds of "prosecutorial response" to the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother about the death of her son, and found no violations during the investigation.	I.A. Myasnikov to O.G. Kovalev, acting head of Department of oversight over adherence to law in penal system: "There were no petitions from defendant and his counsel about the impossibility of being held in detention centers due to poor health submitted to the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry and the section of oversight over investigation of criminal investigations by the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia...The management of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia [Butyrka] was informed on 30.09.2009 without delay (ref No 17zh-7759) about the application received on 26.08.2009 by Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Investigator O.F.Silchenko from lawyers...about the need to conduct a controlled ultrasound examination of defendant S.L. Magnitsky. During the investigation of the criminal case no violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural law were identified. There are no reasons to take measures of prosecutorial response."	17-Dec-09	Prosecutorial report by Mr Myasnikov finding no grounds for action concerning an application from Mrs Magnitskaya about the death of her son	D1034.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Myasnikov refused request for information about the group of prosecutors overseeing the cases concerning Mr Magnitsky and their names	I.A. Myasnikov: "There are no grounds to disclose to N.N. Magnitskaya and her counsel the order by General Prosecutor of Russia [Chaika] to form a group of prosecutors ensuring the oversight over the investigation of respective criminal cases."	11-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Myasnikov of request to disclose to the Mr Magnitsky's mother the names of prosecutors overseeing the cases in relation to her son	D1035.pdf
						In his letter to Hermitage lawyers in London, Mr Myasnikov refused the application from June 2011 which sought an investigation of the conspiracy within the Prosecutor's Office to conceal tax officials engaged in systematic tax refunds, and found no breaches in the actions of the prosecutors	I.A. Myasnikov: "Your application received from the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation with arguments about the improper consideration of applications and conduct of the prosecutorial oversight over the investigation of criminal cases concerning S.L. Magnitsky and the theft of funds from the budget of Russia has been considered. During the probe, it has been established that the applications received by the General Prosecutor Office of the Russian Federation within its competence have been considered, in cases stipulated by the law the measures of prosecutorial response were taken, reasoned answers were given to applicants...Arguments about the complicity of tax officials mentioned by you in the theft of budget funds are checked by investigative means. The investigative body will give them a criminal legal assessment based on the results of gathering evidence."	15-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Myasnikov of the application reporting a conspiracy within the Prosecutor's Office to conceal liability of tax officials involved in the systematic tax refunds	D1037.pdf
Timofei Yurievich Borisov	24-Sep-80	Acting Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In his position as acting head of department of General Prosecutor's Office, refused requests from the Magnitsky family to conduct a proper investigation into his death, not to destroy files about Magnitsky held by the General Prosecutor's Office, and to protect the family from risk of intimidation by Interior Ministry officials	Letter to Lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, refusing the application concerning the misleading and falsified materials in the indictment of Dmitry Kratov	T.Y. Borisov: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 22.06.2012 about the incompleteness of the investigation carried out under the case No 201/713112-11 [in relation to Mr Kratov and Ms Litvinova for the death of Mr Magnitsky] and other matters. It has been determined that following the outcomes of the review of the said case, on 07.07.2012 an accusation conclusion has been confirmed, following which it was sent to Tverskoi District Court in Moscow for the consideration on substance [concerning Mr Kratov]...This reply may be appealed to the higher ranking prosecutor or to the court."	13-Jul-12	Refusal by Mr Borisov of application to conduct proper investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1040.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Borisov refused the request not to destroy Mr Magnitsky's files, stating the matter will be decided in accordance with internal instructions	T.Y. Borisov: "Your request about ensuring the unlimited time of storage of documents and oversight files related to Mr Magnitsky has been considered. This matter will be decided in accordance with the Instruction on document management, specifying the terms of storage stipulated by the corresponding instructions of the General Prosecutor's Office of Russia."	31-Jul-12	Refusal by Mr Borisov of request not to destroy Mr Magnitsky files	D1039.pdf

					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Borisov refused the complaint from lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother about the disclosure of files of death investigation to the Interior Ministry officials with conflict of interest and in positions of power. The complaint highlighted the threat to victims and witnesses posed by this disclosure.	T.Y. Borisov: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 06.11.2012 about the unlawfulness of acts of the head of investigative body A.V. Schukin in providing access to the materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 [into the death of Mr Magnitsky] to investigator of the investigative group of the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia M.Y. Sapunova and on other matters...The oversight over the criminal case No 201/366795-10 is carried out in accordance with the requirements of the existing law. The resolution of matters stated in your application to carry out an internal probe, remove A.V. Schukin from the procedural control of the criminal case, undertake measures in relation to investigator M.Y. Sapunova are within the competence of heads of investigative bodies."	03-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr. Borisov of complaint against the provision of the Magnitsky death investigation files to the Interior Ministry officials	D1038.pdf	
Sergei Nikolaevich Churashkin	12-Oct-66	Acting Head of Department of Oversight of Criminal Cases by the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee	GPO	A, B	In his position as acting Head of Department of Oversight over Criminal Cases, refused to intervene and duly examine the violation of Mr Magnitsky's rights in custody and was involved in the responding to inquiries after Mr Magnitsky's death in spite of the conflict of interest	In his letter to Mr Magnitsky's lawyer, Mr Churashkin refused the complaint against the unlawful acts by Interior Ministry's investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev, who withheld Mr Magnitsky's power of attorney intended for his lawyers and instead attached it to the criminal case file, thereby obstructing the timely preparation of a complaint to the Russian Constitutional Court on his behalf. Mr Churashkin justified his complaint by citing that by the time he reviewed the complaint, investigators were "ready" to forward the power of attorney to the lawyers	S.N. Churashkin: "Senior Investigator...O.F. Silchenko on 28.08.2009 sent to you a notice No 17/zh-6314 about the possibility to provide you the said power of attorney, accordingly on 28.08.2009 a decree was issued to refuse to satisfy the complaint."	28-Aug-09	Letter by Mr Churashkin refusing Mr Magnitsky's complaint against Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev	D1051.pdf
					In his letter, Mr Churashkin refused complaint from Hermitage about the failure to investigate the false arrest and death of Mr Magnitsky and the theft he had uncovered	S.N. Churashkin: "Your application about the impartiality during the investigation of criminal cases No 153123 [under which Mr Magnitsky's was arrested], 152979 [under which a jobless Mr Khlebnikov was sentenced for the \$230 m theft] and 366795 [into Mr Magnitsky's death] has been considered...No violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural legislation during the investigation of criminal cases have been identified."	26-Feb-10	Refusal by Mr Churashkin of the complaint against Mr Magnitsky's persecution	D1684.pdf	
Valery Vasilievich Ignashin	29-Apr-54	Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In his position as Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Criminal Cases, refused complaints against the posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky, the pressure on his family and the attempt by the Interior Ministry to impose them a new lawyer against their will	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused to cease the prosecution of Magnitsky's relatives and to remove from the posthumous case Interior Ministry investigators (Silchenko and Sapunova), who had prosecuted Mr Magnitsky during his life	V.V. Ignashin: "Your request with arguments to terminate the criminal prosecution of relatives and about the lack of trust to investigators under the criminal case ...has been considered...As part of the probe, no circumstances have been found that exclude the participation of investigators in the criminal case...There are no legal grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response to remove the investigation group."	08-Nov-11	Letter by Mr Ignashin refusing the complaint against the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1041.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky and the pressure on his relatives. The letter alleged that the family lawyer failed to fulfil his professional duties by adhering to the family's will not to participate in the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky	V.V. Ignashin: "The non-appearance of lawyers to participate in procedural activities demonstrates their avoidance from providing legal help to the persons they represent."	24-Jan-12	Letter by Mr Ignashin refusing the complaint against the posthumous proceeding and intimidating family lawyer	D1042.pdf	

					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused the complaint against the attempt by the Interior Ministry to impose a new lawyer to the Magnitsky family, against their will, on the alleged ground that Investigator Kibis merely "offered" to invite a new lawyer, in spite of the investigator's decree to appoint a lawyer having been issued in the case file	V.V. Ignashin: "The investigation has not taken measures to change the lawyer. Due to his repeated non-appearance for participation in procedural activities, investigator has undertaken additional measures to ensure rights and lawful interests of parties in the proceeding, including by offering to them to invite another lawyer."	04-Feb-12	Letter by Mr Ignashin refusing the complaint against the attempt to impose a new lawyer to Magnitsky family against their will	D1043.pdf
					Letter by Mr Ignashin to court appointing S.A. Bochkarev to represent the General Prosecutor's Office in the hearing of the lawsuit by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son	V.V. Ignashin: Appoint prosecutor...Sergei Alexandrovich Bochkarev ...to represent interests of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation in the court proceeding ...under case 311578 [posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky].	21-Mar-12	Appointment by Mr Ignashin of prosecutor Bochkarev to the posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky	D1044.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused to disclose to Magnitsky's mother the names of 12 prosecutors in charge of the oversight over cases concerning her son	V.V. Ignashin: "It is not prescribed to provide a list of prosecutors in charge of the oversight over criminal cases."	14-Jun-12	Refusal by Mr Ignashin to disclose to the Magnitsky mother the list of prosecutors in charge of her son's cases	D1045.pdf
Sergei Alexandrovich Bochkaryev	Senior Prosecutor of the Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In his position as Senior Prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, sought to refuse the complaints from the Magnitsky family against the posthumous prosecution in courts, refused them access to the prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky, and refused to disclose names of prosecutors in charge	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Bochkaryev refused the request to disclose information concerning Mr Magnitsky's prosecution and forwarded it instead to the Interior Ministry, which was the agency being complained about	S.A. Bochkaryev: "Your request in the part concerning the lack of justified ground for criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky is being considered along with other materials by the group of prosecutors, formed to oversee the process and results of investigation of criminal cases in the Investigative Committee of Russia and the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia."	11-Jul-11	Refusal by Mr Bochkaryev of the request for information on files concerning prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1046.pdf
					In Tverskoi district court of Moscow, Prosecutor Bochkaryev stated that the decree by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev that posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft was lawful and justified, and refused to consider arguments to the contrary from Mr Magnitsky's mother	"Prosecutor...S.A. Bochkaryev...Prosecutor in court proceeding requested to leave without satisfaction the complaint under consideration due to the lawfulness and justifiability of the decree being complained about, and the absence of any justified arguments eligible for examination in the complaint."	09-Dec-11	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit against the posthumous accusation of Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 m theft	D1047.pdf
					In Moscow City Court, Mr Bochkaryev resisted the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother that sought to deem unlawful and unreasoned the decree by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev that posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft that he had in fact uncovered and exposed	S.A. Bochkaryev: "Having considered the report by judge O.V. Dubrovina, the opinion of the applicant - lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in support of the complaint, the opinion of prosecutor S.A. Bochkaryev who thought it necessary to keep the court ruling without change, and the cassation complaint without satisfaction, the court collegium...determined ...to leave the complaint without satisfaction."	27-Feb-12	Cassation court ruling to refuse the appeal against the posthumous naming of Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 m theft	D1048.pdf
					In Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow, Mr Bochkaryev resisted the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her deceased son	S.A. Bochkaryev: "Having heard the arguments of the applicant and the interested person, including lawyer N.A. Gorokhov, I have come to the conclusion that there is the lack of evidence in his arguments of the unlawfulness of the decree issued by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF to cancel the decree of an investigator to terminate the criminal case due to the death of the accused S.L. Magnitsky [leading to the posthumous proceeding]"	03-Apr-12	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit by Mr Magnitsky's mother against his posthumous prosecution	D1049.pdf

					In Simonovsky District Court of Moscow, Mr Bochkaryev refused to Mr Magnitsky's mother the right to know the identity of 12 prosecutors in charge of the oversight of criminal cases concerning her son and the decisions they have made	"Representative of the General Prosecutor's Office of RF S.A. Bochkaryev requested to refuse the claim on ground stated in written objections."	11-Sep-12	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit against non-disclosure to the Magnitsky mother of names of prosecutors responsible for her son's cases	D1050.pdf	
Svetlana Victorovna Kot	30-Aug-78	Senior Prosecutor of Section of the Department of Oversight over the Pre-Investigation Inquiry and Operations and Intelligence Activity	GPO	A	In her position as Senior Prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, failed to duly respond and intervene with regard to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky about his unlawful transfer to a temporary holding facility as means to exert pressure on him, nine months before his death in custody	In her letter, Ms Kot did not consider the substance of Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his unlawful transfer in Feb 2009 to the temporary holding facility (made by decision of Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko), and instead forwarded it to a Moscow branch, although the General Prosecutor's office, not the Moscow branch, was in charge of the oversight over Mr Magnitsky's prosecution, thereby impeding its timely and proper consideration	S.V. Kot to the Prosecutor's Office of Moscow and a copy to S.L. Magnitsky: "Hereby is being forwarded for a check an application from S.L. Magnitsky about the breach of the term of detention in IVS-1 [temporary holding facility] of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry. Organise the check into the arguments of the applicant as required. Please inform the applicant about the decision taken."	24-Mar-09	Letter by Mr Kot forwarding the complaint from Mr Magnitsky against his unlawful transfer to the Interior Ministry holding facility	D1053.pdf
Magomedrasul Musaevich Magomedrasulov	02-Mar-66	Acting head of Department of Oversight over Especially Important Cases (since 2012 head of Department of oversight over the penal system)	GPO	A	In his position as acting Head of Department of Oversight over Criminal Cases, refused to properly examine the complaint about the rights violation of Mr Magnitsky, the unlawful transfer by the Interior Ministry, and the failure of his subordinate prosecutors to respond to earlier complaints and intervene, four months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	In his reply sent via the administration of detention center, Mr Magomedrasulov refused Mr Magnitsky's complaint against the unlawfulness of his transfer in February 2009 (by decision of Interior Ministry investigator Silchenko) to a temporary holding facility of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry, the failure by the Prosecutor's Office to intervene to cease his rights violations in custody, and, subsequently, the failure to properly consider and respond to a series of three successive complaints about the abusive transfer and the pressure exerted upon him in detention	From M.M. Magomedrasulov to D.I.Vasiliev, acting head of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, reply to the complaint from S.L. Magnitsky: "Your application from 10.06.2009 concerning the violations of criminal procedural legislation under the criminal case No 1531223 [case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested] was received by the General Prosecutor's Office and considered. Your transfer from FBUIZ-77/5 of the penal service of Russia to IVS No 1 of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry of Russia was executed in accordance with requirements of the Federal Law from 15.07.1995 No 103-FZ "On Detention in Custody of Suspects and Accused In Committing Crimes."...No breaches of the federal legislation during the consideration of your complaints from 22.02.2009, 17.04.2009 and 18.04.2009 have occurred. There are no grounds to undertake measures of prosecutorial response."	21-Jul-09	Letter by Mr Magomedrasulov refusing the complaint from Mr Magnitsky against his unlawful transfer to the Interior Ministry holding facility	D1054.pdf
Alexander Vladimirovich Burov	16-Dec-77	Prosecutor of section of oversight over the investigation of criminal cases by the Interior Ministry Investigation Committee	GPO	A, B	In his position as prosecutor of section overseeing criminal cases investigated by the Interior Ministry, failed to compel proper investigation of the reports of the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of public funds, supported in court the arrest and detention of Mr Magnitsky based on fabricated and unverified documents from FSB and Interior Ministry, and continued to fail to compel an investigation of Interior Ministry officers after Mr Magnitsky's death	In his letter to Hermitage lawyers, Mr Burov failed to address the abuse of office and conflict of interest by Interior Ministry officers reported in the 21 July 2008 complaint, and instead forwarded the complaint to the Interior Ministry, i.e. the body complained about, in spite of the prohibition under Article 10 of the Law on Prosecutor's Office to forward complaints to the bodies complained about	A.V. Burov: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 21.07.2008 about the improper investigation of criminal cases No 151231, 153123, 153107, 374015. Given that the stated arguments have not been considered by the heads of investigative bodies, in order to urgently respond to possible breaches of the law, the probe of reported information will be conducted by the management of Interior Ministry's Investigative Committee, you will be informed of the outcome."	28-Jul-08	Letter by Mr Burov failing to address the reported abuse of office and conflict of interest in the investigations	D1065.pdf
					In his letter to Hermitage lawyers, Mr Burov failed to respond to the application seeking to compel an investigation of the \$230 million theft, the details of which were reported on 15 August 2008, and instead notified that there were no violations in previously opened criminal cases, and no violations during the search when Hermitage corporate documents had been seized, thereby obstructing a prompt and meaningful investigation	A.V. Burov: "To notify W. Browder...There were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural legislation during the search."	15-Sep-08	Letter by Mr Burov failing to address the reported theft of \$230 million	D1066.pdf	

					In his letter to Hermitage lawyers, Mr Burov failed to respond to the application of 15 August 2008 seeking to compel an investigation of the \$230 million theft, which was forwarded to the General Prosecutor's Office from the President's administration, and instead notified that there were no violations, both in previously opened criminal cases and during the search when Hermitage corporate documents had been seized, thereby obstructing a prompt and meaningful investigation	A.V. Burov: "To notify W. Browder...There were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural legislation during the search."	09-Oct-08	Letter by Mr Burov failing to address the reported theft of \$230 million	D1067.pdf	
					Prosecutor Burov supported the approval of the arrest of Mr Magnitsky on 26 November 2008, in spite of the fabricated and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers used to justify it	"With participation from prosecutor Burov A.V....Prosecutor supported the application [from Investigator Silchenko] to select for the defendant the measure of restraint in the form of placement in custody...Rule: select for defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich the measure of restraint in the form of placement in custody"	26-Nov-08	Ruling to approve Mr Magnitsky's arrest by Tverskoi district court in Moscow	D1069.pdf	
					Prosecutor Burov supported the application from Investigator Silchenko to extend the period of Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody for two more months, in spite of no new evidence and the fabricated and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers used to justify the application	"With participation from prosecutor Burov A.V....In court hearing, prosecutor Burov A.V. also supported the application from investigator stating that there are no grounds to change or cancel the measure of restraint selected for S.L. Magnitsky because there was no change in the circumstances that served as the ground to select the measure of restraint in the form of placement into custody."	19-Jan-09	Ruling to extend the detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody until 15 March 2009 by Tverskoi district court in Moscow	D1070.pdf	
					Prosecutor Burov supported the application from Investigator Silchenko to extend the period of Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody for a further three months (over 6 months in total), in spite of the fabricated and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers used to justify it	"With participation from prosecutor Burov A.V....Prosecutor supported the application to select for the defendant the measure of restraint in the form of placement in custody...Rule: extend the term of detention in custody of defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich for three months"	13-Mar-09	Ruling to extend the detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody until 15 June 2009 by Tverskoi district court in Moscow	D1071.pdf	
					In reply to the application from anti-corruption blogger Mr Alexei Navalny, Prosecutor Burov found there was "no ground" for prosecutorial intervention with regard to reports of illicit wealth of the family of Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov, named in the earlier complaints for abetting the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies	"Your application of 02.07.2010 about the possible commitment of crime in office by employee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.B. Kuznetsov and about a probe into the sources of his income has been received by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and has been considered. I explain that the application does not contain specific data indicating signs of crime under p.3 of Article 285 of the Criminal Code of RF (abuse of office)...There are currently no grounds for taking measures of prosecutorial response."	21-Jul-10	Letter stating there was no ground for prosecutorial response in relation to the reports of wealth of family of Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov	D1659.pdf	
V.V. Lukianov		Prosecutor of Department of Oversight over Investigation in Prosecutor's Bodies	GPO	B	In his position of prosecutor in charge of oversight at the Prosecutor's Office, was responsible for considering applications seeking proper inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death in custody	Mr Lukianov instead of considering the application seeking a wide-ranging inquiry into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, ill-treatment and death, instead forwarded it to the lower branch where it was not properly considered	V.V. Lukianov to Moscow City Prosecutor's Office and copy to J. Firestone: "Here is being forwarded to be considered on substance the application from Jamison R.I. and others received from the Department of the President of the Russian Federation for applications from citizens for an inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Please inform the applicant about the decision taken until 20 February 2010."	25-Jan-10	Letter from Mr Lukianov concerning the application from Mr Firestone for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1672.pdf
					Mr Lukianov instead of considering the application seeking a proper inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death from the Law Society of England and Wales, forwarded it to the lower branch where it was not properly considered	V.V. Lukianov to Moscow City Prosecutor's Office and copy to President of Law Society of England and Wales: "Here is being forwarded to be considered on substance the application from Robert Heslett V.S.Duvanova [sic] about the inquiry into the death of S.L. Magnitsky and on other matters. Please report on decision taken to the applicant and the General Prosecutor's Office until 30.03.2010."	01-Mar-10	Letter from Mr Lukianov concerning the application from the English Law Society for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1678.pdf	

Vyacheslav Aleksandrovich Sivozhelez	02-Jul-69	Prosecutor of section of department of the General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	A	In his position as prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office, and subordinate of Mr Pechegin in charge of overseeing the investigation of cases by the Interior Ministry, Mr. Sivozhelez failed to compel a prompt and effective probe into the report of Mr Magnitsky's rights violations ten days before his death, and resisted in court Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his procedural rights violations by Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko	Prosecutor Sivozhelez refused to consider the substance of arguments from Mr Magnitsky and his counsel, who challenged the refusal by Investigator Silchenko to allow Mr Magnitsky's wife to co-represent him, in spite of the mandate to observe the adherence to human rights by investigative bodies during the preliminary investigation	"With participation from Prosecutor V.A. Sivozhelez...The member of the investigative group M.O. Sapunova and prosecutor in the court hearing asked to refuse to satisfy the complaint because the applicant and his counsel arbitrarily extend the interpretation of the provisions of Articles 29 and 40 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation which were correctly analysed by Investigator O.F. Silchenko in his decree."	14-May-09	Refusal of complaint against acts by Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow	D1072.pdf
						Prosecutor Sivozhelez was instructed to consider the complaint about the unlawful arrest, detention, and rights violations of Mr Magnitsky, five days before his death in custody	"Author of document:...A.I. Pechegin, head of section of the General Prosecutor's Office, 11.11.2009. Please in accordance with stipulated procedure organise the consideration of an application from J.R. Firestone. Please inform the applicant on results... To be executed by:..V. Y. Sivozhelez, senior prosecutor (responsible)..."	11-Nov-09	Prosecutorial record of instruction to Sivozhelez to consider application from J. Firestone concerning the false arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky	D1721.pdf
Tatiana Yurievna Yakubovskaya	29-Jan-78	Prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	A	In her position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, Mr Yakubovskaya sought in court to resist the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the unlawfulness of the order to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to questioning, leading to his arrest	During the consideration of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the unlawfulness of the order to Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to Investigator Silchenko as a witness, Ms Yakubovskaya sided with the investigator on an unreasoned ground, in spite of the mandate under the law to oversee the human rights observance during the preliminary investigation.	"The court collegium....having heard ...the opinion of prosecutor T.Y. Yakubovskaya who thought to leave unchanged the court ruling...determined: to leave without satisfaction the complaint...against the decree by Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 5 February 2009 [regarding the order by Investigator Silchenko to bring Mr Magnitsky by force as a witness]"	15-Apr-09	Cassation Court ruling to refuse the appeal against the detention of Mr Magnitsky as witness	D1074.pdf
Marina Egorovna Altukhova	18-May-63	Prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	A	In her position as representative of the General Prosecutor's Office, sought in court to resist the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers regarding the unlawfulness of his detention as a witness by Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko	In Moscow City cassation court, Ms Altukhova objected to the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the unlawful detention of Mr Magnitsky on 24 November 2008 and the lack of proper consideration of arguments of fact and law by the court of first instance, leading to the continued detention of Mr Magnitsky	"The court collegium ...having heard... The opinion of prosecutor M.E. Altukhova who thought to leave the court ruling unchanged, has determined...lawyer Oreshnikova in defence of the interests of defendant Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow seeking to deem unlawful the acts of investigator Silchenko during the detention of Mr Magnitsky, because there were no actual data allowing to detain Magnitsky, thereby the acts of investigator breached the constitutional rights of her client...As follows from the submitted materials, the court when deciding to stay the application of the applicant, motivated its decision on arguments stated by the applicant in the complaint...Under the circumstances, the court collegium....has ruled to leave unchanged the ruling by Tverskoi district court of Moscow of 10 April 2009"	10-Jun-09	Cassation Court ruling to refuse the appeal against the detention of Mr Magnitsky	D1073.pdf

Evgeny Leonidovich Zabarchuk	12-Feb-57	Deputy General Prosecutor	GPO	A, B In his position as deputy general prosecutor of Russia, failed to intervene and prevent the ill-treatment and torture of Mr Magnitsky in custody, and after his death failed to identify the scope and motives of ill-treatment and those responsible	During the fulfillment of his duties, failed to intervene in response to complaints of ill-treatment received by his subordinates, and give them proper consideration, and subsequently failed to give proper assessment to the scope and motives of ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky, and failed to identify those responsible and seek their prosecution in accordance with his authority	E.L. Zabarchuk: "Contrary to the requirements of the law, S.L. Magnitsky was held in cells No 267, 59, 61 and others, which did not meet the sanitary space of 4 sq m per person...There was no water supply and sanitary and hygienic places [toilets], electric cables were bare...During detention in custody in detention centers of Moscow, S.L. Magnitsky was on numerous occasions transferred between detention centers, and between cells, including without sufficient ground...In contravention of the requirements of Article 8 of the Federal Law of 02.05.2006 No 59-FZ "On the order to consider applications from citizens of RF" about the compulsory registration of complaints, applications and recommendations from citizens, during the period from 25.07.2009 through 30.09.2009 there was no journal of registration of applications of persons detained in custody kept at Detention Center No 2 [Butyrka]...Besides, primary medical documentation...practically was not kept, the medical card of S.L. Magnitsky contained no entries during the period from 24.07.2009 through 07.10.2009...In contravention of the requirements of the Article 24 of federal law No103-FZ...during the provision of medical care in relation to diseases that S.L. Magnitsky held untimely examination by a specialist doctor was allowed. The recommendations prescribed during the medical examination at Detention Center No 1 [Matrosskaya Tishina] were not followed, specifically the consultation by a surgeon, repeat abdominal ultrasound examination, biochemistry blood tests were not carried out."	23-Dec-09	Notice of violations by Deputy General Prosecutor Zabarchuk to Director of the Federal Penal System	D1615.pdf
					In the reply from the Director of the Federal Penal Service, the scope and kind of ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky was minimised. The findings were based on an internal prison probe, despite the conflict of interest, and allowed concealment of the facts. By way of example, it was reported that the head of medical unit, Ms Kramareva, was fired for allowing the violations; however, according to prison records, Ms Kramareva was on sick leave during the entire period of Mr Magnitsky's detention, and requests to question her as a witness were rejected by the investigators on that ground. Yet, no further concerns were raised by the Prosecutor's Office about the outcomes of the internal probe by the prison officials into Mr Magnitsky's detention.	"The notice from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation about the elimination of violations of law in the activity of FBU SIZO-2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] (further - SIZO-2), connected to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky, has been considered. The Federal Penal Service has conducted an internal probe into the circumstances of death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky, during which it was determined that cells where he was held were equipped with necessary furniture and accessories in accordance with statutory norms...At the same time he spent 36 days in cells that did not meet the sanitary norms...Currently, measures have been undertaken at SIZO-2 for rational placement of suspects, accused and convicted persons paying regard to the norm of sanitary space set by the mentioned law...During detention in SIZO-2 defendant seven times was transferred from one cell to another. Only in one case (01.09.2009) the transfer from cell No 267 to cell No 59 was carried out without sufficient ground and in the absence of a report from an authorised official...Additional lessons were conducted with employees whose responsibilities include registration and accounting of applications from suspects and accused...During an internal probe it has been determined that medical employees based on results of tests (ECG, X-ray) could not suspect the defendant had cardiomyopathy due to the absence of characterising pathological symptoms, because of this additional methods of tests were not carried out. The head of medical unit of SIZO-2 N.V. Kramareva was fired, the head of SIZO-2 D.V. Komnov was removed from his position and demoted for the allowed violations in keeping medical records, untimely carrying out of examination of S.L. Magnitsky by specialist doctors."	23-Jan-10	Report to Deputy General Prosecutor Zabarchuk from the Director of the Federal Penal System	D1682.pdf

Sergei Vasilievich Kudeneev	01-Jan-61	Head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System (since 2011 - Prosecutor of City of Moscow)	GPO	A, B	In his position as head of Department overseeing the lawfulness of acts of officials in the penal system, failed to prevent Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment, and ensure comprehensive and impartial probe	In his report to Deputy General Prosecutor Zabarchuk eleven days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Kudeneev provided untrue data that Mr Magnitsky did not complain about the denial of medical care, was transferred on numerous occasions lawfully and no pressure was exerted upon him in custody in spite of Mr Magnitsky's complaints and refusals available in his case file	Report by S.V. Kudeneev to E.L. Zabarchuk, Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia: "Upon placement into detention centers, a medical examination of S.L. Magnitsky was conducted to identify bodily injuries and diseases. No complaints of any kind from S.L. Magnitsky about his state of health were received thereby. No illnesses were identified during the examination. His placement and detention in cells of detention centers were conducted in accordance with the law...The probe showed that transfers were conducted on written instruction of the investigation body, which does not contravene the existing legislation. The transfer within detention center (between cells) was carried out due to the carrying out of repairs and was not conditioned by exerting psychological pressure on him...There were no applications from S.L. Magnitsky received by the Moscow Prosecutor's Office or the General Prosecutor's Office...Refusals to provide medical care by employees of the penal system have not been identified..."	27-Nov-09	Report by Mr Kudeneev on the General Prosecutor's Office probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1076.pdf
						In his conclusions on Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Kudeneev claimed to have based them on the "actual" state of affairs. The report covered up the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, ill-treatment and beating prior to his death in custody	Letter by S.V. Kudeneev to I.A. Myasnikov, Head of Department of General Prosecutor's Office: "On orders from Deputy General Prosecutor E.L. Zabarchuk from 24.11.2009, a probe has been carried out by the department of oversight over adherence to the law in the penal system into the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky... There were no applications from S.L. Magnitsky received by the Prosecutor's Office of Moscow or the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation...In all stated detention centers, he was provided with medical care with the provision of the examination in the hospital of SIZO-1 of Moscow, and also with the placement to the therapeutic unit of SIZO-2 of Moscow. Medical units are supplied with medical preparations in the necessary quantity and assortment. On personal application from S.L. Magnitsky medicines were received for him from his relatives, but there was no need in it. Refusals to provide medical care by employees of the penal system have not been identified. The above information is prepared on the basis of studying the actual state of affairs in detention centers, official internal documents, obtaining statements from officials of facilities and also from cellmates detained together with S.L. Magnitsky."	27-Nov-09	Report by Mr Kudeneev on findings into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1077.pdf
Sergei Mikhailovich Tarakanov	22-Sep-47	Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System (until 2011)	GPO	A, B	In his position as deputy head of department overseeing the lawfulness of acts by officials in the penal system, was responsible for the proper consideration of complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment before and after his death in spite of his conflict of interest	In the instruction to his subordinate prosecutor Lonchakov, 27 days before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Tarakanov confirmed that he received the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, about his ill-treatment in custody, but instead of its consideration on substance, asked to forward it to the lower branch preventing prompt intervention and redress of the rights breaches	S.M. Tarakanov to A.A. Lonchakov: "Forward the application to the prosecutor's office in Moscow for consideration and reply to the applicant...Take execution under control."	20-Oct-09	Instruction by Mr Tarakanov to subordinate to forward the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations to the Moscow prosecutor's office	D1679.pdf

					<p>Mr Tarakanov was responsible for the internal probe by the General Prosecutor's Office into Mr Magnitsky's death and his rights violations in custody, despite his conflict of interest as official responsible for the consideration of complaints about rights violations of Mr Magnitsky while he was still alive</p>	<p>Signed by S.M. Tarakanov and V.A. Lonchakov: "To fulfill the order from deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation E.L. Zabarchuk from 24.11.2009 due to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky in a special hospital of FBU SIZO-1 of Moscow, deputy head of Department of oversight over the adherence to law in the penal system S.M. Tarakanov and senior prosecutor of this department V.A. Lonchakov carried out a probe...The placement and detention in cells of detention centers was carried out in accordance with requirements of the Federal Law On Detention...The domestic conditions of his detention in cells of SIZO-5 of Moscow (from 02.12.2008 to 28.04.2009), SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia (from 28.04.2009 to 25.07.2009) met requirements of the law...During the detention in SIZO-2 (from 25.07.2009 to 16.11.2009) analogous conditions were created for him and his rights observance was ensured, except for the sanitary space of 4 sq m guaranteed by law. Besides, there was an occurrence of detention of S.L.Magnitsky before delivery to the court in some collection cells that did not have sanitary unit and water supply. The probe showed that the transfers of S.L. Magnitsky from one detention center to another were carried out within the framework of the investigation of the criminal case on written order from the investigation body, which does not contravene the current legislation. The transfers of S.L. Magnitsky within the detention center (between cells) was carried out due to repair works and were not conditioned on inflicting psychological pressure on him. ...In all mentioned detention centers he was provided medical care...No refusals by employees of penal system to provide medical care have been identified...</p>	24-Nov-09	Conclusion of the Prosecutorial Probe into Mr Magnitsky's Death	D1612.pdf
						<p>[Cont'd] ...At the same time..at SIZO-2 [Butyrka] during the period from 25.07.2009 to 30.09.2009 there was no journal of registration of applications from detained persons. Because of this it is impossible to verify the arguments from S.L. Magnitsky stated in his diaries, about numerous applications to employees of the facility with written requests about the provisions of medical care. Besides, the primary medical documentation ...practically was not kept - the medical card of S.L. Magnitsky was void of any notes during the period from 24.07.2009 to 07.10.2009. In breach of the requirements of Article 24 of the Federal Law No 103FZ during the provision of medical care in relation to existing diseases to S.L.Magnitsky an untimely examination by a specialist doctor occurred. The recommendations given during the medical examination at SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia were not fulfilled - the consultation by a surgeon, repeat abdominal ultrasound examination, biochemistry blood work were not carried out...The correctness of diagnostics and treatment of S.L.Magnitsky is being checked under the investigation of the criminal case commenced on 24.11.2009."</p>			

					In his letter to acting head of department of the Investigative Committee of Russia Mr Zipunnikov, Mr Tarakanov explained that while Mr Magnitsky was alive, his department forwarded the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations in custody without consideration to its Moscow branch, and that his department had no information on complaints received by the Moscow branch. This is in spite of the fact that the consideration of complaints had been placed under "control" within the General Prosecutor's Office according to his own letter.	S.M. Tarakanov: "During the period from 02.12.2008 to 16.11.2009 according to AIK Nadzor database, the Department of oversight over the lawfulness in the penal system received no complaints from S.L.Magnitsky from detention centers of FSIN [federal penal service of Russia] and therefore did not consider them.The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation on 14.09.2009 and 30.09.2009 received two complaints from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky which were forwarded to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office on 17.09.2009 and 05.10.2009 because these were the first time applications for the Department of oversight over the lawfulness in the penal system. Besides, on 9.10.2009 the application from Jamison Reed Firestone came via the Department of oversight over especially important cases - and it was also forwarded for consideration to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office (and placed under control over its execution). The oversight over the lawfulness of acts by employees of detention centers No 1,2, and 5 of FSIN of Russia is entrusted to employees of the Moscow Prosecutor's Office. Because of this, the General Prosecutor's Office has no information on the complaints by S.L. Magnitsky from these facilities [detention centers 1,2 and 5] to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office."	06-Jul-10	Report by Mr Tarakanov to the Investigative Committee on consideration of the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations	D1075.pdf	
Fedor Vasilievich Kiselevich	18-May-69	Deputy head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System	GPO	B	In his position as Deputy head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System, was responsible for the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death and considering applications from his family	Mr Kiselevich was responsible for the oversight over the inquiry into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered it up	Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich: "The violent nature of death is not excluded, the question of psychotropic or poisonous substances has been posed for examinations."	30-Nov-09	Report to Mr Kiselevich from subordinate prosecutor on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1124.pdf
						Mr Kiselevich was responsible for considering an application from Mr Magnitsky family about access to the inquiry into his death and instead forwarded it to a lower branch without giving it due examination	From B.P. Markov to F.V. Kiselevich: "In accordance with your instruction, the application from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov for access to the materials of the inquiry into the death of S.L. Magnitsky ...and on other matters has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...The application...has been forwarded to the prosecutor's office for Eastern district of Moscow which is overseeing the investigation of this criminal case. The outcomes are under control of the city prosecutor's office."	11-Jan-10	Report to Mr Kiselevich on implementing his instruction concerning Mr Magnitsky's family application in relation to his death inquiry	D1671.pdf
Vladislav Alexeevich Lonchakov	25-Aug-63	Senior Prosecutor of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System	GPO	A, B	In his position as prosecutor of department overseeing penal system, failed to prevent Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment, properly respond to complaints about ill-treatment, and nevertheless was involved in the probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	27 days before Mr Magnitsky's death prosecutor Lonchakov was instructed by his superior, prosecutor Tarakanov, to place under his control the consideration by the lower branch of the prosecutor's office of the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody	To A.A. Lonchakov from S.M. Tarakanov: "Forward the application to the prosecutor's office in Moscow for consideration and reply to the applicant...Take execution under control."	20-Oct-09	Instruction to Mr Lonchakov about handling the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations in custody	D1613.pdf

					<p>After Mr Magnitsky's death, prosecutor Lonchakov was responsible for carrying out and overseeing various internal inquiries into Mr Magnitsky's death in spite of his conflict of interest as senior official in charge of the penal system oversight during the time alleged violations occurred.</p>	<p>To V.A. Lonchakov from S.A Goryunov of the Moscow Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with an instruction from the City Prosecutor, the section of oversight over the adherence to law in the penal system carried out a probe based on media reports about the violations of rights of defendant S.L. Magnitsky for material, domestic and medical and sanitary provision in custody in detention centers of Moscow. ...All transfers of defendant S.L. Magnitsky between cells were carried out due to the fulfillment of requirements of Article 33 of the Federal Law On Detention...in part concerning the separate detention of different categories of suspects and accused. The said cells of detention centers are equipped in accordance with the requirements of the federal law and Rules of Internal Order. ...During detention in custody, S.L. Magnitsky did not address the administration of detention centers and city prosecutor's office with complaints on matters of material and domestic provision. During the probe no violations of requirements of Articles 20, 21 of the Federal Law On Detention... were identified on behalf of administrations of FBU IZ-77/5, IZ-77/2 [Butyrka] in Moscow...The materials concerning the application of special means of restraint on defendant S.L. Magnitsky by employees of FBU IZ-77/1 [Matrosskaya Tishina] in Moscow on 19.11.2009 were forwarded to the Preobrazhensky InterDistrict Prosecutor's Office for an inquiry to be organised in accordance with Art.144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. Therefore, it has been determined during a probe that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical service of the Moscow penal system provided him medical care in accordance with stated diagnosis...Currently, the Moscow penal service jointly with the Federal penal service continues a probe into the circumstances connected to the treatment of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, based on its outcomes an assessment will be given to the adequacy of the medical care provided to the defendant taking into account the results of the postmortem examination which has not been completed by this time...The probe has been placed under control of the city prosecutor's office."</p>	23-Nov-09	<p>Report to Mr Lonchakov from the Moscow Prosecutor's Office on the probe into Mr Magnitsky's death</p>	<p>D1614.pdf</p>
					<p>Prosecutor Lonchakov was involved in considering the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations both before and after his death in custody in spite of the clear conflict of interest, according to the prosecutorial report prepared by Senior Prosecutor S.V. Berezikov for the head of General Prosecutor's Office department in charge of lawfulness of detention Mr S.V. Kudeneev</p>	<p>Report naming Mr Lonchakov to head of department S.V. Kudeneev from senior prosecutor S.V. Berezikov: "On 08.10.2009 The application from Jamison Reed Firestone (via Department No 34) about the improper domestic and medical and sanitary provision of S.L. Magnitsky during the period of his detention was forwarded to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office, and placed under control (No 17-458-09, executed by V.A. Lonchakov); On 19.11.2009 The application from lawyer E.Oreshnikova about the release of corpse of S.L. Magnitsky was forwarded to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office for a check, copies in accordance with indicators - to 15 and 34 department (No17p-09, executed by V.A. Lonchakov)"</p>	24-Nov-09	<p>Prosecutorial report stating Mr Lonchakov's responsibility for considering complaints about Mr Magnitsky</p>	<p>D1078.pdf</p>

					Mr Lonchakov was responsible for the key probe by the General Prosecutor's Office into Mr Magnitsky's death immediately after the death in custody, which covered up the scope of ill-treatment, and in spite of his conflict of interest as a senior official responsible for overseeing human rights and preventing such violations from occurring and his role in failing to properly respond to complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations while he was still alive	Signed by S.M. Tarakanov and V.A. Lonchakov: "To fulfill the order from deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation E.L. Zabarchuk from 24.11.2009 due to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky in a special hospital of FBU SIZO-1 of Moscow, deputy head of Department of oversight over the adherence to law in the penal system S.M. Tarakanov and senior prosecutor of this department V.A. Lonchakov carried out a probe...The placement and detention in cells of detention centers was carried out in accordance with requirements of the Federal Law On Detention...The domestic conditions of his detention in cells of SIZO-5 of Moscow (from 02.12.2008 to 28.04.2009), SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia (from 28.04.2009 to 25.07.2009) met requirements of the law...During the detention in SIZO-2 (from 25.07.2009 to 16.11.2009) analogous conditions were created for him and his rights observance was ensured, except for the sanitary space of 4 sq m guaranteed by law. Besides, there was an occurrence of detention of S.L.Magnitsky before delivery to the court in some collection cells that did not have sanitary unit and water supply. The probe showed that the transfers of S.L. Magnitsky from one detention center to another were carried out within the framework of the investigation of the criminal case on written order from the investigation body, which does not contravene the current legislation. The transfers of S.L. Magnitsky within the detention center (between cells) was carried out due to repair works and were not conditioned on inflicting psychological pressure on him...In all mentioned detention centers he was provided medical care...No refusals by employees of penal system to provide medical care have been identified...	24-Nov-09	Conclusion of the Prosecutorial Probe into Mr Magnitsky's Death	D1734.pdf	
						[Cont'd] ...At the same time..at SIZO-2 [Butyrka] during the period from 25.07.2009 to 30.09.2009 there was no journal of registration of applications from detained persons. Because of this it is impossible to verify the arguments from S.L. Magnitsky stated in his diaries, about numerous applications to employees of the facility with written requests about the provision of medical care. Besides, the primary medical documentation ...practically was not kept - the medical card of S.L. Magnitsky was void of any notes during the period from 24.07.2009 to 07.10.2009. In breach of the requirements of Article 24 of the Federal Law No 103FZ during the provision of medical care in relation to existing diseases to S.L.Magnitsky an untimely examination by a specialist doctor occurred. The recommendations given during the medical examination at SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia were not fulfilled - the consultation by a surgeon, repeat abdominal ultrasound examination, biochemistry blood work were not carried out...The correctness of diagnostics and treatment of S.L.Magnitsky is being checked under the investigation of the criminal case commenced on 24.11.2009."				
Oleg Gennadievich Kovalyev	1966	Deputy head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System, head of Section No 1	GPO	B	In his position as senior official of the General Prosecutor's office, was responsible for the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death in custody. Mr Kovalyev was involved in handling the applications into Mr Magnitsky's death in spite of his conflict of interest as senior official in charge of penal system oversight during the time alleged violations occurred	In his instruction to his subordinate prosecutor, Mr Kovalyev gave orders on the resolution of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother in which she named Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko and Head of Butyrka prison Komnov and provided copies of complaints about the denial of medical care to Mr Magnitsky since July 2009	O.G. Kovalyev to Prosecutor V.A. Lonchakov: "Please resolve the application from N.N. Magnitskaya using if necessary departments of the central apparatus of the General Prosecutor's Office and inform those interested."	11-Dec-09	Instruction from Mr Kovalev to prosecutor Lonchakov on the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1681.pdf

					In his letter to the Prosecutor of Moscow, Mr Kovalyev forwarded the application seeking to compel an inquiry into the rights violations of Mr Magnitsky and the failure by the prosecutors to intervene while he was alive to the same body which was complained about - the Moscow prosecutor's office.	O.G. Kovalyev to Prosecutor of City of Mosow Y.Y. Semin: "Here are forwarded the application from the Chair of Commission on Public Control of law enforcement bodies and judicial and legal system reform of the Public Chamber of the Russian Federation A.G. Kucherenka, as well as applications from N.N. Magnitskaya and documents attached to it. Please organise a check into the arguments about the violations in Detention Center No 2 of Moscow [Butyrka] of rights of S.L. Magnitsky, and the disagreement with replies from prosecutor's office to the previous applications, in case of grounds take measures of response in accordance with the law. Please submit detailed information on outcomes by 22.12.2009 to the General Prosecutor's Office for the preparation of a reply to the applicants."	11-Dec-09	Letter from Mr Kovalyev to Moscow City Prosecutor concerning the application from the Public Chamber on Mr Magnitsky's death	D1680.pdf	
Oleg Eduardovich Kolovaites	02-Apr-51	Senior Prosecutor of the 2nd unit of the Department of Oversight of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In the position as a representative of the General Prosecutor's Office in court, resisted the request from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to the archive of her son's tissues and organs, obstructing their independent medical examination	In Basmany District Court of Moscow, Mr Kolovaites objected to the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to her son's tissues for their independent medical examination, a year and a half after his death in custody and upon completion of state-appointed examinations, asserting that the victim [Mr Magnitsky's mother] "has the right" to ask for them, in spite of the refusals already issued by investigators of all family requests for independent examinations	O.E.Kolovaites: "With participation from senior prosecutor...O.E. Kolovaites....Prosecutor O.E. Kolovaites objecting to satisfying the complaint, stated that the refusal by investigator to satisfy the application is based on the requirements of the current criminal procedural legislation, noting at the same time that in case of the disagreement with conclusions of examinations held under the case the victim has the right to file an application with the investigator seeking to appoint a repeat or an additional examination and include independent experts."	19-Jul-11	Ruling by Basmany District Court of Moscow to refuse Mr Magnitsky's mother access to her son's tissues archive	D1079.pdf
Galina Viktorovna Tarasova	07-Nov-71	Senior Prosecutor of section of department of the General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	B	In her position as representative of the General Prosecutor's Office in court, resisted the application from the Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel an investigation of Mr Magnitsky's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	In Basmany District Court of Moscow, Ms Tarasova resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel a thorough and comprehensive investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son and the role of many government officials involved	G.V. Tarasova: "With the participation of senior prosecutor...G.V. Tarasova...Prosecutor G.V. Tarasova also objected to the complaint, explaining that the access to justice to the applicant [Magnitsky's mother] is not obstructed, her constitutional rights are not breached...The applicant did not state specific data about the perpetration of other crimes, her arguments are subject to a check during the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 [into Mr Magnitsky's death due to negligence of prison officials]"	13-Dec-11	Ruling by Basmany District Court of Moscow to refuse complaint seeking to compel an investigation of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, torture and murder	D1080.pdf
						In Moscow City Court, Ms Tarasova objected to the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel a thorough and comprehensive investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder by government officials of her son	"The judicial collegium...having heard ...opinion of prosecutor G.V. Tarasova, considering to leave the ruling unchanged...determined to leave unchanged the ruling by Basmany district court of Moscow of 13 December 2011 in relation to the complaint from N.N. Magnitskaya"	22-Feb-12	Cassation Court ruling refusing the appeal of complaint seeking to compel an investigation of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, torture and murder	D1081.pdf
Denis Petrovich Shtunder	23-Nov-83	Prosecutor of section to support public prosecution	GPO	B	In his position as senior prosecutor official, was responsible for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky via the "special" Khebnikov proceeding, which exonerated all officials at a hearing that heard no evidence	Prosecutor Shtunder supported the indictment for the \$230 million theft of Mr Khebnikov who was entered in court records as jobless person, and agreed to no trial but a special proceeding instead with the verdict being based on Khebnikov's admittance of guilt	"With participation of state prosecutor - prosecutor of section to support public prosecution of the Main Department of ensuring the participation of prosecutors in the consideration of criminal cases by courts D.P. Shtunder, having considered in an open court hearing the materials of the criminal case in relation to Khebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich...During the review of case materials, Khebnikov in the presence of his defence lawyer because of the agreement with the stated accusation applied for a sentence to be issued without a trial...State prosecutor and victims did not object to the special proceeding to adopt a court decision...Deem Khebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich guilty of committing the crime under p. 4 of Art. 159 of the Criminal Code of RF...on the basis of which deprive him of liberty for the period of 5 (five) years in a penal colony with general regime."	10-Mar-11	Verdict by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow sentencing a jobless Mr Khebnikov for \$230 m theft	D1084.pdf

Yuri Vasilievich Makuschenko	14-Jan-65	Prosecutor of section of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	B	In his position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, objected to the disclosure of the materials concerning acts of Interior Ministry's investigator Silchenko seeking to commence a criminal prosecution of a Hermitage lawyer based on a falsified FSB report	In Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow, Mr Makushenko objected to the application from Hermitage outside lawyer seeking to compel access to FSB and Interior Ministry files used to fabricate materials against him and attempt opening a criminal case as a measure of intimidation	"Court, having considered the opinion of the prosecutor, who thought that the complaint from the applicant must be left without satisfaction, because based on the results of the probe under Article 144-145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF on 11 July 2010 it was decided to refuse to open a criminal case [in relation to Hermitage outside lawyer],...having reviewed and examined the submitted materials, reached a conclusion that the complaint ...is not subject to satisfaction."	30-May-12	Ruling by Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow refusing access to Interior Ministry's fabricated file concerning the Hermitage lawyer	D1085.pdf
Irina Nikolaevna Loseva	16-May-71	Senior Prosecutor of section of GPO	GPO	B	In her position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, Ms Loseva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague seeking to compel a prompt and thorough inquiry into the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and members of the conspiracy	In Basmanny District Court of Moscow, prosecutor Loseva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, seeking to compel an investigation of the tax officials - members of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky responsible for systematic thefts under the guise of tax refunds, and of the allegations of their illicit wealth, detailed in his application of 15 April 2011	"With participation of senior prosecutor...I.N. Loseva...In court prosecutor I.N. Loseva objected to the satisfaction of the complaint, stating that the check of arguments from the statement from J.R.Firestone is being done within the framework of the investigation of the criminal case No 344212..."	12-Mar-12	Decree by Basmanny District Court of Moscow	D1685.pdf
						In Basmanny District Court of Moscow, Ms Loseva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel an investigation of the concealment since 2008 by officials of the General Prosecutor's Office and Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy involved in budget thefts, as detailed in his application of 30 January 2012, finding no reason to compel such an investigation.	"Prosecutor I.N. Loseva objecting to the satisfaction of the complaint, explained that the grounds were not justified, ...the application did not contain data about committed crimes or preparation for the crimes...According to the written reply to the applicant's complaint submitted by the head of section ...of the Investigative Committee D.R. Schegolikhin, the Investigative Committee has considered the application from the U.S. citizen D.R. Firestone of 30 January 2012 into the matter to conduct procedural probe and commence a criminal case in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of Russian and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the opinion of the applicant in the concealment of persons who had organised the theft of 5.4 billion roubles from the budget of the Russian Federation...As follows from the case materials submitted to the court, the application from Jamison Firestone Reed of 30 January 2012 concerning the acts of officials of prosecutor's office, Interior Ministry of Russia and on other matters was considered by the authorised official - deputy chair of the Investigation Committee of Russia V.I. Piskaryev. On 29 February 2012 in accordance with the order stipulated by the law it was forwarded to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation. The cover note states that the check into the arguments of the applicant within the authority of the Investigative Committee of Russia has been organised."	03-Apr-12	Decision by Basmanny District Court of Moscow refusing the complaint seeking to compel a prompt inquiry into the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1086.pdf
Elena Victorovna Antipenko	07-Jul-64	Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Procedural Conduct of Investigative Committee	GPO	B	In her position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, was involved in the oversight of the retaliatory criminal proceeding against the Attorney for Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairtdinov, who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In her letter to Deputy Prosecutor of the City of Moscow, Ms Antipenko indicated that cases connected to Mr Magnitsky were under the supervision of her office in connection with an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, and under one of which an attorney for Hermitage Fund, Eduard Khairtdinov, was being criminally prosecuted. All applications to cease his persecution and end the prosecution conducted on a fabricated ground have been refused	E.V. Antipenko to B.P. Markov, Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow: "General Prosecutor's office of the Russian Federation has under consideration an application from J.R. Firestone concerning the circumstances of the investigation of several criminal cases connected to the activity of S.L. Magnitsky. In light of this, please until 02.04.2012 inform...about the outcome of the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 360138 ...in relation to attorney E.M. Khairtdinov...In case of consent with the adopted procedural decision, please submit the materials of the case with corresponding conclusion by the same deadline."	29-Mar-12	Letter to Moscow City Prosecutor's Office requiring information on Magnitsky related case concerning attorney Khairtdinov	D1091.pdf

A.V. Kulikov		Prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	B	In his position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, resisted an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague seeking an inquiry into the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In Basmany District Court of Moscow, Mr Kulikov objected to the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel Mr Bastrykin, head of the Investigative Committee of Russia, to conduct an investigation of the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, and did not find it improper to send Mr Firestone's original application for consideration to the General Prosecutor's Office, whose officials were named for the concealment	"Senior prosecutor A.V. Kulikov in court proceeding requested for the complaint ...to be refused, because the application from J.R. Firestone had been considered by an official of the Investigative Committee of Russia in the proper way, there were no grounds to carry out a probe under articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of Russia. Forwarding the complaint from J.R. Firestone to the General Prosecutor's Office of Russian Federation for checking does not violate the rights and interests of J.R. Firestone, does not complicate his access to justice."	01-Oct-12	Ruling by Basmany District Court of Moscow refusing to compel an investigation of the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1082.pdf
MOSCOW PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE										
Yuri Yurievich Semin	01-Jun-50	Prosecutor of Moscow (2006-2011), since 2011 - head of Department of General Prosecutor's Office for combating corruption)	MPO	B	In his position as Prosecutor of Moscow, was in charge of the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death during which circumstances of his ill-treatment were covered up	The announcement on the General Prosecutor's Office website informed that Prosecutor of the City of Moscow controlled the probe into the death of Mr Magnitsky in custody	"The Prosecutor's Office of Moscow is carrying out a probe in detention center No 1 Matrosskaya Tishina of observance of rights of defendant Sergei Magnitsky for the provision of medical, sanitary, material and domestic care during the period of detention in custody. 37-year old Sergei Magnitsky died in detention center on 16 November 2009. According to preliminary data, the death occurred due to heart failure. The probe is under control of the City Prosecutor."	18-Nov-09	Announcement of probe into Mr Magnitsky's death under control of Prosecutor of City of Moscow	D1676.pdf
						In the report to Mr Semin, Mr Goryunov covered up the complaints from Mr Magnitsky and his lawyers that he himself had considered while Mr Magnitsky was alive, but disclosed the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky before his death, which nevertheless remained uninvestigated. The report contains instruction from Mr Semin to his subordinates	Report to Y.Y. Semin from S.A. Goryunov with Mr Semin's instruction: "In accordance with your order, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has carried out a probe of press reports about the breaches of rights for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision for S.L. Magnitsky...All transfers between cells were carried out in accordance with the fulfillment of requirements of the Federal Law in part concerning the separate placement of different categories of suspects and accused...During the period of detention in custody, Magnitsky did not address complaints about the matters of domestic, material, medical, and sanitary provision to the administration of detention centers and the city prosecutor's office...During the probe, no violations of the federal law On Detention have been identified...in detention centers No 2 and 5...During the probe, it was determined that O.G. Kuznetsov applied handcuffs to the accused.. The material was sent on 19.11.2009 to the Investigative Section for Preobrazhensky District of Moscow under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia [application to open a criminal proceeding based on signs of crime]...During the probe, it was determined that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical services of UFSIN of Moscow provided him with medical care according to the identified diagnosis."	20-Nov-09	Report with Mr Semin's instruction on the outcome of probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1675.pdf
						The names and signatures on the prosecutorial report informing of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death show prosecutors responsible for the case oversight, including Mr Semin to whom the report was addressed by his subordinate prosecutor Goryunov	To Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report to Mr Semin from prosecutor Goryunov notifying of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1093.pdf
						Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed his subordinate Deputy Prosecutor Markov to conduct an inquiry about the reported refusal of an independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family	Instruction from Y.Y. Semin to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov: "For assessment and control over the check" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	24-Nov-09	Order by Mr Semin to Deputy Markov concerning the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1670.pdf

Boris Petrovich Markov	01-Feb-63	Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow (since 2009, during 2006-2009 - prosecutor of Southern district of Moscow	<i>MPO</i>	B	In his role of Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow was in charge of oversight over the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, during which the circumstances of his ill-treatment in custody and the role of Moscow prosecutors in failing to address complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment have been covered up. Mr Markov also contributed to the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and authorised a repressive case against another lawyer who reported that conspiracy	The names and signatures on the prosecutorial report informing of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death show prosecutors responsible for the case oversight, including Mr Markov	To Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report notifying of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1727.pdf
						Instruction by Mr Markov showing his role in overseeing the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death was attached to a report by his subordinate Goryunov of 23 November 2009. The report denied that Mr Magnitsky relatives' request for an independent autopsy had been refused suggesting that investigator merely "explained that all post mortem autopsy examinations and assessments of corpses as a general rule are carried out by the Bureau of Judicial Medical Examinations of the Moscow City Health Department."	B.P. Markov: "To S.A. Goryunov. Report on 27.11.2009 before end of business day about the course of the investigation and the inquiry of the General Prosecutor's Office based on authority."	25-Nov-09	Instruction by Mr Markov to his subordinates in relation to complaint about the refusal of independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family	D1735.pdf
						Mr Markov was responsible for considering an application from the Mr Magnitsky family about the inquiry into his death without giving it proper assessment	B.P. Markov to F.V. Kiselevich: "In accordance with your instruction, the application from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov for access to the materials of the inquiry into the death of S.L. Magnitsky ...and on other matters has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...The application...has been forwarded to the prosecutor's office for Eastern district of Moscow which is overseeing the investigation of this criminal case. The outcomes are under control of the city prosecutor's office."	11-Jan-10	Letter by Mr Markov to General Prosecutor's Office about access of the family to the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1739.pdf
						Mr Markov passed an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking a proper inquiry into the reasons of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention, his ill-treatment and death in custody, to his subordinate prosecutor Levchenko, which has not been duly considered	B.P. Markov to O.V. Levchenko: "To organise a consideration."	28-Jan-10	Instruction by Mr Markov concerning application from Mr Firestone for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1740.pdf
						Mr Markov gave a formal response to the application from Hermitage and the Russian Public Chamber concerning the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death in custody, concluding that all "necessary" actions have been taken (although this was not the case, i.e. CCTV footage has not been gathered, many eye witnesses have not been identified and questioned, documentation has not been collected, blood type has not been determined, etc). He confirmed that the city prosecutor's office was controlling the outcomes of the investigation	B.P. Markov to A.G. Kucherena: "I report that the application from G. Felgenhauer [Hermitage representative] concerning the inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...Currently, necessary investigative actions are being carried out aimed at identifying the circumstances of what happened. The outcomes are under control. I also inform you that the oversight over the procedural activity of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee is carried out by the General Prosecutor's Office of RF."	25-Feb-10	Letter by Mr Markov in response for an application for a full inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1673.pdf

					According to the letter from the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office, the Hermitage complaint reporting the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and the multi-million dollar frauds against them was forwarded for a probe to Mr Markov as Prosecutor for the Southern District of Moscow, who in that capacity contributed to covering up the criminal conspiracy	To B.P. Markov from L.A. Guseva from the Moscow Prosecutor's Office: "I forward to you the application from P. Wrench on 18 pages."	11-Jan-09	Letter forwarding to Mr Markov for a probe the complaint about the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1100.pdf	
					In his capacity as Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow, Mr Markov authorised the repressive proceeding against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov who reported the criminal conspiracy. The case was based on a false testimony from a convicted person, Mr Markelov, whom attorney had named in complaints for perpetrating the frauds against Hermitage and the Russian treasury	B.P. Markov: "No assessment has been made of the testimony of V.A. Markelov about the complicity of E.M. Khairtdinov ...in the theft in September-December 2007 of 5.4 billion roubles of budget funds..."	27-Sep-12	Decree to continue the investigation of Hermitage Fund's lawyer who reported the criminal conspiracy	D1098.pdf	
					Mr Markov instructed General Major Yakovenko, head of the investigative branch in Moscow, to continue the repressive case against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov for the alleged use of "false powers of attorney". The attorney, on his client's behalf, had reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky that perpetrated the thefts of Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m from the Treasury	B.P. Markov to V.V. Yakovenko, head of investigative branch of Moscow: "I am sending you the criminal case No 360138 about the fact of the commitment by attorney E.M. Khairtdinov of the crime under Section 3 of Article 327 of the Criminal Code of RF to organise further investigation...Please inform the city prosecutor's office of the outcomes of investigation." (executed and approved also by D.V. Matrosova and Levchenko)	27-Sep-12	Instruction by Mr Markov to target Hermitage Fund's lawyer with criminal proceeding	D1099.pdf	
Alexei Alexeevich Grigoriev	09-Aug-68	Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow	MPO	A, B	In his role as Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow, was in charge of responding to the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody while he was alive, and in spite of conflict of interest, was overseeing the investigation into his death and carrying out the prosecutorial probe into that mistreatment which resulted in the cover up	37 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Grigoriev was instructed to respond to the complaint received by the General Prosecutor's Office about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody from his colleague, Jamison Firestone. Mr Grigoriev was asked to "take measures of response in case of grounds in accordance with the law"	To A.A. Grigoriev from S.M. Tarakanov from General Prosecutor's Office: "I am forwarding to you the application from the US citizen Jamison Reed Firestone from 08.10.2009 in the interests of defendant S.L. Magnitsky. Please organise a check into the arguments about the violations of rights of S.L. Magnitsky in detention centers of Moscow, take measures of response in case of grounds in accordance with the law, and by 30.11.2009 submit to the General Prosecutor's Office detailed information on the outcomes (with copy of reply attached)."	20-Oct-09	Letter to Mr Grigoriev from General Prosecutor's Office instructing him to respond to the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment	D1101.pdf
					After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Grigoriev was in charge of the oversight over the criminal case investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death, in spite of his earlier failure to intervene and remedy Mr Magnitsky's rights violations	A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich in the General Prosecutor's Office: "In addition to the previously provided information, I report that during the appointment of judicial medical examination of death investigator put also the question of the existence of narcotic, psychotropic or poisonous substances. Given this, the investigation does not exclude the violent nature of death...Final qualification of what happened will be given based on the results of necessary judicial medical examinations."	30-Nov-09	Report by Mr Grigoriev to General Prosecutor's Office on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1102.pdf	

					After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Grigoriev was instructed and carried out a prosecutorial probe into the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky in spite of his failure to conduct a prompt and thorough probe into the same matter reported to him while Mr Magnitsky was still alive	A.A. Grigoriev to V.A. Lonchakov, supervising prosecutor of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System of the General Prosecutor's Office: "On your order, ...due to the application from N.N. Magnitskaya...a probe ...into the improper provision of medical care to her son, S.L. Magnitsky, in FBU IZ-77/2 [Butyrka] of UFSIN of Moscow has been held...During the probe it has been determined that overall, the material and domestic conditions of detention of S.L. Magnitsky in detention centers met requirements of the Federal Law On Detention...During detention of Mr Magnitsky in cell 59 during the period from 1.09.2009 through to 08.09.2009 the norm of sanitary space per person stipulated by the federal legislation amounted to less than 4 square meters (3.8 sq m). In breach of the hygiene and sanitary requirements, the cell No 9 of the collection unit of IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] is not equipped with a water supply unit."	(2)2-Dec-09	Conclusions by Mr Grigoriev on the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky	D1103.pdf
Sergei Alekseevich Goryunov	05-Nov-59	Head of section of oversight over law adherence in detention	MPO	A, B	In considering two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations one month before his death, Mr Goryunov issued a formal refusal, having failed to inspect the cells, meet Mr Magnitsky, question detention center officials and eye witnesses, and generally intervene to cease the ill-treatment while Mr Magnitsky was still alive	From S.A. Goryunov to D.V. Kharitonov, Mr Magnitsky's lawyer: "I report that your complaints into the violations of rights of defendant S.L. Magnitsky for medical, sanitary, material and domestic provision during detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow received from the General Prosecutor's Office have been considered...Upon arrival to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow S.L. Magnitsky in accordance with statutory order was examined by medical staff. Bodily injuries, symptoms of diseases were not identified. S.L. Magnitsky did not state complaints about his state of health...All transfers between cells of defendant S.L. Magnitsky were carried out to fulfill the requirements of Article 33 of the Federal Law on Detention...All said cells of the detention center are equipped in accordance with the requirements of the federal law and Rules of Internal Order...According to data provided by the UFSIN of Moscow [Moscow penal service], during detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not submit applications and complaints."	26-Oct-09	Refusal by Mr Goryunov of two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody	D1110.pdf
					Mr Goryunov was one of the three officials formally notified by Head of detention center Matrosskaya Tishina of the death of Mr Magnitsky	To G.V. Kharlamov (Moscow district branch of Investigative Committee), V.A.Davydov (Moscow Penal Service), S.A. Goryunov (Moscow Prosecutor's Office) from F.G. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "On 16.11.2009 at 21:50 in PIT of surgery unit of he hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow died arrested Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...[he] arrived on 16.11.2009 at 18:20 from FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow, [He] was placed in cell No 4 of the collection unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. At 19:00 a team of psychiatric emergency ambulance was called to arrested S.L. Magnitsky (No 904253) who arrived at 21:10. On 16.11.2009 at 21:20 due to the sudden deterioration of his health S.L. Magnitsky was delivered to cell No 739 (PIT) of the surgery section of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...During the initial examination of corpse of S.L. Magnitsky no signs of violent death were found...The corpse of arrested S.L. Magnitsky was sent to the 11th judicial morgue of Moscow for a post mortem autopsy. A probe is being carried out into the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky. The results of the probe will be reported separately."	18-Nov-09	Report to Mr Goryunov on the death of Mr Magnitsky from head of Matrosskaya Tishina	D1113.pdf

				<p>Mr Goryunov was in charge of preparing a report on Mr Magnitsky's death, in spite of his conflict of interest and failure to intervene in response to complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody while he was alive. The report found no violations in the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky</p>	<p>To prosecutor of Moscow Y.Y. Semin from prosecutor S.A. Goryunov: "In accordance with your order, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has carried out a probe of press reports about the breaches of rights for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision for S.L. Magnitsky...All transfers between cells were carried out in accordance with the fulfillment of requirements of the Federal Law in part concerning the separate placement of different categories of suspects and accused...During the period of detention in custody, Magnitsky did not address complaints about the matters of domestic, material, medical, and sanitary provision do the administration of detention centers and the city prosecutor's office...During the probe, no violations of the federal law On Detention have been identified...in detention centers No 2 and 5...During the probe, it was determined that O.G. Kuznetsov applied handcuffs to the accused.. The material was sent on 19.11.2009 to the Investigative Section for Preobrazhenisky District of Moscow under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia [application to open a criminal proceeding based on signs of crime]...During the probe, it was determined that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical services of UFSIN of Moscow provided him with medical care according to the identified diagnosis."</p>	20-Nov-09	<p>Conclusion by Mr Goryunov on the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky issued after his death</p>	<p>D1744.pdf</p>
				<p>In his conclusion on the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky, issued seven days after his death, Mr Goryunov found that it was provided to Mr Magnitsky "in accordance with stated diagnosis" in spite of the evidence to the contrary. Mr Goryunov also stated that there was no complaints from Mr Magnitsky about his rights violations in detention in spite of the fact that he himself had refused such complaints filed by lawyers on Mr Magnitsky's behalf</p>	<p>S.A. Goryunov to Lonchakov at General Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with the order of the city prosecutor, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has conducted a probe into the media reports about the violations of rights of accused S.L. Magnitsky for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision in detention centers of Moscow...All transfers of the accused S.L. Magnitsky between cells were carried out as part of the fulfillment of the requirement of Article 33 of the Federal Law "On Detention in Custody of Suspects and Accused in Crimes" in part of the separate detention of different categories of suspects and accused...During detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not address complaints on matters of material, domestic provision to the administration of detention centers and prosecutor's office...Material about the application of special means of restraint towards the accused S.L. Magnitsky by employees of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 19.11.2009 was sent to the Preobrazhensky Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office in Moscow to organise an inquiry in accordance with Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation...Thus, during the probe it has been established that during the peiord of detention, medical care was provided by employees of medical service fo the Moscow Department of FSIN [Federal Penal Service] of Moscow in accordance with stated diagnosis. Currently, Moscow Department of FSIN jointly with FSIN with participation from specialists continues the probe into circumstances connected to the treatment of S.L. Magnitsky in custody...The period of probe under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation has been extended until 29.11.2009. The probe is placed under control of the city prosecutor's office."</p>	23-Nov-09	<p>Report by Mr Goyunov on the inquiry into Magnitsky's death</p>	<p>D1111.pdf</p>

					In his report issued 8 days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Goryunov confirmed that he was considering complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment while he was still alive	Report by S.A.Goryunov: "An application from S.L. Magnitsky about the unlawful detention in IVS [temporary holding facility] of the Interior Ministry branch of Moscow was received...It was considered by 16th department of Moscow, and on 29.04.2009 a reply was issued...From General Prosecutor's Office... on 17.09.2009 and on 05.10.2009 an application from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov about the violations of rights of S.L. Magnitsky for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision were received for consideration by the section of oversight over law adherence in detention...Based on the results of the probe, conducted jointly with the UFSIN of Moscow [Penal Service of Moscow], no breaches of the law were identified, which was stated in a detailed reply issued on 26.09.2009 by head of section of the Moscow prosecutor's office S.A. Goryunov...On 27.10.2009, the section of the Moscow prosecutor's office received on 20.10.2009 from the General Prosecutor's Office an application from a U.S. citizen Jamison F.R. [sic] in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky with a deadline for controlled execution of 30.11.2009. No other applications in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky were received by the Moscow prosecutor's office according to NADZOR database."	24-Nov-09	Report by Mr Goryunov on four complaints received while Mr Magnitsky was alive	D1112.pdf	
					Mr Goryunov continued to be involved in the inquiries into Mr Magnitsky's death and signed a report to his superior on the probe into the provision of medical care which distorted the real circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and denial of medical care during the last four months in detention	Report by S.A. Goryunov to prosecutor Lonchakov of the General Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with your oral instruction, the city prosecutor's office carried out a probe into the undue provision of medical care to S.L. Magnitsky at FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow [Butyrka]. ...During detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow defendant S.L. Magnitsky on 07.10.2009 applied to the medical unit of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow with complaints on pains...As a result of provided treatment, on 12.11.2009 a positive trend of the clinical picture was noted. On 13.11.2009 after arrival of S.L. Magnitsky from the court, where the matter to extend his term of custody was considered, he again reported the worsening of his state of health, and because of this was again hospitalised to the therapeutic unit of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow. On 16.11.2009 during another examination S.L. Magnitsky reported pains...On the same day defendant S.L. Magnitsky was transferred to a special hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN in Moscow where at 21:50 he died. The preliminary diagnosis: acute heart failure."	06-Oct-2009 [sic -2010]	Report by Mr Goryunov to General Prosecutor's Office on findings of the probe concerning Mr Magnitsky	D1114.pdf	
Sergei Mikhailovich Fedorov	17-May-55	Acting head of section of oversight of law adherence in detention, senior prosecutor of section	MPO	B	In his position as senior prosecutor in the Moscow city, was involved in the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death and refused Mr Magnitsky's mother access to the probe	Mr Fedorov was one of the first officials in the prosecutor's office to be formally notified of the death of Mr Magnitsky in custody	Special Report to S.M. Fedorov from head of Matrosskaya Tishina F.Tagiev: Special Report. On 16.11.2009 at 21:50 at the room of intensive therapy of the surgical unit of special hospital FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow died defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. born 08.04.1972"	16-Nov-09	Report to Mr Fedorov of Incident - death of S.L. Magnitsky	D1674.pdf
					Mr Fedorov was instructed, along with Mr Zakharov, to conduct an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody	"To E.V.Zakharov, S.M. Fedorov: Please by 19.11.2009 conduct a probe at FBU IZ-77/2 on circumstances stated in the media."	"To E.V.Zakharov, S.M. Fedorov: Please by 19.11.2009 conduct a probe at FBU IZ-77/2 on circumstances stated in the media."	18-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Fedorov to carry out an inquiry into media reports of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death	D1742.pdf
					Mr Fedorov was part of the prosecutorial inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death and received Mr Magnitsky's medical file from custody	To S.M. Fedorov from F.G. Tagiev, hea of Matrosskaya Tishina: "We are sending you the copies of medical documentation...for S.L. Magnitsky, born 1972."	To S.M. Fedorov from F.G. Tagiev, hea of Matrosskaya Tishina: "We are sending you the copies of medical documentation...for S.L. Magnitsky, born 1972."	18-Nov-09	Letter to Mr Fedorov with Mr Magnitsky's detention medical records	D1116.pdf

					Mr Fedorov refused to Mr Magnitsky's mother access to prosecutorial files concerning the probe into her son's death	S.M.Fedorov: "I inform you that your application on the matter of access to materials and results of the probe carried out by the Moscow prosecutor's office into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in FKU SIZO-1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow has been considered...It has been determined that there were no complaints, statements about the violations of rights of S.L. Magnitsky for material, domestic, medical and sanitary provision during his detention in facilities of UFSIN of Moscow from either you nor N.N. Magnitskaya registered by the section of oversight of law adherence in detention. Consequently, there are no grounds to disclose to you and N.N. Magnitskaya the materials of the probe into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in FKU SIZO-1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow."	07.09.2011	Refusal by Mr Fedorov to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother of access to Magnitsky file	D1117.pdf
E.V. Zakharov	Prosecutor of section of oversight of law adherence in detention	<i>MPO</i>	A, B	In his position as prosecutor in charge of oversight of law and human rights in detention, was in charge of the response to the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment while he was alive, and was involved in the inquiry into his death in spite of conflict of interest	Mr Zakharov signed the refusal of two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations, 21 days before his death in custody	Signed by Mr Zakharov, letter from S.A. Goryunov to Mr Magnitsky's lawyer D.V. Kharitonov: "I report that your complaints into the violations of rights of defendant S.L. Magnitsky for medical, sanitary, material and domestic provision during detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow received from the General Prosecutor's Office have been considered...Upon arrival to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow S.L. Magnitsky in accordance with statutory order was examined by medical staff. Bodily injuries, symptoms of diseases were not identified. S.L. Magnitsky did not state complaints about his state of health...All transfers between cells of defendant S.L. Magnitsky were carried out to fulfill the requirements of Article 33 of the Federal Law on Detention...All said cells of the detention center are equipped in accordance with the requirements of the federal law and Rules of Internal Order...According to data provided by the UFSIN of Moscow [Moscow penal service], during detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not submit applications and complaints."	26-Oct-09	Refusal signed by Mr Zakharov (and Mr Goryunov) of two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody	D1729.pdf
					20 days before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Zakharov was involved in the consideration of the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody and failed to intervene and cease the rights violations	Report to E.V. Zakharov from D.V. Komnov, head of Butyrka: "The probe has been carried out on application from D.V. Kharitonov [lawyer for S.L. Magnitsky]...The state of health of S.L. Magnitsky is satisfactory.. The medical treatment is carried out in accordance with prescription by the treating doctor, the medical examination is carried out regularly..."	27-Oct-09	Report to Mr Zakharov concerning the complaint about ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1118.pdf
					Mr Zakharov was instructed to carry out an inquiry into the reports of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death in spite of the conflict of interest	Instruction "To E.V.Zakharov, S.M. Fedorov: Please by 19.11.2009 conduct a probe at FBU IZ-77/2 on circumstances stated in the media" on the Report of death of Mr Magnitsky addressed to Head of Moscow Penal System V.A. Dadydov and Senior Prosecutor in Moscow Prosecutor's Office S.M. Fedorov from head of Matrosskaya Tishina F.G.Tagiev	18-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Zakharov to conduct inquiry into media reports of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment prior to his death	D1743.pdf
					After Mr Magnitsky's death, as part of a prosecutorial inquiry Mr Zakharov was interviewing witnesses about Mr Magnitsky's treatment	Prosecutor E.V. Zakharov...has interviewed Litvinova Larisa Anatolievna...[Litvinova] can explain the following about the substance of questions posed to me...During detention of S.L.Magnitsky the medical observation of the said person from the time of his application about the worsening of his health until his hospitalisation, was carried out by me.	18-Nov-09	Record of Mr Zakharov interviewing Butyrka doctor Ms Litvinova on Mr Magnitsky's treatment in custody	D1119.pdf

					After Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Zakharov was instructed to place under his "control" the inquiry into his rights violations in custody which found that there were no complaints, even though Mr Zakharov was involved in considering such complaints 24 days previously	Instruction "To E.V. Zakharov. Place under control over ...UFSIN" on the report to Prosecutor of Moscow Y.Y. Semin from prosecutor S.A. Goryunov which said: "In accordance with your order, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has carried out a probe of press reports about the breaches of rights for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision for S.L. Magnitsky...All transfers between cells were carried out in accordance with the fulfillment of requirements of the Federal Law in part concerning the separate placement of different categories of suspects and accused...During the period of detention in custody, Magnitsky did not address complaints about the matters of domestic, material, medical, and sanitary provision to the administration of detention centers and the city prosecutor's office...During the probe, no violations of the federal law On Detention have been identified...in detention centers No 2 and 5...During the probe, it was determined that O.G. Kuznetsov applied handcuffs to the accused.. The material was sent on 19.11.2009 to the Investigative Section for Preobrazhenisky District of Moscow under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia [application to open a criminal proceeding based on signs of crime]...During the probe, it was determined that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical services of UFSIN of Moscow provided him with medical care according to the identified diagnosis."	20-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Zakharov to place under control the inquiry into Magnitsky's death	D1745.pdf	
Oleg Victorovich Levchenko	10-Jan-79	Head of department of oversight over investigation in prosecution bodies	<i>MPO</i>	B	In his position as a senior prosecutor within the Moscow branch, was in charge of various inquiries concerning Mr Magnitsky's death, refusals of independent autopsy, and applications for an investigation of the true reasons of his arrest and detention, none of which were properly examined. Also oversaw the repressive criminal case against Attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	The prosecutorial report instructed Mr Levchenko to place under control the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	Instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009" on the report to Prosecutor of Moscow Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, and Levchenko	24-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Levchenko to place under control the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1724.pdf
					Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed to conduct an inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family, to various subordinates, including Mr Markov, Mr Levchenko and Mr Goryunov	Instruction by Y.Y. Semin to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov and forwarding to Mr Levchenko: "For assessment and control over the check" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	25-Nov-09	Order naming Mr Levchenko concerning the inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1736.pdf	
					Mr Levchenko was involved in the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up his ill-treatment	O.V. Levchenko signature on letter from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich at General Prosecutor's Office: "the violent nature of death is not excluded, the question of psychotropic or poisonous substances has been posed for examinations."	30-Nov-09	Signature of Mr Levchenko on the report from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow Grigoriev about the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1121.pdf	
					Mr Levchenko was instructed to consider the application from Mr Firestone seeking a wide-ranging inquiry into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, ill-treatment and death	Instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko. To organise consideration. B.P. Markov" attached to the letter from V.V. Lukianov from the General Prosecutor's Office addressed to the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office and copied to J. Firestone: "Here is being forwarded to be considered on substance the application from Jamison R.I. and others received from the Department of the President of the Russian Federation for applications from citizens for an inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Please inform the applicant about the decision taken until 20 February 2010."	28-Jan-10	Instruction to Mr Levchenko from Deputy Moscow Prosecutor Markov to consider the application from Mr Firestone about an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1741.pdf	

					Mr Levchenko was instructed to consider the lawfulness of the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and failed to intervene to cease the case and rights violations of the attorney	Instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko. To organise execution. B.P. Markov" attached to the letter from E.V. Antipenko of the General Prosecutor's office of Russia addressed to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow Markov	02-Apr-12	Instruction to Mr Levchenko from Deputy Moscow Prosecutor Markov in relation to the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1122.pdf	
					Mr Levchenko instructed the district prosecutor in relation to the continuation of the retaliatory case against the attorney for Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	From O.V. Levchenko to Prosecutor of Southern Administrative District of Moscow I.N. Kuksa: "Deputy General Prosecutor of RF V.Y. Grin on 17.06.2011 cancelled the decree to suspend the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 360138 in relation to attorney E.M. Khairtdinov...The prosecutor's office of the city has repeatedly...instructed to provide information on the outcomes and address the shortcomings [of the criminal investigation]... I suggest that before 12.09.2012 you inform in detail about the outcomes of additional investigation." Signed by O.V. Levchenko, prepared by D.V. Matrosov, also signature by N.A. Shurygin	05-Sep-12	Instruction from Mr Levchenko to district prosecutor in relation to the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1123.pdf	
Nikita Anatolievich Shurygin	05-Apr-79	Head of 2nd section of Department of Oversight over investigation in prosecution bodies	<i>MPO</i>	B	In his role as prosecutor in the Moscow city branch, was responsible for the oversight of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death in which the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment have been covered up, and for the oversight over a related retaliatory case against Hermitage Fund's attorney who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	The prosecutorial report instructing Mr Shurygin and others in relation to the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	To Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report instructing Mr Shurygin and others in relation to the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1725.pdf
					Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed his subordinate Deputy Prosecutor Markov to examine and control the circumstances concerning the request for independent autopsy from the Magnitsky family, who in turn instructed various subordinates, including Mr Levchenko and Mr Goryunov, and Mr Shurygin who was specifically instructed to "prepare materials for the report"	Instruction to N.A. Shurygin: "Please prepare materials for the report" included in the Instruction by Y.Y. Semin to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov and forwarding to Mr Levchenko: "For assessment and control over the check" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	25-Nov-09	Order instructing Mr Shurygin and others concerning the inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1737.pdf	
					Mr Shurygin instructed his subordinate district prosecutor to report on the investigation of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death during which critical evidence was lost or not gathered, key eye witnesses not questioned or questioned with significant delay, and circumstances and motives of his arrest, detention and death have been covered up	N.A. Shurygin to acting District Prosecutor for Preobrazhensky District V.V. Aristova: "The City Prosecutor's Office has placed under control the course and the outcomes of the investigation of the criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in the building of FBUIZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. Given above stated, please report monthly...with detailed information including in case of termination or suspension of the proceeding, the conclusion on the legality of decision taken."	26-Nov-09	Instruction by Mr Shurygin to District Prosecutor to report on the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1125.pdf	
					Mr Shurygin was involved in the prosecutorial oversight over the retaliatory criminal case against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov carried out in order to conceal the criminal conspiracy and did not intervene to cease it	N.A. Shurygin name on the instruction from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov: To O.V. Levchenko. To organise execution. Signed B.P. Markov. Forwarded to: N.A. Shurygin	02-Apr-12	Instruction to Mr Shurygin to review the case against Attorney for Hermitage Fund Mr Khairtdinov	D1126.pdf	
					Mr Shurygin was involved in the prosecutorial oversight over the retaliatory criminal case against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov and did not intervene to cease it	N.A. Shurygin to District Prosecutor for Southern District I.N. Kuksa: "Due to the execution of oversight, please report again about the outcomes of the additional investigation... of the criminal case No 360138 in relation to attorney E.M. Khairtdinov..."	06-Jun-12	Instruction by Mr Shurygin to report on the case against Attorney for Hermitage Fund Mr Khairtdinov	D1127.pdf	

Dmitry Vyachelsavich Matrosov	12-Sep-72	Prosecutor of Moscow Prosecutor's Office	MPO	B	In his position as prosecutor within the Moscow Prosecutor's Office, was involved in the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and the oversight over the investigation into his death which covered it up	The names and signatures on the prosecutorial report informing of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death show prosecutors responsible for the case oversight, including Mr Matrosov	To Moscow City Prosecutor Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report from prosecutor Goryunov notifying of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1726.pdf
						Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed an inquiry into the reported refusal of an independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family to his subordinates, including Mr Matrosov	Instruction: "To D.V. Matrosov" on the instruction from B.P. Markov to S.A. Goryunov: "To report on 27.11.2008 before end of business day about the course of the investigation and inquiry by the General Prosecutor's Office based on authority" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	26-Nov-09	Order naming Mr Matrosov concerning the inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1738.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Matrosov was involved in the oversight over the criminal investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death during which the circumstances of his ill-treatment were covered up	D.V. Matrosov - on the letter from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich in the General Prosecutor's Office: "In addition to the previously provided information, I report that during the appointment of judicial medical examination of death investigator put also the question of the existence of narcotic, psychotropic or poisonous substances. Given this, the investigation does not exclude the violent nature of death...Final qualification of what happened will be given based on the results of necessary judicial medical examinations."	30-Nov-09	Report prepared by Mr Matrosov from Mr Grigoriev to General Prosecutor's Office on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1728.pdf
						Mr Matrosov was also involved in instructing the district prosecutor in relation to the continuation of the case against the attorney for Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D.V. Matrosov...on the letter from O.V. Levchenko to Prosecutor of Southern Administrative District of Moscow I.N. Kuksa: "Deputy General Prosecutor of RF V.Y. Grin on 17.06.2011 cancelled the decree to suspend the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 360138 in relation to attorney E.M. Khairetdinov...The prosecutor's office of the city has repeatedly...instructed to provide information on the outcomes and address the shortcomings [of the criminal investigation]... I suggest that before 12.09.2012 you inform in detail about the outcomes of additional investigation." Signed by O.V. Levchenko, prepared by D.V. Matrosov, also signature by N.A. Shurygin	05-Sep-12	Instruction prepared by Mr Matrosov to district prosecutor in relation to the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1730.pdf
Vyacheslav Vsevolodovich Rosinsky	18-Apr-56	First Deputy Prosecutor of the City of Moscow (until 2011)	MPO	B	In his position as deputy prosecutor of Moscow, refused to review the lawfulness of the Markelov conviction verdict for the theft of \$230 million, issued in "special proceedings" that heard no evidence and in which Mr Markelov was named a "sawmill employee" and all officials were exonerated	In his reply to the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, Mr Rosinsky found "correct" the Interior Ministry and court findings that the \$230 million were stolen from the budget by a sawmill employee Mr Markelov and that officials were tricked by him into making this refund, and did not address the request for an inquiry into \$2 million registered to Markelov's connected companies	V.V. Rosinsky: "I report that the application from US citizen Jamison Firestone received from the General Prosecutor's Office has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...The conclusions of his [V.A. Markelov] guilt in committing the crimes are based on the materials of the preliminary investigation and are correct. The convicted has fully admitted his guilt...The acts of the convicted person have been given correct legal assessment...There are no grounds to submit an oversight application."	10-May-11	Refusal by Mr Rosinsky of request to review the Markelov verdict and identify the stolen \$230 m and seize Markelov's illicit funds	D1105.pdf
E.A. Bobrov		Head of section of oversight over investigation of economic and tax crimes	MPO	B	In his role as overseeing prosecutor, failed to intervene and address the reported breach of the law favoring the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Bobrov reported the refusal to remove Interior Ministry officer Karpov from the case against Kameya, under which corporate documents used for the criminal conspiracy had been seized and kept in officer Karpov's custody	E.A. Bobrov: "I notify you that the city prosecutor's office has considered your application...about the removal of investigator P.A. Karpov from further investigation of the criminal case. Based on the results of the consideration, deputy city prosecutor issued a decree to refuse the application."	05-Sep-07	Notice by Mr Bobrov of refusal to remove officer Karpov from the case against Kameya	D1107.pdf
V.G. Novikov		Senior prosecutor of the section of oversight over investigation of economic and tax crimes	MPO	B	In his role as prosecutor, failed to intervene and address the reported breach of the law favoring the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Prosecutor Novikov refused to consider the complaint from the lawyer about the breach of legal procedure by investigator Karpov under the Kameya case used to seize the corporate documents for the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	V.G. Novikov: "Due to complaint under the criminal case No 151231 (suspect I.S.Cherkasov) I explain that ...the rights to submit an application under a criminal case, complaint about actions and decisions of investigator belong to participants of the criminal proceeding, which you are not part of as follows from the submitted documents."	10-Jul-07	Refusal by Mr Novikov to consider complaint	D1106.pdf

Y.V. Radzhabova		Senior aid to Tverskoi interdistrict of Moscow	<i>MPO</i>	B	In her role as state prosecutor, supported the "special proceeding" which covered up the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and sentenced for the \$230 m theft a "sawmill employee"	In Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, Ms Radzhabova supported the accusation for the theft of \$230 million of Mr Victor Markelov entered in court records as a "sawmill employee". Ms Radzhabova also did not object to the sentence being announced in a "special proceeding", i.e. which heard no evidence and relied solely on Mr Markelov's acceptance of guilt, and did not ask for compensation for the \$230 million stolen from the Russian treasury, or the whereabouts of the stolen funds	"With participation from prosecutor ...Y.V. Radzhabova..having considered in an open court hearing the materials of the criminal case in relation to Markelov Victor Alexandrovich....working as a sawmill employee at DOZ-160...Upon consultation with his counsel, Markelov V.A. filed an application to consider the case in a special proceeding, because he agrees with the stated accusation in full....State prosecutor and the victims did not object to the use of this proceeding...Deem Markelov Victor Alexandrovich guilty of committing the crime under p. 4 of Art. 159 of the Criminal Code of RF and sentence him to 5 (five) years of penal colony with general regime, without a fine."	28-Apr-09	Verdict by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow sentencing a sawmill employee Mr Markelov for \$230 m theft	D1083.pdf
Larisa Alexandrovna Sergunyaeva	05-Jun-69	Senior aid to Tverskoi inter-district prosecutor of the city of Moscow	<i>MPO</i>	B	In her role as state prosecutor, resisted in court the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to return the case about Mr Magnitsky's death for a full and comprehensive investigation of the circumstances of his torture and murder	During the preliminary hearing of Dmitry Kratov, prosecutor Sergunyaeva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to return the case for a full and comprehensive investigation of the torture and murder of her son	Ruling by Tverskoi District Court of Moscow: "Present: state prosecutor - senior aid to Tverskoi inter-district prosecutor of the city of Moscow L.A. Sergunyaeva... State prosecutor asked to refuse the applications [from the victim, Ms Magnitskaya] because there were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural law during the preliminary investigation, [and] at this stage there are no grounds ...to return the criminal case to the prosecutor."	27-Jul-12	Ruling by Tverskoi District Court of Moscow to refuse application from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1135.pdf
Dmitry Konstantinovich Bokov	24-Apr-85	Aid to Tverskoi Interdistrict Prosecutor of Moscow	<i>MPO</i>	B	In his position as state prosecutor, requested to acquit the only official on trial for Mr Magnitsky's death, former deputy head of Butyrka Dmitry Kratov, four days after Russian President Putin stated that Mr Magnitsky was not tortured and died from a heart failure	In Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, four days after Russian President Putin stated that Mr Magnitsky was not tortured and died from heart failure, prosecutor Bokov in an unusual U-turn asked to acquit Dmitry Kratov, former deputy head of Butyrka where Mr Magnitsky was held for almost four months, between 25 July and 16 November 2009, and was denied medical care, including prescribed ultrasound examination and operation	"With participation of state prosecutor - aid to Tverskoi interdistrict prosecutor of the city of Moscow D.K. Bokov...Besides, in court hearing the state prosecutor refused to support the accusation stated to D.B. Kratov due to the absence of cause-and-effect connection between acts of D.B. Kratov and the occurrence of death of S.L. Magnitsky and asked to issue D.B. Kratov an acquittal due to the absence of crime in his acts."	28-Dec-12	Verdict by Tverskoi District Court of Moscow to acquit Mr Kratov for the death of Mr Magnitsky	D1092.pdf
Prosecutor of Preobrazhensky District of Moscow										
Viktorina Viktorovna Aristova	03-May-71	Prosecutor of Preobrazhensky District of Moscow	<i>MPO</i>	B	In her position as district prosecutor, Ms Aristova was in charge of the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up his ill-treatment and the circumstances of his death, failed to collect essential evidence and refused applications for independent medical examinations from the Mr Magnitsky's family	As prosecutor of Preobrazhensky district of Moscow, Ms Aristova was directly overseeing the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death since its opening on 24 November 2009	Copy of this decree [to commence proceeding into unidentified employees of the Moscow prison system] has been sent to acting prosecutor of the Preobrazhensky district of the city of Moscow V.V. Aristova	24-Nov-09	Decree copied to Ms Aristova to commence criminal case into negligence of unidentified penal system employees for Mr Magnitsky's death	D1136.pdf
						Ms Aristova was instructed to report on the investigation of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death during which critical evidence was lost or not gathered, key eye witnesses not questioned or questioned with significant delay, and circumstances and motives of his arrest, detention and death were covered up	N.A. Shurygin to acting District Prosecutor for Preobrazhensky District V.V. Aristova: "The City Prosecutor's Office has placed under control the course and the outcomes of the investigation of the criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. Given above stated, please report monthly...with detailed information including in case of termination or suspension of the proceeding, the conclusion on the legality of decision taken."	26-Nov-09	Instruction to Ms Aristova from the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office to report on the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1731.pdf

Maia Victorovna Ershova	07-Aug-69	Acting first deputy prosecutor of Preobrazhensky district	<i>MPO</i>	B	In her position as prosecutor in the district prosecutor's office, was involved in the oversight of the criminal investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	Ms Ershova reported to her superiors in the Moscow City Prosecutor's office on the course of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	From M.V. Ershova to O.V. Levchenko of the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office (and D.V. Matrosov): "To fulfill your order I report that currently senior investigator of the investigative district...K.Y. Cherny is in charge of the criminal case No 366795 opened...into the fact of death in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow S.L. Magnitsky...The preliminary investigation is under control."	25-Dec-09	Report by Ms Ershova to the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office on the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1137.pdf
INTERIOR MINISTRY										
Alexander Nikolaevich Matveev		(ex) Deputy Head of Investigation Committee, General Major	<i>Interior Ministry</i>	A	In his role as senior Interior Ministry official, used his authority to continue to detain Mr Magnitsky in custody	Sixteen days before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Matveev authorised the decision to continue to detain him in custody on application from investigator Silchenko of 30 October 2009	A. Matveev: "Agree. Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of justice A.N. Matveev. Decree to file an application with the court to extend the period of detention of the defendant in custody ...There are grounds to believe that S.L. Magnitsky in case of selecting in relation to him of the measure of restriction not connected with the deprivation of liberty will flee from the bodies of preliminary investigation because he has a general travel passport.... according to the information from the Economic Security Service of the FSB (Federal Security Service) of Russia before his detention S.L. Magnitsky was obtaining at the embassy of Great Britain an entry visa...[I] rule to apply to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow to extend the period of detention in custody of the accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich..for 11 days, in total for 12 months, i.e. until 26 November 2009"	30-Oct-09	Authorisation by Mr Matveev of the extension of Mr Magnitsky's detention	D1192.pdf
Alexander Mikhailovich KRAKOVSKY	1975	Colonel, Deputy Head, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	<i>Interior Ministry</i>	A	Mr KRAKOVSKY is deputy to Mr ROMANOV, and a senior Interior Ministry official authorizing the false account of the fraudulent tax scheme uncovered by Sergei Magnitsky (under case No 678540)	https://legal.report/article/10112017/putin-uvlichil-chislo-generalov-v-mvd		27-May-16	Decree approved by Mr KRAKOVSKY to extend the term of investigation of case No 678540	D2213.pdf
Sergei Alexandrovich Borodulin	15-May-05	Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Major	<i>Interior Ministry</i>	B	In his position as deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department (successor to the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee), ordered to reopen the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky	Mr Borodulin ordered to reopen the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky 20 months after his death and assigned it to Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko, the same official who was in charge of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention. This was done in spite of the conclusions from the Russian President's Human Rights Council released a month earlier, about the unlawfulness of Mr Magnitsky's arrest	S.A. Borodulin: "The current criminal case [No 311578] was severed on 16 October 2009 from the criminal case No 153123 into a separate proceeding to complete the preliminary investigation to accuse S.L. Magnitsky in committing crimes under p.3 and p.5 of Article 33, pp.a,b of p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation...On 27 November 2009 the criminal case No 311578 to accuse S.L. Magnitsky was terminated...During the additional investigation it is necessary to determine close relatives of defendant S.L.Magnitsky, the circle of which is determined by the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, identify their opinion about the possibility to terminate the criminal case or to continue the proceeding on general terms with subsequent delivery of the case to a trial for a legal assessment of the procedural decisions adopted under the case, identification of the degree of guilt (or innocence) of S.L. Magnitsky in incriminated acts. On the basis of the stated...[I] rule 1. Resume the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 311578, assign it to senior investigator...Lt Colonel of justice O.F. Silchenko"	09-Aug-11	Decree by Mr Borodulin to reopen the case against Mr Magnitsky posthumously	D1148.pdf

Alexander Anatolievich Yagodin		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, Colonel	Interior Ministry	B	In his position as deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised the posthumous criminal case against Mr Magnitsky	Mr Yagodin authorised the continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky, and appointed to it the same Interior Ministry investigators, Mr Silchenko and Ms Sapunova, who were responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention, in spite of the conclusions by the Russian President's Human Rights Council about the unlawfulness of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention issued a month before his decree	A.A. Yagodin: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Colonel of Justice A.A. Yagodin, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 311578, has determined: The current criminal case was severed on 16 October 2009 into a separate proceeding from the criminal case No 153123 to complete the preliminary investigation to accuse S.L. Magnitsky in committing crimes...On 9 August 2011, the preliminary investigation on this criminal case was resumed by head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia...During the additional investigation of the case, given its complexity, a large number of investigative actions shall be undertaken and if necessary the proceeding will continue on general terms. On the basis of the above stated... [I] rule...1. Order the production of the proceeding under a criminal case No 311578 to an investigation group comprising: 1 senior investigator ...Lt Colonel of Justice O.F.Silchenko; - senior investigator ..Lt Colonel of Justice O.M. Sapunova"	16-Aug-11	Decree by Mr Yagodin to appoint investigators to the posthumous criminal case against Mr Magnitsky	D1149.pdf
Nikolai Ivanovich Shelepanov		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Major	Interior Ministry	A, B	In his position as senior Interior Ministry official, sanctioned the the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky and previously authorised the ill-treatment (denial of family visits) of Mr Magnitsky in custody	Mr Shelepanov authorised the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky and approved the extension of the period of preliminary investigation on the case (No 679591 severed from No 153123 and re-merged with No 311578) on application from Investigator Kibis from 24 July 2012	N.I. Shelepanov: "[I] Extend the term of preliminary investigation of the criminal case No 679591 for 68 months, i.e. until 15 November 2012. Head of the investigative body, Deputy Head of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of Justice N.I. Shelepanov"	31-Jul-12	Decree by Mr Shelepanov to prolong the investigation of the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1153.pdf
						Mr Shelepanov refused the request from Mr Magnitsky to see his mother in custody, and refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky seeking to reverse the decision of Interior Ministry investigator Silchenko to deny such visit with his mother as "inexpedient"	N.I. Shelepanov: "[I] rule 1. to refuse in satisfaction of the complaint of defendant S.L. Magnitsky seeking to cancel the decree by Investigator O.F. Silchenko of 18 June 2009 about the full refusal to satisfy the application and to oblige him to give a written permission to allow defendant to see his mother N.N. Magnitskaya."	10-Jul-09	Decree by Mr Shelepanov to refuse Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the denial of family visit in custody	D1154.pdf
Tatiana Kirillovna Gerasimova	24-May-63	(ex) First Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Lt	Interior Ministry	B	In her position as first deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, directed the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky dismissing findings of the Human Rights Council	In her decree, Ms Gerasimova approved the decision to continue the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky and directed to forward the case for further investigation to the Interior Ministry's branch for Central Federal District	T.K. Gerasimova: "The current case [No 311578] was severed on 16 October 2009 in a separate proceeding...from the criminal case No 153123...On 30 June 2011 the decree to close the criminal case No 311578 accusing S.L. Magnitsky was cancelled. On 09 August 2011 the preliminary investigation proceeding under the criminal case was resumed by the head of the investigative body...[I] rule to withdraw the case from senior investigation of especially important cases...Lt Col O.F. Silchenko and assign its further investigation to deputy head of section of investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District Lt Colonel B.B. Kibis."	02-Dec-11	Decree by Ms Gerasimova to continue the posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky	D1145.pdf
						After the Russian President's Human Rights Council released on 6 July 2011 the report on the violations of rights and law in the Magnitsky case, Ms Gerasimova dismissed their findings in a press interview by saying that the decisions on the case were lawful and confirmed by prosecutor's office and the court (http://mn.ru/newspaper_country/20110707/303166030.html)	T.K. Gerasimova: on the case "lawful and justified decisions were taken confirmed by the prosecutor's office and court"	07-Jul-11	Interview of Ms Gerasimova dismissing the findings of the Russian President's Human Rights Council on Magnitsky case	D1751.pdf

Sergei Nikolaevich Petryashov	1973	Head, Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	Interior Ministry	B	Mr PETRYASHOV in his position as head of division responsible for fighting organized crime and corruption, obstructed the disclosure of evidence to Ms. Magnitskaya concerning the criminal conspiracy (under case no 152961), and authorized the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme (under related case No 678540)			28-Nov-14	Letter by Mr PETRYASHOV refusing access to Ms. Magnitskaya to evidence of the fraudulent tax scheme	D2214.pdf
Alexander V. Romanov	25-Jan-67	Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Major	Interior Ministry	B	In his position as deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky	Mr Romanov authorised the continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and approved the extension of the period of investigation on application from Interior Ministry Investigator Kibis of 25 January 2012	A.V. Romanov: "[I] Extend the term of preliminary investigation under a criminal case No 679591 to 62 months 00 days, i.e. until 15 May 2012. Head of the investigative body, Deputy Head of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of Justice A.V. Romanov." On application: "This criminal case on 22 December 2011 was severed from the criminal case No 153123...into a separate proceeding in relation to W.F.Browder ...and S.L. Magnitsky."	01-Feb-12	Decree by Mr Romanov to extend the period of investigation of the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1150.pdf
						Mr Romanov authorised the continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and approved another extension of the period of investigation on application from Interior Ministry Investigator Kibis of 23 April 2012	A.V. Romanov: "[I] Extend the term of preliminary investigation under a criminal case No 679591 to 65 months 00 days, i.e. until 15 August 2012. Head of the investigative body, Deputy Head of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of Justice A.V. Romanov." On application: "This criminal case on 22 December 2011 was severed from the criminal case No 153123...into a separate proceeding in relation to W.F.Browder ...and S.L. Magnitsky."	26-Apr-12	Decree by Mr Romanov to extend the period of investigation of the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1151.pdf
Sergei A. Manakhov		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, Colonel	Interior Ministry	B	In his position as deputy head of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised Mr Magnitsky's posthumous criminal case finding no violations by investigators	Mr Manakhov authorised the continuation of the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky, and appointed a new head of the investigative group on the case	S.A. Manakhov: "The present criminal case was severed by the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District on 22 December 2011 in relation to the accused W.F. Browder and S.L. Magnitsky from the criminal case No 153123...On 3 May 2012, further investigation of the criminal case by the leadership of the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia was assigned to the investigative group, headed by ..Lt Colonel of justice B.B. Kibis, who is currently on an annual leave. In order to restructure the load of investigators of the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District, there evolved a need to change the composition of the investigative group, in the part of appointing a new head. On the basis of the above,...[I] rule to: 1. Change the composition of the investigative group under the criminal case No 679591, by including in it senior investigator of the 1st section of the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District, Captain of Justice M.Y. Shupolovsky."	21-Aug-12	Decree by Mr Manakhov to appoint investigator to the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1690.pdf
						Mr Manakhov authorised the further continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and in absentia Mr Browder, and refused the complaint from the lawyer about the reliance of the case on falsified Interior Ministry and FSB materials	S.A. Manakhov: "The requests from the lawyer I.A. Vasin based on the complaint are justified by the fact that during the review of materials of the criminal case, defence lawyers found documents, evidencing the falsification of materials of the criminal case at the time of its commencement which caused to file an application to terminate the criminal case in relation to W.B. Browder. On 24 July 2012, investigator B.B. Kibis in charge of this criminal case refused the complaint...there are no violations of the criminal procedural law by head of the investigative unit for the Central Federal District of the Interior Ministry of Russia N.I. Agafieva during the issuance of the decree to refuse the complaint from 18 September 2012, in view of which there are no grounds to satisfy the complaint."	29-Oct-12	Decree by Mr Manakhov to refuse the complaint about the falsification of case materials	D1152.pdf

Igor Afanasievich Tsokolov	03-Jan-56	Head of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity in the Economic Sphere, General Major	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee, was responsible for overseeing the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, consideration of his complaints, rights violations and denial of access to justice	Four months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Tsokolov instructed his subordinate, Mr Karlov, in relation to the application received from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers seeking to remove Interior Ministry officers who showed their partiality and conflict of interest from the case against Mr Magnitsky. In spite of the arguments posed in the application, named Interior Ministry officers were kept on the investigation team	I.A. Tsokolov to his deputy G. Karlov: "Please organise consideration in accordance with statutory order," and subsequent instruction from Mr Karlov to Mr Silchenko: "To prepare a decree in the name of O.V. Logunov [Deputy Head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry]"	15-Jul-09	Instruction by Mr Tsokolov on the request to remove Interior Ministry officers with conflict of interest from the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1719.pdf
						Mr Tsokolov oversaw the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, and 11 days prior to Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, instructed his subordinate, Ms Vinogradova, to consider Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the breach of his right to know the composition of the new investigation team appointed on the case	From I.A. Tsokolov to his subordinate N.V. Vinogradova: " To organise consideration of the complaint according to statutory order" on Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the violation of his right to know the investigators appointed on the case against him	05-Nov-09	Instruction by Mr Tsokolov to consider Mr Magnitsky's complaint from custody	D1708.pdf
						In his decree seven days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Tsokolov refused Mr Magnitsky's complaint against the violations of his procedural rights and access to justice and the failure to inform him of changes in the investigation group	I.A. Tsokolov: "Head of department...I.A. Tsokolov, having considered the complaint of defendant S.L. Magnitsky against the inaction of senior investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia major of justice O.F. Silchenko, the acts of investigator of the investigative group captain of justice R.A. Gritsai and materials of the criminal case No 311578,... determined..On 16 October 2009, deputy head of department - head of section of investigation of crimes against property and in the sphere of loans and finances Colonel G.V. Karlov has issued a decree under the criminal case No 311578 to conduct preliminary investigation proceeding by an investigation group. The composition of the investigation group includes, among others, investigator R.A. Gritsai. ...rule: 1. refuse the complaint from defendant S.L. Magnitsky against the inaction of senior investigator ...O.F. Silchenko and acts of investigator of the investigation group R.A. Gritsai."	09-Nov-09	Refusal by Mr Tsokolov of Mr Magnitsky's complaint from custody	D1718.pdf
Oleg Alexandrovich Bolotov		Colonel, Deputy Head of Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	Interior Ministry	B	Mr. BOLOTOV authorised and has been overseeing the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme (under case No 678540)			16-Jun-16	Decree to conduct search approved by Mr BOLOTOV under case No 678540	D2215.pdf
Sergei Isakovich Schneiderman		Deputy Head of 2nd Section of Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	Interior Ministry	B	Mr. SCHNEIDERMAN authorised and has been overseeing the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme (under case No 678540) by investigator RANCHENKOV and other Interior Ministry investigators.			16-Jun-16	Approval by Mr SCHNEIDERMAN of the decree to conduct search under case No 678540 sent to Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	D2216.pdf
Artem Vladimirovich Ranchenkov	16-Mar-78	Investigator of 2nd Section, Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	Interior Ministry	B	A successor to Mr SILCHENKO and Mr URZHUMTSEV. In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, Mr RANCHENKOV has been centrally responsible for the creation of the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme (under case No 678540),			20-Mar-15	Refusal by Mr RANCHENKOV of application from lawyer for Mr. Magnitsky's mother under case No 678540	D2217.pdf

							27-May-16	Decree by Mr RANCHENKOV to extend the term of investigation of case No 678540	D2213.pdf
							15-Jun-16	Decree by Mr RANCHENKOV to conduct search under case No 678540	D2218.pdf
Maxim Sergeevich Korovaikin	24-Feb-83	Investigator, Organised Crime and Corruption Investigations Division, Interior Ministry's Investigative Department	Interior Ministry	B	Together with Investigator RANCHENKOV, Investigator KOROVAIKIN in his role as investigator under case No 678540, is responsible for the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme, which Magnitsky had uncovered.		15-Dec-17	Decree by Tverskoi District Court refusing the complaint seeking to recognize the rights of victim, identifying Investigator KOROVAIKIN as investigator in charge of case No 678540	D2219.pdf
					In his letter to Mr. Magnitsky's colleague, Mr. Firestone, signed by Mr SHININ and executed by investigator FILIPPOV, Mr. Shinin refused an application for an inquiry into the role of officials in the concealment from liability of those involved in the fraudulent \$230 m In (5.4 bln rubles) tax scheme and other thefts from the budget under the guise of tax refunds uncovered by Mr. Magnitsky. Mr. Shinin informed that "no data" was found about the complicity of officials.	Y.M. SHININ: "The Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia has received from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation a copy of the crime report from 23.07.2012 sent by you to the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation in relation to the employees of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the concealment of persons who perpetrated the theft of 5.4 billion rubles. The arguments stated in your application concerning the theft and money laundering of 5.4 billion rubles will be taken into account during the investigation of the criminal case No 678540 opened on 01.07.2011...Currently, there are no data in the materials of this criminal case about the complicity of officials in the investigated crime. Besides, the lawfulness of actions of employees of law enforcement and tax bodies had been checked during the investigation of the criminal case No 152979 against V.G. Khlebnikov and other persons, who committed the theft from the budgets of the Russian Federation and the city of Moscow of funds in the amount of 5,409,503,006 rubles."	02-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr SHININ of the application seeking an investigation of the concealment by Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr. Magnitsky	D1147.pdf
Alexander P. Ryabinin		Investigator of especially important cases of investigative section of the investigation department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region, Major	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as member of two Interior Ministry's investigation teams, was involved in the criminal case used to detain Mr Magnitsky and the criminal case used to cover up the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Ryabinin was appointed to the repressive case (No 153123) under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested	12-Nov-08	Decree to appoint Mr Ryabinin on the investigative group on the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1746.pdf
					Mr Ryabinin was investigator in charge of the search of Mr Magnitsky's home on the day of his detention	"Protocol of search: Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.P. Ryabinin ...with participation from senior operative... A.O. Drozanov; senior operative...A.A.Krechetov, operative V.G. Kalashan...conducted a search in the flat of Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...to find and seize documents of OOO Kameya, OOO Pifagor Investments, OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion, OOO Rilend, OOO Saturn Investment, seals of said companies and other items and documents related to the activities of said companies."	24-Nov-08	Protocol of search by Mr Ryabinin of Mr Magnitsky's home on the day of his detention	D1199.pdf

					Mr Ryabinin was also appointed to the investigative case (No 152979) under which members of the criminal conspiracy responsible for the \$230 m theft exposed by Mr Magnitsky had been covered up, tax officials exonerated, and the blame for the theft was placed on a "sawmill employee", Victor Markelov who Mr Ryabinin questioned and from whom he obtained "full admission" of guilt	Protocol of questioning of defendant. Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.P. Ryabinin in the building of FBU IZ-77/7 in Moscow ...questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as a defendant: Markelov Victor Alexandrovich,... a sawmill foreman, ...convicted in 2002 under Section 1, Article 109 [Manslaughter] of the Criminal Code of Russian Federation, released on parole in 2003...V.A. Markelov: "Fully admit myself guilty of committing an offence under Section 4 Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation...I confirm the previously given testimony during the course of the preliminary investigation. On the request from my acquaintance Gasanov Oktai Gasanovich in 2007 I bought in my name OOO "Pluton", which later on became a shareholder in OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion and OOO Rilend. I became the general director of OOO Parfenion; my acquaintance Kurochkin Valery Nikolaevich became the general director of OOO Rilend; my acquaintance Khlebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich became general director of OOO Makahon. Gasanov and persons unknown to me produced a package of documents on behalf of OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend, which I, Khlebnikov and Kurochkin submitted to tax inspections No 25 and 28 in Moscow...As far as I understood, on the basis of these documents money from the budget were wired to OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend. How these money were taken from the accounts of OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend, I don't know; for OOO Parfenion I signed the wire transfers to make payments from the account. I don't know to what accounts the money were transferred to later on. As a result with my participation these money were stolen from the budget, however, I myself did not receive anything from that money."	20-Mar-09	Protocol of questioning by Mr Ryabinin of Mr Markelov about the theft of \$230 m	D1200.pdf	
					After Mr Magnitsky's death and the release of the list of 60 officials involved in the case to be considered for withdrawing the U.S. visa privilege, including Mr Ryabinin, Alexander Ryabinin commented on the initiative to Kommersant newspaper claiming that Mr Magnitsky's client "benefited" from his death and would be able to "return funds" from Russia using the visa bans on Russian officials	"A member of the investigative group of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry, Alexander Ryabinin who also may be refused entry to the USA told Ё [Kommersant] that in his opinion, "the US Congress does not want to study the real reasons of what happened, and the leadership of the fund uses their lobbying opportunities for profit gaining purposes." According to his words, the head of Hermitage Capital William Browder intends via this way to "return funds illegally earned on the territory of Russia." At the same time, Mr Ryabinin stressed that precisely the leadership of Hermitage Capital ended up benefiting from the death of the lawyer who could have told a lot about the activity of the fund during the court proceeding."	04-Oct-10	Interview by Mr Ryabinin to Kommersant	D1769.pdf	
Sergei Vladimirovich Oleinik	22-Oct-68	Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry's branch for Western administrative district of Moscow, captain	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as member of two Interior Ministry's investigation teams, was involved in the criminal case used to detain Mr Magnitsky and the criminal case used to cover up the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Oleinik was one of the Interior Ministry officers responsible for the detention of Mr Magnitsky	S.V. Oleinik: "Telephonogram. ...On 26 November 2008, at 10 hr 00 min the consideration of the application to select the measure of restriction in relation to S.L. Magnitsky will take place at the building of Tverskoi District court of city of Moscow, in accordance with Chapter 13 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. Due to this, it is necessary to ensure the presence and participation of [attorney] D.V. Kharitonov in these procedural acts in relation to his client. Telephonogram was sent on 24 November 2008 ...by S.V. Oleinik"	24-Nov-08	Telephonogram by Mr Oleinik about the intended detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1710.pdf
					Mr Oleinik sought in court to prolong the detention of Mr Magnitsky without trial on the basis of false FSB and Interior Ministry's reports	Mr Oleinik sought in court to prolong the detention of Mr Magnitsky without trial on the basis of false FSB and Interior Ministry's reports	"Ruling. Judge of Tverskoi District Court of City of Moscow A.V. Krivoruchko with the participation of the prosecutor of department of General Prosecutor's of RF A.V. Burov, investigator of the investigative group of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia S.V. Oleinik, accused S.L. Magnitsky, defence lawyers...has determined:...Investigator of the investigative and operations group S.V. Oleinik in court proceeding fully supported the application of investigator O.F. Silchenko to extend the period of detention in custody of accused S.L. Magnitsky...rule: extend the period of detention in custody of accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...for one month and 9 days, and in total for three months and 19 days, i.e. until 15 March 2009 inclusive."	19-Jan-09	Court ruling to extend Mr Magnitsky's detention supported by Mr Oleinik	D1723.pdf

				<p>On the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life, on 16 November 2009, Mr Oleinik was in Butyrka detention center where a medical record explaining why Mr Magnitsky could not leave his cell was given to an investigator and concealed from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers. On that day, Mr Magnitsky was moved in secrecy from his lawyers from Butyrka to Matrosskaya Tishina detention center where his death was recorded few hours later</p>	<p>"On Monday, 16 November 2009 around 11:00 [am] investigator Oleinik called me and said that he received a room and enters the detention center. I got in the detention center only around 14:00...Having arrived up to the investigative room, I saw there only investigator Oleinik Sergei Vladimirovich. To my question, where is S.L. Magnitsky he replied that he was waiting for him since the morning and most likely he is not going to be brought in, because he was told that Magnitsky felt poorly and he would be given a corresponding report...At that time another member of the investigative group arrived to the investigative room - I.A. Varganov...In the evening of 16 November 2009 I called to investigator O.F. Silchenko and asked to inform me about the content of the report on the health of Magnitsky, which was issued to them. To my question investigator Silchenko said that this report was an investigative secret, and he would not disclose it to me."</p>	29-Jan-10	<p>Testimony by Oreshnikova indicating Mr Oleinik's presence in detention center on the last day of Magnitsky's life</p>	D1762.pdf
				<p>Mr Oleinik was also member of the investigation of the Interior Ministry case into the \$230 million theft (No 152979) which covered up the criminal conspiracy and blamed the theft on a sawmill employee (Mr Markelov) and a dead man (Mr Gasanov). Under this case, Mr Oleinik attempted to obtain a testimony from Mr Magnitsky after Mr Magnitsky was subjected to pressure in the Interior Ministry's detention facility. Mr Magnitsky stated that he had been wrongfully moved to a temporary holding facility, as recorded in the protocol of questioning of Mr Magnitsky given to Mr Oleinik</p>	<p>S.V. Oleinik: "Protocol of questioning of a witness. ..Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia Captain of Justice S.V. Oleinik in the building of the investigative office No1 of IVS No 1 of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry...has questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as a witness...Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich... Investigator's question: Do you know a citizen named Gasanov Oktai Gasanovich? Reply from S.L. Magnitsky: Before the beginning of the questioning, I was not informed about the criminal case and the circumstances about which they wish to question me as a witness. Besides, three days before the start of questioning I was unlawfully transferred from the detention center to IVS [Temporary Holding Facility of the Interior Ministry in Moscow] to exert pressure upon me via inflicting on me additional physical and moral suffering. Because of these circumstances, I am concerned that any testimony that I may be coerced into giving in these conditions may be used against me. Due to this, I refuse to give testimony in accordance with Article 51 of the Constitution of RF ...in the premises of IVS on this or other criminal cases. S.L. Magnitsky"</p>	24-Feb-09	<p>Protocol by Mr Oleinik of questioning of Mr Magnitsky in custody</p>	D1173.pdf
				<p>Under the Interior Ministry case No 152979, which recognised tax officials who approved in one day the \$230 million tax refund applications based on forged document as "victims" and blamed the theft instead on a "sawmill employee" (Mr Markelov), Mr Oleinik questioned tax official from Moscow Tax Office No 28 Mr Tretiakov as a representative of the "victim"</p>	<p>"Protocol of questioning of a victim. ..Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia Captain of Justice S.V. Oleinik in the office No 83 of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia...has questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as a victim...Tretiakov Maxim Alexeevich...Head of Legal Department of the Inspection of Federal Tax Service ["IFNS"] No 28 in Moscow...Victim: ...On 19 February 2009 according to the documents received by us from the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, we learned that heads of OOO Parfenion and OOO Makhaon earlier, in 2007, submitted to IFNS No 28 in Moscow false documents to justify the overpayment of income tax for year 2006, and via this way unlawfully received from the budget 3,276,188,017,48 rubles and 372,825, 989 rubles, and also caused damage to the business reputation of IFNS No 28 in Moscow and material harm for the stated amounts."</p>	27-Feb-09	<p>Protocol by Mr Oleinik of questioning of official of Tax Office which approved the fraudulent tax refund as a "victim"</p>	D1172.pdf

Marina Olegovna Sapunova	19-Jun-71	Investigator of Investigation Department, Lt Colonel	Interior Ministry	A, B	In her position as member of the Interior Ministry's investigation team, was assigned to question Mr Magnitsky's mother in the posthumous case against her son. Previously as member of two investigation teams, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's prosecution and detention, and for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy behind the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Sapunova was investigator assigned to question Mr Magnitsky's mother as a witness in the posthumous case against her son	"In accordance with Article 53, Section 5 of Article 189 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, you must show up on 29 August 2011 10 am to the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia ...to investigator of the investigative group M.O. Sapunova to attend the questioning of Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna as a witness under the criminal No 311578. Deputy head of 3d section of the department of investigating organised crime activity S.A. Shamin"	16-Aug-11	Summons to lawyer to appear to Ms Sapunova for questioning of Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1166.pdf
						Ms. Sapunova offered Mr Magnitsky in custody to "cooperate" with the investigation as detailed in the official Interior Ministry "protocol". Mr Magnitsky refused the offer. The offer was made at Butyrka detention center to where Mr Magnitsky was transferred on 25 July 2009 and where he was denied medical care for a month prior to the offer of "cooperation" being made	"Investigator of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Lt Colonel of Justice M.O. Sapunova under the criminal case No 153123 at the premises of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] guided by Chapter 40-1 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF explained in the presence of lawyer Oreshnikova E....to defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich the right to file an application to conclude a pre-trial agreement on cooperation."	27-Aug-09	Protocol of Ms Sapunova offering Mr Magnitsky "cooperation" in custody	D1167.pdf
					Under the Interior Ministry case No 152979, which covered up the \$230 m theft, Ms Sapunova questioned Mr Markelov, an alleged "sawmill employee", previously convicted for manslaughter, and who was subsequently convicted for the \$230 m theft In his evidence to Ms Sapunova, Mr Markelov named "Sergei Leonidovich" [Magnitsky], without giving his last name, as allegedly meeting him and a Mr Gasanov, who was deceased at the time of testimony, in an apparent attempt to falsely blame Mr Magnitsky for the \$230 m theft. Mr Markelov also claimed that he acted to open a new bank account that received the fraudulent tax refund on an instruction from Mr Gasanov, even though Mr Gasanov had been deceased since 1 October 2007, for two months before the account opening on 17 December 2007 and the filing of the application for the fraudulent refund by Mr Markelov and its approval by Moscow Tax Office on 24 December 2007. Ms Sapunova failed to point out this irregularity in Mr Markelov's testimony, and the investigation proceeded to accept Mr Markelov's evidence as credible and charge him for the \$230 m theft	"Protocol of additional questioning of accused...Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia Lt Colonel of Justice M.O. Sapunova in the premises of IVS No1 of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia in Moscow in accordance with Articles 174 and 189 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF additionally questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as an accused Markelov Victor Alexandrovich...Please describe the appearance of a man whom O.G. Gasanov named Sergei Leonidovich. What signs can you use to describe him?...Answer:...We met at the end of August-early September 2007, it was still warm. Sergei Leonidovich came out of the building wearing only a suit. I don't remember his voice, because I didn't talk to him, only heard hellos and parts of phrases addressed to O.G. Gasanov...Answer: I opened a bank account in Russian rubles for OOO Parfenion in KB "Intercommerz"...The account I opened on instruction from O.G. Gasanov..The documents necessary to open an account for OOO Parfenion in KB "Intercommerz" I received from Gasanov. He also told me what to do and where to go."	25-Feb-09	Protocol by Ms Sapunova of questioning of Mr Markelov under the case about the theft of \$230 m	D1168.pdf	

Yuri Mikhailovich Shinin	12-Oct-60	First Deputy Head, Investigation Department, General Major	Interior Ministry	B	In his position as first deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, was responsible for concealing from liability members of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In his letter to lawyer representing Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, signed by Mr Shinin and executed by investigator Filippov, Mr Shinin refused Mr Firestone's application seeking a proper and thorough investigation of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky responsible for the systematic refunds of public funds via tax offices No 25 and No 28. Mr Shinin informed that "no confirmation" was found by investigators of the allegations in relation to Mr Klyuev and Mr Orlov named in Mr Firestone's application, blocking further investigation	Y.M. Shinin: "The Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 10.09.2012 considered your complaint in the interests of Jamison Reed Firestone about purported breaches of the law during the consideration of the application under Article 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russian Federation, concerning D.V. Klyuev and S.V. Orlov....The arguments stated in the application of J.R.Firestone were checked by investigative manner under the investigation of the criminal case and have not found confirmation."	28-Sep-12	Refusal by Mr Shinin of the application seeking an investigation of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1146.pdf
						In his letter to Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, signed by Mr Shinin and executed by investigator Filippov, Mr Shinin refused an application seeking an inquiry into the concealment by officials of the Russian Interior Ministry and the General Prosecutor's Office of the criminal conspiracy involved in the theft of \$230 m (5.4 bln rubles) and other thefts from the budget under the guise of tax refunds uncovered by Mr Magnitsky. Mr Shinin informed that "no data" was found about the complicity of officials on case file	Y.M. Shinin: "The Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia has received from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation a copy of the crime report from 23.07.2012 sent by you to the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation in relation to the employees of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the concealment of persons who perpetrated the theft of 5.4 billion rubles. The arguments stated in your application concerning the theft and money laundering of 5.4 billion rubles will be taken into account during the investigation of the criminal case No 678540 opened on 01.07.2011...Currently, there are no data in the materials of this criminal case about the complicity of officials in the investigated crime. Besides, the lawfulness of actions of employees of law enforcement and tax bodies had been checked during the investigation of the criminal case No 152979 to accuse V.G. Khlebnikov and other persons, who committed the theft from the budgets of the Russian Federation and the city of Moscow of funds in the amount of 5,409,503,006 rubles."	02-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Shinin of the application seeking an investigation of the concealment by Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1147.pdf
A.V. Saribzhanov		Acting head of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity and Corruption of the Investigation Department	Interior Ministry	B	In his position as senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised the continuation of the fabricated criminal case (No 678540) falsely posthumously naming Mr Magnitsky as a perpetrator of the \$230 m theft that he had uncovered	In his letter, Mr Saribzhanov refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking an investigation into the illicit assets of Mr Markelov convicted for \$230 m theft. Mr Saribzhanov informed that the checks carried out by the Interior Ministry did not uncover illicit assets of Mr Markelov, relying on "pension fund" records, even though the application from Mr Firestone identified corporate, not personal, assets registered in Mr Markelov's name	"Your application in the interests of J.R. Firestone from 31 March 2011 sent to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation to check the lawfulness of the criminal prosecution of V.A. Markelov convicted on 28 April 2009 by Tverskoi district court in Moscow under a criminal case No 311605 has been considered by the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia in the part concerning the check into the arguments of the applicant about the illicit enrichment of V.A. Markelov....Based on received information (including from the Russian Registry and the unit of Pension Fund of the Russian Federation in Rostov region), the information stated in the application from J.R. Firestone about assets of V.A. Markelov were not confirmed. The property belonging to V.A. Markelov which can be seized under Art.Art.104.1 of the Criminal Code and 115 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation has not been discovered by carried out activities."	11-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Saribzhanov of application seeking an investigation of the criminal conspiracy member's illicit assets	D1179.pdf

					In his decree, Mr Saribzhanov refused to investigate Interior Ministry investigators Urzumtsev and Filippov who posthumously on the basis of false materials named Mr Magnitsky as complicit in the \$230 million that he had uncovered, finding "no grounds" for an inquiry	A.V. Saribzhanov: "Having considered the complaint...in the interest of N.N. Magnitskaya under the criminal case No 678540, ...determined...The lawfulness and justification of the commencement of the criminal case No 678540 initiated by ...investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev has been checked on numerous occasions by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and by courts, due to the complaints and applications from interested parties. No violations of the requirements of the law have been identified thereby, and the decree to commence the criminal case No 678540 was deemed lawful and justified...The subject of the investigation under the criminal case No 678540 involves the circumstances of legalisation by unidentified persons of criminally obtained funds and not the investigative inquiry to identify data concerning the constitutional rights and basic freedoms of S.L. Magnitsky....Therefore, there are no grounds for conducting internal probes concerning investigators O.V. Urzhumtsev and R.A. Filippov, and the arguments of the lawyer seeking to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree from 01.07.2011 to open the criminal case No 678540, and to deem untrue the data stated in the same decree, must be considered baseless."	29-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Saribzhanov of complaint about the false posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky	D1180.pdf	
Ruslan Alexandrovich Filippov	24-Nov-76	Investigator of especially important cases of the 3d unit of investigation of especially important cases in the sphere of credit and finance and high technology of the Department of investigation of organised criminal activity of the Investigation Department, Lt Colonel	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as investigator of the Interior Ministry on the fabricated case into the money laundering of the \$230 m (No 678540), was responsible for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and falsely posthumously accusing Mr Magnitsky; previously had been assigned on the repressive case leading to the arrest of Mr Magnitsky	Mr Filippov was appointed to the investigative group on the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was later arrested. The formation of the investigative team was ordered several days after the Hermitage Fund reported to the Russian authorities the details of the criminal conspiracy that had misappropriated three of Hermitage Fund's Russian companies and stole \$230 m they had paid in taxes, and sought its investigation	"Decree to conduct a preliminary investigation by an investigation group.... Given the large amount of investigative actions on the case, its complexity, multiple episodes, public significance and international nature, it is expedient to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigation group...[I] rule: 1. Assign the production of criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising:...Major of Justice Filippov Ruslan Alexandrovich..."	29-Jul-08	Decree appointing Mr Filippov to the case under which Mr Magnitsky was subsequently arrested	D1183.pdf
					Mr Filippov was in charge of the investigation of the criminal case opened on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin of 16 June 2011 to purportedly investigate the money laundering of the \$230 m stolen from the Russian budget by "unidentified persons". The order and proceeding ignored the evidence given by Mr Magnitsky about the criminal conspiracy and instead posthumously blamed Mr Magnitsky himself for perpetrating the \$230 m theft	R.A. Filippov: "I, investigator of especially important cases...R.A. Filippov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 678540, have determined that the criminal case was commenced on 01.07.2011 based on signs of crime under Section 4 Article 174 of the Criminal Code in relation to unidentified persons who during the period from December 2007 through the end of 2008 conducted a series of financial operations and other transactions in large amount with the funds stolen from the budget of the Russian Federation and the subject of RF - Moscow, in the amount of 5 409 503 006.48 rubles...Rule to: 1. accept the criminal case No 678540 for proceeding and begin the investigation. 2. forward a copy of this decree to Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, state counsellor of 1st class, V.Y. Grin."	01-Aug-11	Decree by Mr Filippov to conduct proceedings under the fabricated case into the money laundering of \$230 m	D1699.pdf	

					Mr Filippov refused the complaint from the lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother who challenged as false the inclusion of allegations in relation to Mr Magnitsky posthumously that he allegedly was a co-conspirator in the \$230 m theft	R.A. Filippov: "The Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 13.08.2012 has received a complaint in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya under the criminal case No 678540 against the acts of senior investigator of especially important cases O.V. Urzhumtsev. ...In your opinion, investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev did not have lawful grounds to indicate in the decree to commence criminal case the name of S.L. Magnitsky. In this regard, you've asked to deem the inclusion of the name of S.L. Magnitsky in the decree to commence the criminal case No 678540 unacceptable and affecting the constitutional rights and basic freedoms of S.L. Magnitsky as well as defaming his honour and dignity. The analysis of the arguments you posed and the materials of the criminal case has determined that the decree to commence the criminal case No 678540 has been checked on more than one occasion by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation, Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, including in relation to the complaints and applications from clients and based on the results of carried out checks has been recognised as lawful and justified..."	23-Aug-12	Refusal by Mr Filippov against posthumous naming of Magnitsky for the \$230 m theft he had uncovered	D1717.pdf	
					In the letter to lawyer representing Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, executed by R.A. Filippov and signed by Mr Shinin, they refused his complaint concerning the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, refused to open an investigation into the named members of the criminal conspiracy (Klyuev, Orlov), and instead falsely posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as perpetrator of the \$230 m theft that he had uncovered	(Executed by R.A. Filippov, signed by Mr Shinin): "The Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 10.09.2012 has reviewed your complaint in the interests of Jamison Firestone Reed about alleged breaches of the law during the consideration of the application received in accordance with Art. Art. 144-145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, in relation to D.V. Klyuev and S.V. Orlov. On 21.03.2012 from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia received for an inquiry by investigative means under the criminal case No 678540 an application from Jamison Firestone Reed seeking to commence a criminal case or to refuse a criminal case in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the cover-up of persons who had perpetrated the theft of 5.4 billion rubles. The received application was attached to the materials of the criminal case No 678540...This criminal case was opened into the fact of legalisation of funds stolen earlier by V.A. Markelov, V.G. Khlebnikov, V.N. Kurochkin, S.M. Korobeinikov, O.G. Gasanov and S.L. Magnitsky via deceit from the budgets of the Russian Federation and city of Moscow in the amount of 5,409,503,006 rubles. The arguments stated in the application from Jamison Firestone Reed were checked via investigative means under the criminal case and did not find confirmation....Executed by R.A.	28-Sep-12	Refusal executed by Mr Filippov of the application seeking a criminal investigation into named members of the criminal conspiracy	D1184.pdf	
P.V. Zotov		Deputy head of section of Department of Investigation of Organized Criminal Activity and Corruption	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as official in the Interior Ministry's Investigative Department, refused to open an investigation into a member of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Zotov refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone seeking an inquiry into illicit assets of a member of the criminal conspiracy (V Markelov) in whose name two companies were registered in 2006 with capital of about US\$2 million and who was subsequently convicted for the \$230 m theft, finding "no confirmation" of the points made in the application	P.V. Zotov: "I report that your application about the unjustified enrichment of V.A. Markelov has been considered. Carried out investigative and operative activities did not identify the property which can be subject to seizure subject to Art. Art. 104.1 of the Criminal Code and 115 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. The arguments stated in the application from your client, J.R. Firestone, have been checked and have not been confirmed."	08-Oct-12	Letter by Mr Zotov refusing an application for an inquiry into illicit assets of a member of the criminal conspiracy	D1181.pdf
Pavel Igorevich Tambovtsev	13-Jan-81	Senior Investigator of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity and Corruption of the Investigation Department	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as investigator of the Interior Ministry on the fabricated case into the money laundering of \$230 m (No 678540), was responsible for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and the false posthumous accusation of Mr Magnitsky in the theft he had uncovered	In a letter to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Tambovtsev informed about the refusal of the application from 24 December 2012 seeking an inquiry into decisions by Interior Ministry Investigators Urzutmsev and Filippov who posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as complicit in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	P.I. Tambovtsev: "Hereby to inform that your complaint from 24.12.2012 (in accordance with Article 124 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation) under the criminal case No 678540 has been considered in the manner stipulated by the law and it has been refused."	29-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Tambovtsev of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother about the false posthumous allegations against her son	D1182.pdf

					Mr Tambovtsev summoned Mr Magnitsky's brother-in-law for questioning at the Interior Ministry three years after Mr Magnitsky's death	P.I. Tambovtsev: "You must show up to investigator P.I. Tambovtsev to the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Moscow, Gazetny per., 4, office 309 for questioning as a witness on 21.02.2013 at 14:00."	19-Feb-13	Summons from Mr Tambovtsev to Mr Magnitsky's brother-in-law	D1700.pdf	
R.A. Gritsai		Senior investigator of especially important cases of the investigative unit of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region, Captain	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was assigned to the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky two months before his death, and did not intervene to cease his rights violations in spite of Mr Magnitsky's evidence naming the criminal conspiracy and the case against him being a retribution	Mr Gritsai was appointed to the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky (No 153123) two months before Mr Magnitsky's death	General O.V Logunov: "Having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123, ...rule: ...2. Include in the membership of the investigation group : - senior investigator of especially important cases of the investigative unit of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region, Captain of justice R.A. Gritsai"	01-Sep-09	Decree to amend the composition of the investigation group and include Mr Gritsai	D1202.pdf
					One month before his death, Mr Magnitsky gave to Mr Gritsai evidence from custody in which he detailed his repressive persecution being a retribution in the interests of the criminal conspiracy and described his ill-treatment and pressure to give false testimony. Mr Gritsai failed to intervene to cease Mr Magnitsky's rights violations	"Protocol of additional questioning of accused. Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, major of justice R.A. Gritsai at the premises of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...additionally questioned under the criminal case No 153123 as an accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. ..S.L. Magnitsky: In my view, Kuznetsov and other law enforcement officers, who acted in complicity with Kuznetsov, could be involved in the theft of "Rilend", "Mahaon", and "Parfenion" and in the subsequent theft of 5.4 billion rubles [\$230 m] from the state budget as described above. They were extremely interested in the suppression of my activities that I performed to assist my client in the investigation of the circumstances of the case involving the criminal offences, and that was the reason for the illegal criminal prosecution that was initiated against me by investigator Silchenko. I believe that with the involvement of investigator Silchenko O.F., or with his tacit consent the inhuman and degrading conditions were created for me in the pre-trial detention ward. During the period of my custody I was transferred five times to four different detention centers. I am tired of counting the number of cells to which I was transferred an incalculable number of times. Medical care is not provided to me. Repeatedly and for no logical reasons I was denied to meet my mother and wife, and telephone conversations with my minor children are not allowed...I am sure that they intentionally created for me such intolerable conditions with the knowledge of the investigators. I am sure that the only possible way for me to stop all this derision is to agree to the invented charges and give untrue statements about myself and about other persons."	14-Oct-09	Testimony to Mr Gritsai by Mr Magnitsky about his persecution in custody	D1754.pdf	
A.A. Malygina		Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow oblast, Lt	Interior Ministry	A	In her role as Interior Ministry investigator, was appointed on the investigation team on the case against Mr Magnitsky	Ms Malygina was appointed on order from Deputy Head of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov to the investigation group on the case against Mr Magnitsky (No 153123) on 19 June 2009 where she served until 1 September 2009 when she was replaced with another investigator, Mr Varganov	General O.V Logunov: "Include in the membership of the investigation group on the criminal case No 153123: ..investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow region, Lt of justice A.A. Malygina"	19-Jun-09	Decree to amend composition of the investigation group and appoint Ms Malygina	D1205.pdf
I.A. Varganov		Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow region, Captain	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was appointed on the case against Mr Magnitsky and attended detention center on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life	Mr Varganov was appointed on order from Deputy Head of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov to the investigation group on the case against Mr Magnitsky (No 153123) two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	General O.V Logunov: "Having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123, ...rule: ...2. Include in the membership of the investigation group : - investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow region, Captain of justice I.A. Varganov"	01-Sep-09	Decree to amend composition of the investigation group and include Mr Varganov	D1203.pdf

					According to testimony from Magnitsky's lawyer Ms Oreshnikova, Mr Varganov attended Butyrka detention center on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life, when the medical report about grounds for Mr Magnitsky's not being able to leave his cell was concealed from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers	"On Monday, 16 November 2009 around 11:00 [am] investigator Oleinik called me and said that he received a room and enters the detention center. I got in the detention center only around 14:00...Having arrived up to the investigative room, I saw there only investigator Oleinik Sergei Vladimirovich. To my question, where is S.L. Magnitsky he replied that he was waiting for him since the morning and most likely he is not going to be brought in, because he was told that Magnitsky felt poorly and he would be given a corresponding report...At that time another member of the investigative group arrived to the investigative room - I.A. Varganov...In the evening of 16 November 2009 I called to investigator O.F. Silchenko and asked to inform me about the content of the report on the health of Magnitsky, which was issued to them. To my question investigator Silchenko said that this report was an investigative secret, and he would not disclose it to me."	29-Jan-10	Testimony naming Mr Varganov attending Butyrka on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life	D1750.pdf	
Alexander Vasilievich Chikh	18-Jun-83	Senior investigator of the 4th unit of the investigative section of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for North Western Administrative district in Moscow, Senior Lt	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, served on the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, and attended Butyrka detention center on the day after Mr Magnitsky's death	Mr Chikh was appointed to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was detained by decision of Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov	General O.V Logunov: "Include in the membership of the investigation group on the criminal case No 153123: ...senior investigator of the 4th unit of the investigative section of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for North Western Administrative district in Moscow, Senior Lt of justice A.V. Chikh"	19-Jun-09	Decree to amend composition of the investigation group and include Mr Chikh	D1201.pdf
					According to testimony from Magnitsky's lawyer Oreshnikova, Mr Chikh attended Butyrka detention center on the next day after Mr Magnitsky's death (17 November 2009) and had access to the special zone of detention center where the lawyer was not allowed	E.V. Oreshnikova: "On 17 November 2009 I went to FBU IZ-77/2 [Butyrka]. ...Around 9:40 [am] I arrived to FBU IZ-77/2, where near the window of the issuance of receipts I saw the member of the investigative group Chikh, I don't recall his first name and patronymic now, who was waiting for a room or a notice of the transfer of Magnitsky to a different detention center. I asked the employee who was issuing receipts, to issue me a notice of transfer of S.L. Magnitsky to a different detention center. In some time I got a call from Natalia Nikolaevna Magnitskaya [Mr Magnitsky's mother] who was told that her son had died. ..At that time the girl who was issuing receipts in FBU-IZ/2 told about the death of Magnitsky to investigator Chikh to whom she gave a pass to access the special unit to obtain a receipt. I also asked to give me a pass to enter the special unit of FBU IZ-77/2 in order to obtain the official receipt, which was refused to me on the ground that it was a special facility and lawyers were not allowed there..."	29-Jan-10	Testimony about presence of Mr Chikh in detention center on the day after Mr Magnitsky's death	D1749.pdf	
E.V. Mikhailov		Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Belgorod, Senior Lt	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was assigned to the case under which Mr Magnitsky was detained	Mr Mikhailov was appointed to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested by decision of Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: - investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry's branch for Belgorod, Senior Lt of justice E.V. Mikhailov."	12-Nov-08	Decree to compose the investigation group and include Mr Mikhailov	D1204.pdf
Danila Vasilievich Maruschenko		Senior operative of the 4th unit of ORB No8 of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Lt Col	Interior Ministry	A	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was appointed to the repressive proceeding later used to arrest Mr Magnitsky	Mr Marushenko was assigned to the repressive proceeding used to arrest Mr Magnitsky four months later	General O.V Logunov: "Decree to conduct a preliminary investigation proceeding by an investigation group...it is expedient to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigation group...rule...3. Involve in the investigation group senior operative of the 4th unit of ORB No8 of the Interior Ministry of Russia Lt Col of police Marushenko Danila Vasilievich"	29-Jul-08	Decree to compose investigative group naming Mr Marushenko	D1214.pdf

Natalia Ivanovna Agafieva	21-Jan-58	Head of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District (since fall 2012), Colonel	Interior Ministry	B	In her position as head of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, authorised the accusation conclusion in relation to Mr Magnitsky three years after his death in custody	Ms Agafieva authorised the posthumous accusation conclusion in relation to Mr Magnitsky. The conclusion falsely alleged that Mr Magnitsky's relatives "demanded the conduct of a rehabilitation procedure, which in turn is reflected in the decision of the Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow from 3 April 2012..." while in fact the Magnitsky relatives in those court proceedings challenged the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor Grin to resume the posthumous proceedings against Mr Magnitsky and filed over 20 complaints against it	N.I. Agafieva: "The Accusation Conclusion... in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich who had been accused of committing crimes stipulated by part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199, part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (in the version of 08.12.2003 No 162 FZ)...Accusation conclusion is composed in the city of Moscow on 20 November 2012 and together with the criminal case No 679591 sent to Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, state counsellor of justice of 1st class, V.Y. Grin. [signed by] Senior Investigator M. Y. Shupolovsky. Agreed [by] N.I. Agafieva."	20-Nov-12	Posthumous accusation by Ms Agafieva in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1221.pdf
S.B. Soloviev		Head of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District (2011-summer 2012)	Interior Ministry	B	In his position as head of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, refused complaints against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	In his decree, Mr Soloviev refused the complaint filed by lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son, the summonses to relatives and the decree to name relatives as representatives of deceased defendant	S.B. Soloviev: "Head of the investigative body...S.B. Soloviev, having considered the complaint of lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 153123, have determined...The acts of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis summoning N.N. Magnitskaya and her lawyer N.A. Gorokhov for the participation in the investigative actions have been carried out within the authority of the investigator under Article 38 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, and are conditioned by the need to implement the rights of N.N. Magnitskaya as the lawful representative of the deceased defendant, set out by Section 3 of Article 45 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF in the part of reviewing the documents that have been shown to the defendant, or must be shown to the defendant, and the need to obtain from N.N. Magnitskaya a consent, or lack of consent concerning the possibility to terminate the criminal case in relation to the deceased defendant S.L. Magnitsky on ground set out by p. 4 Section 1 of Article 2 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF. Therefore, violations in the actions of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis have not been identified. On the basis of the above, ...rule to: refuse to satisfy the complaint from 20.12.2011 ...in the part seeking to recognise as unlawful and subject to cancellation the decree from 28 September 2011 which recognised N.N. Magnitskaya as "lawful representative of the deceased defendant" under the criminal case No 311578 and to recognise as unlawful the acts of investigator B.B. Kibis summoning for investigative actions N.N. Magnitskaya and N.A. Gorokhov."	22-Dec-11	Refusal by Mr Soloviev of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1223.pdf
						In his decree, Mr Soloviev refused the complaint filed by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky, the summonses to relatives and the decree to name relatives as representatives of deceased defendant	S.B. Soloviev: "Head of the investigative body...S.B. Soloviev, having considered the complaint of N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 679591, have determined...All above mentioned procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecution until the current time have not been cancelled, and therefore are lawful, subject to implementation...Rule: to refuse to satisfy the complaint from 16.01.2011 of N.N. Magnitskaya and lawyer N.N. Gorokhov seeking to protect her and all other relatives of her son - S.L. Magnitsky from the unlawful prosecution under the criminal case No 679591 by investigator B.B. Kibis and members of his investigative group, to recognise as unlawful and subject to cancellation the decree from 28 September 2011 which recognized Ms Magnitskaya as "lawful representative of the deceased defendant" and to apply measures in accordance with the law to officials who allowed the violation of the law and rights of N.N. Magnitskaya."	18-Jan-12	Refusal by Mr Soloviev of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1222.pdf

Gleb Valerievich Smirnov		Deputy Head of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, Major	<i>Interior Ministry</i>	B	In his position as deputy head of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, refused the complaints against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky and his relatives	In his refusal of the complaint against posthumous prosecution from Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Smirnov concluded that there had been no grounds for rehabilitation of Mr Magnitsky identified by the Interior Ministry and to remove investigator Kibis from the posthumous case, finding all decisions have been lawful	G.V.Smirnov: "Head of investigative body...G.V. Smirnov, having considered the complaint from N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 679591, has determined...during the continuation of the preliminary investigation under the criminal cases No 311578 and No 153123 no grounds for rehabilitation of deceased S.L. Magnitsky have been established on the part of the investigative bodies. In this situation, having regard for the requirements of the Constitutional Court of Russian Federation, the case is transferred to the court for consideration in general order... All above mentioned procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecution until the current time have not been cancelled, and therefore are lawful, subject to implementation....There are no circumstances giving grounds to believe that deputy head of section B.B. Kibis personally, directly or indirectly in interested in the outcome of this criminal case. Therefore, no violations in actions of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis and other officials have been established by the conducted check, all procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation complained about have been issued lawfully and justifiably, there are no grounds to remove B.B. Kibis from the investigation of the criminal case."	26-Jan-12	Refusal by Mr Smirnov of complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1224.pdf
						Mr Smirnov refused the complaint against the continuation of the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky against the family will	G.V. Smirnov: "Head of investigative body...G.V. Smirnov, having considered the complaint from N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 679591, has determined...during the continuation of the preliminary investigation under the criminal cases No 311578 and No 153123 no grounds for rehabilitation of deceased S.L. Magnitsky have been established on the part of the investigative bodies. In this situation, having regard for the requirements of the Constitutional Court of Russian Federation, the case is transferred to the court for consideration in general order...All above mentioned procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecution until the current time have not been cancelled, and therefore are lawful, subject to implementation. At the same time, the position of N.N. Magnitskaya in the part concerning the disagreement with the further proceeding under the case but with the existence of the above mentioned demands to withdraw from S.L. Magnitsky all accusations and terminate the criminal case indicates the disagreement with the termination of the criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky on ground of p 4, Section 1 Article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF (due to the death of defendant) and obliges the bodies of preliminary investigation to send the materials of the criminal case to the court to consider in general order...There are no circumstances giving grounds to believe that deputy head of section B.B. Kibis personally, directly or indirectly in interested in the outcome of this criminal case. Therefore, no violations in actions of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis and other officials have been established by the conducted check, all procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation complained about have been issued lawfully and justifiably, there are no grounds to remove B.B. Kibis from the investigation of the	10-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Smirnov of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1225.pdf
Mikhail Yurievich Shupolovsky	28-Sep-83	Senior Investigator of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District	<i>Interior Ministry</i>	B	In his position as investigator of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, issued the accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky three years after his death in custody	Mr Shupolovsky signed the posthumous accusation conclusion in relation to Mr Magnitsky falsely stating that his relatives "demanded for the conduct of a rehabilitation procedure, which in turn is reflected in the decision of the Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow from 3 April 2012..." while in fact the Magnitsky relatives in those court proceedings challenged the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor Grin to resume the posthumous proceedings against Mr Magnitsky and filed over 20 complaints against it	Senior Investigator M. Y. Shupolovsky. "The Accusation Conclusion to accuse Browder William Felix...and in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich who had been accused of committing crimes stipulated by part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199, part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (in the version of 08.12.2003 No 162 FZ)...Accusation conclusion is composed in the city of Moscow on 20 November 2012 and together with the criminal case No 679591 sent to Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, state counsellor of justice of 1st class, V.Y. Grin. [signed by] Senior Investigator M. Y. Shupolovsky. Agreed [by] N.I. Agafieva."	20-Nov-12	Posthumous accusation by Mr Shupolovsky in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1228.pdf

E.I. Averina		Investigator of the Interior Ministry's branch for Central Federal District, Lt Col	Interior Ministry	B	In her position as investigator, was involved in the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky	In her decree, Ms Averina refused the application seeking to disclose to lawyers the circumstances and timing of changes in the membership of investigative group on the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky (No 679591)	E.I. Averina: "Refuse application...containing the following requests: to disclose to the defence lawyers the documents confirming sending and receipt of the decree by deputy head of Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.V. Romanov about the conduct of the proceeding by an investigative group from 3 May 2012"	20-Sep-12	Refusal by Ms Averina of complaint against obstruction of justice	D1229.pdf
Nikolai Nikolaevich Budilo	15-Apr-77	Senior investigator of the Investigation Branch for Central Federal District, Major	Interior Ministry	B, D	In his position as Interior Ministry investigator, was involved in the fabricated criminal case used to conceal the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and subsequently to detain Mr Magnitsky	In March 2008, Mr Budilo carried out investigative actions to conceal the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky. In particular, Mr Budilo carried out investigative actions with Mr Markelov, a false owner of Hermitage Fund's companies, and "seized" from him purported "sales agreements" which he allegedly concluded to buy the Hermitage Fund's companies from a Mr Gasanov who never had any relation to the Hermitage Fund's companies and who died in October 2007. At the same time as these alleged contracts were "voluntarily" provided by Mr Markelov to Mr Budilo, investigator Gordievsky of the Investigative Committee (under case No 374015) claimed he could not find Mr Markelov to question him under a case opened in response to the Hermitage Fund's application seeking to investigate the misappropriation of their companies with the involvement of Mr Markelov and others	"Protocol of search (seizure) ...Senior Investigator of the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District major of justice N.N. Budilo...with the participation of Markelov V.A. ...conducted a seizure at the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District in order to find documents stated in the decree."	28-Mar-08	Protocol by Mr Budilo of seizure of documents from Mr Markelov	D1219.pdf
Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry										
Ivan Alexeevich Glukhov	13-Sep-55	Head of the Investigation Department of the Moscow Branch, Deputy Head of Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, General Major	Interior Ministry	D	In his role as most senior official in the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry in charge of investigations, authorised the fabricated case used by the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky to misappropriate his client's companies and \$230 m in taxes they had paid to the Russian government's budget	Mr Glukhov authorised the fabricated criminal case under which the corporate documents for the Hermitage Fund's Russian companies had been seized and used to misappropriate the companies, falsify multi-million dollar liabilities against them in collusive court proceedings and obtain \$230 million in tax refund. Mr Glukhov authorised the appointment of investigator Karpov as officer formally in charge of this proceeding and operatives of the Tax Crimes Department who subsequently played a role in Mr Magnitsky's detention as its members	I.A. Glukhov: "Deputy head of Interior Ministry branch for Moscow - head of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 151231, have determined: The 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow is investigating the criminal case No 151231 commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director and chief accountant of Kameya I.S. Cherkasov under Section 2 of Article 199.1 of the Criminal Code of RF..it is necessary to conduct a large number of investigative actions and operational and search activities, the investigation of the criminal case represents particular complexity and public resonance...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 151231 to the investigative group comprising: ...2. Appoint as head of the investigative group senior investigator of the unit of internal affairs of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow major of justice P.A. Karpov."	14-Jun-07	Decree to conduct preliminary investigation by investigation group on the case used to seize corporate documents used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and steal \$230m of tax revenue	D1237.pdf

Anatoly Ivanovich Mikhalkin	06-Oct-50	Head of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch, General	Interior Ministry	D	In his role as most senior official in the Moscow Tax Crimes Department, authorised himself and was responsible for actions of his subordinates in seizing the information and materials used by the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky for the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and their \$230 m tax revenue, and in intimidating Mr Magnitsky and his associates with questioning	Mr Mikhalkin authorised requests for information on Hermitage Fund's companies, including the one targeted by the criminal conspiracy for the misappropriation and the theft of tax revenue	A.I. Mikhalkin to Credit Suisse in Moscow: "On the basis of pp 4,30,31 of Article 11 of the Law On Militia,...as part of performing the functions of identification, prevention and ceasure of tax crimes, due to the investigation of the criminal case No 151231, commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director of OOO Kameya I.S. Cherkasov...Please provide within three days deadline the following data: 1. all agreements with attachments and additions, excerpts from accounts, ...bank and wire transfers indicating the relationships between Credit Suisse Securities (Europe) Ltd (London GB) ...with companies...Hermitage Capital Mgmt Ltd,...Glendora Holdings Ltd. ...for the period from 2005 until the current time."	17-Aug-07	Request by Mr Mikhalkin for information on Hermitage Fund's companies	D1705.pdf
						Mr Mikhalkin refused to consider the substance of the complaint from Firestone Duncan lawyers about the unlawful seizure of corporate materials in the 4 June 2007 raids by Mr Mikhalkin's subordinates, merely informing that some unidentified materials were passed on to another branch of the Interior Ministry	"The Tax Crime Department of the Interior Ministry in Moscow has considered your application. We inform you that on 05.02.2008 materials in relation to OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon, OOO Rilend were received from the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry in Moscow severed from a criminal case No 151231. All received materials were forwarded for decision making to the Investigative Section of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District"	07-May-08	Refusal by Mr Mikhalkin to consider the complaint about the unlawful seizure of corporate materials by his subordinates	D1758.pdf
Alexander Alexeevich Klevtsov	25-Jan-66	Head of 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Colonel	Interior Ministry	D	In his role as senior operative of the Moscow Interior Ministry, was appointed to the case used to seize materials to misappropriate Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue	Mr Klevtsov was formally appointed to the criminal case used to seize and hold in Interior Ministry custody the materials to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue. Mr Klevtsov was the superior to officer Kuznetsov who was also appointed on the case	I.A. Glukhov: "Deputy head of Interior Ministry branch for Moscow - head of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 151231, have determined: The 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow is investigating the criminal case No 151231 commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director and chief accountant of Kameya I.S. Cherkasov under Section 2 of Article 199.1 of the Criminal Code of RF..it is necessary to conduct a large number of investigative actions and operational and search activities, the investigation of the criminal case represents particular complexity and public resonance...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 151231 to the investigative group comprising: ...2. Appoint as head of the investigative group senior investigator of the unit of internal affairs of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow major of justice P.A. Karpov. 3. Include in the work of the investigative group: - head of 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Colonel of police A.A. Klevtsov"	14-Jun-07	Decree to appoint an investigation group naming Mr Klevtsov	D1239.pdf
Nelli Ismailovna Dmitrieva	09-Aug-68	Investigator of the 2nd unit of investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry, Captain	Interior Ministry	D, A	In her role as Interior Ministry investigator was assigned to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was detained and ill-treated, and was in charge of the police search during which materials were seized for the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Dmitrieva had formal responsibility for the search at the office of FD office on 4 June 2007 during which their lawyer was not allowed to be present in breach of the provision of the Russian law, and documents and items were seized that were unrelated to the criminal case and used in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	"Protocol of search...Investigator of the 2nd unit of investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry, Captain of justice N.I. Dmitrieva...carried out a search ...in order to find and seise items and documents significant for the criminal case."	04-Jun-07	Protocol of search by Ms Dmitrieva of Firestone Duncan office in Moscow	D1207.pdf

					Mr Magnitsky objected to the appointment of Ms Dmitrieva to the case against him by referencing her role in obstructing justice during the 4 June 2007 search when materials were seized used for the criminal conspiracy. Mr Magnitsky's application to remove Ms Dmitrieva from the case against him was refused by the Interior Ministry	"On 27 August 2009, an application from S.L. Magnitsky, defendant under a criminal case No 153123 ...was received by the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia under Article 67 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, seeking to remove investigator of especially important cases of the 2nd unit of investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry N.I. Dmitrieva from the investigation of the criminal case No 153123. The application is justified by the defendant by stating that investigator N.I. Dmitrieva unlawfully obstructed attorney A.G. Morozov in attending the search on 4 June 2007...The application of defendant S.L. Magnitsky about the unlawful obstruction on behalf of investigator N.I. Dmitrieva to attorney A.G. Morozov to attend the search on 4 June 2007 ...is based on unconfirmed data, which cannot be accepted as a ground to satisfy the application for the removal [of investigator from the case]. Therefore, the actions of investigator...N.I.Dmitrieva, as well as operatives who took part in the search in premises occupied by OOO Firestone Duncan..., are lawful and justified. There are no circumstances under Article 61 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation that exclude the participation of investigator...N.I. Dmitrieva in the proceeding under the criminal case."	31-Aug-09	Refusal to remove Ms Dmitrieva from the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1206.pdf	
Investigative Districts of Moscow			Interior Ministry							
S.I. Abramov		Head of the Interior Ministry branch for Sokolniki district of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator was responsible for the report on the death of Mr Magnitsky which failed to reflect its circumstances	Mr Abramov authorised the crime report about the death of Mr Magnitsky which covered up the circumstances of his death	A.I. Abramov to Mr Kharlamov of Investigative Committee for Preobrazhensky district of Moscow: "We are sending you, based on authority, the material of probe KUSP-9159 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky."	19-Nov-09	Crime report by Mr Abramov into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1256.pdf
A.N. Cheremisov		District police officer of Interior Ministry branch for Sokolniki district in Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator was responsible for the report on the death of Mr Magnitsky which failed to reflect its circumstances	Mr Cheremisov prepared the crime report about the death of Mr Magnitsky which covered up the circumstances of his death	Executed by A.N. Cheremisov, on the cover note from Head of District Mr Abramov: "We are sending you, based on authority, the material of probe KUSP-9159 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky."	19-Nov-09	Crime report into Mr Magnitsky's death prepared by Mr Cheremisov	D1257.pdf
Igor Leonidovich Raskin	12-Apr-68	Acting head of police for Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Raskin approved the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	I.L.Raskin: Approve the decree to refuse to open a criminal case signed: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree approved by Mr Raskin to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1258.pdf
S.A. Ukolov		Deputy head of Department for Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Ukolov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Ukolov: "I report that your application about possible thefts of funds from the budget of the Russian Federation has been considered by the Section of Economic Security and Combating Corruption of the Department of Interior of the Southern Administrative District of the Main Department of Russia for the city of Moscow. Based on the results of the additional probe into the unidentified persons, a decree was issued to refuse to commence a criminal case, due to the absence of the event of crime."	07-Dec-11	Decree authorised by Mr Ukolov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1259.pdf

Alexander Vladimirovich Foss	19-Jul-69	Deputy head of Department for Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Foss approved the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	A.V. Foss "Approve": "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...in order to obtain copies of documents that served as the basis for the refund to OOO Rilend of previously paid taxes, a corresponding request was sent to the tax office No 25 in Moscow. Therefore, at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	06-Dec-11	Decree approved by Mr Foss to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1260.pdf
S.V. Kvasov		Major, Deputy Head of section of economic security of Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Kvasov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Kvasov: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...in order to identify the persons acting on behalf of the above mentioned organisations, and to obtain explanations from the latter, corresponding requests were prepared and sent to the regional bodies of internal affairs, at the places of registration of general directors, however, replies were not received until now. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	13-Jan-12	Decree authorised by Mr Kvasov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1261.pdf
						Mr Kvasov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.V. Kvasov signed: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree authorised by Mr Kvasov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1262.pdf
Sergei Alexandrovich Suchkov		Lt Colonel, Head of first section of operations and search activities on economic security of Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Suchkov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Suchkov: Signed "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...during the additional probe repeatedly the requests were sent to regional bodies of interior affairs, however, replies to these requests have not also been received. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	17-Feb-12	Decree authorised by Mr Suchkov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1263.pdf
						Mr Suchkov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Suchkov: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree authorised by Mr Suchkov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1264.pdf

Andrei Victorovich Yushkov	29-Dec-82	Major, Deputy Head of first section of operations and search activity of Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Yushkov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	A.V. Yushkov signed: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...in order to identify the persons acting on behalf of the above mentioned organisations, and to obtain explanations from the latter, corresponding requests were prepared and sent to the regional bodies of internal affairs, at the places of registration of general directors, however, replies were not received until now. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	13-Jan-12	Decree approved by Mr Yushkov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1265.pdf
Dmitry Borisovich Prosolenko	07-Oct-68	Deputy head for operations of police of Southern District of Moscow, Colonel	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Prosolenko approved the decision to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	D.B. Prosolenko: "Approve. Deputy head for operations of police of Southern Administrative District of the Main Department of Interior Ministry of Russia in Moscow, Colonel of police D.B. Prosolenko" on the decree to refuse "application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts of funds in large amounts from the budget of Russian Federation"	17-Feb-12	Decree approved by Mr Prosolenko to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1266.pdf
A.V. Novozhilov		Deputy head of section of economic security of Southern District of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Novozhilov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	A.V. Novozhilov: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...during the additional probe repeatedly the requests were sent to regional bodies of interior affairs, however, replies to these requests have not also been received. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	17-Feb-12	Decree authorised by Mr Novozhilov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1267.pdf
I.S. Shlyapugin		Senior operative of Southern District of Moscow, Captain (promoted from Lt Col)	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Shlyapugin refused to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	I.S. Shlyapugin: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...in order to identify the persons acting on behalf of the above mentioned organisations, and to obtain explanations from the latter, corresponding requests were prepared and sent to the regional bodies of internal affairs, at the places of registration of general directors, however, replies were not received until now. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	13-Jan-12	Decree by Mr Shlyapugin to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1268.pdf
						Mr Shlyapugin refused to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	I.S. Shlyapugin: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...during the additional probe repeatedly the requests were sent to regional bodies of interior affairs, however, replies to these requests have not also been received. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	17-Feb-12	Decree by Mr Shlyapugin to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1269.pdf

					Mr Shlyapugin refused to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	I.S. Shlyapugin: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree by Mr Shlyapugin to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1270.pdf	
Sergei Evgenievich Pichugin	19-Dec-69	Head of Department of internal affairs for South-Western district of Moscow	Interior Ministry		In his role as Interior Ministry official, refused the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Pichugin refused to open a criminal case to investigate the criminal conspiracy that over several years systematically stole funds from the budget under the guise of tax refunds reported by Hermitage lawyers to the Russian authorities	S.E. Pichugin: "I inform you that in relation to the facts stated in the application it has been decided to refuse to open a criminal case."	19-Jan-10	Notice of refusal by Mr Pichugin to open a criminal case to investigate the systematic fraudulent tax refunds	D1271.pdf
V.A. Kozlov		Officer of internal affairs for South-Western district of Moscow	Interior Ministry	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, refused the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Kozlov executed the decision to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the criminal conspiracy that over several years systematically stole funds from the budget under the guise of tax refunds uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and reported by Hermitage lawyers to the Russian authorities	Executed by V.A. Kozlov on the notice by Mr Pichugin: "I inform you that in relation to the facts stated in the application it has been decided to refuse to open a criminal case."	19-Jan-10	Notice of refusal by Mr Kozlov to open a criminal case to investigate the systematic fraudulent tax refunds	D1272.pdf
FSB										
Victor Gennadievich Voronin	11-Feb-58	Deputy Head of the Federal Security Service, Head of Financial Counterespionage Department, General Major	FSB	A, B, D	In his role as senior FSB general, authorised the proceeding used by the criminal conspiracy to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of their tax revenue; was responsible for the false report of his subordinate used to arrest Mr Magnitsky, and subsequently refused access to FSB files to Mr Magnitsky's mother	Mr Voronin authorised the report by FSB officer Kuvaldin alleging tax underpayment by Kameya, a company owned by a Hermitage client, in spite of clean tax audits and evidence of full payment of taxes. The report was used to justify the commencement of a fabricated criminal proceeding used to seize materials for the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and the theft of tax revenues they had paid to the Russian budget	V.G. Voronin: "Please register with the Tax Crimes Department of the Interior Ministry Branch of Moscow"	22-May-07	Authorisation by Mr Voronin on the report from FSB officer Kuvaldin	D1484.pdf
						Mr Voronin refused to disclose files and information to the lawyer for the Magnitsky's mother concerning information on Mr Magnitsky held by FSB and relied upon in the FSB report by Mr Voronin's subordinate used by the Interior Ministry and courts to justify the arrest and detention of Mr Magnitsky	V. Voronin to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother: "In reply to your complaint from 28 September 2012 we inform you that in accordance with Article 5 of the Federal Law on "Operational and Search Activities", the right to seek from the body carrying out operational and search activities the information about data obtained about them within the limit allowed by the rules of conspiracy and excluding the possibility to disclose the state secrets, is provided only to the person, whose guilt has not been proven in accordance with the law, i.e. against whom it was refused to open a criminal case or the criminal case has been terminated due to the lack of offence or due to the lack of crime in his acts, and who possesses facts of operational and search activities having been conducted concerning him and believes that their rights were violated by them. From the contents of your complaint and application from 7 November 2011 No 187 it follows that you and N.N. Magnitskaya do not belong to this category of persons."	17-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Voronin of the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1488.pdf

Vasily Ivanovich Piskaryov		1st Deputy Head of Investigative Committee, General Colonel	IC	B	In his position as First Deputy Head of the Investigative Committee, the second most senior investigator in the country, Mr Piskaryov was responsible for directing and supervising the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death, which continued in its fourth year with no suspects, and was among those responsible for the cover up	Mr Piskaryov approved the extension of the preliminary investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky for the 12th time, on application from Investigator Strizhov from 9 April 2012. The investigation continued with no suspects identified	V.I. Piskaryov: "Extend the term of preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to 33 months 00 days, i.e. until 24 August 2012."	12-Apr-12	Approval by Mr Piskaryov to extend the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death with no suspects	D1273.pdf
						Mr Piskaryov approved further to extend the preliminary investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky with no identified suspects to 37 months, until 24 December 2012, on application from Investigator Strizhov from 7 August 2012	V.I. Piskaryov: "Extend the term of preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to 37 months 00 days, i.e. until 24 December 2012."	10-Aug-12	Approval by Mr Piskaryov to extend the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death with no suspects	D1274.pdf
Alexander Vyacheslavovich Schukin		Head of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee, General Major	IC	B	In his position as the most senior official of the Investigative Committee's Main Investigative Department, was in charge of directing the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death and was among those responsible for its cover up	In spite of the alleged complexity of investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death (under case No 366795), Mr Schukin authorised that the investigative group to comprise only three investigators, including two - from local regional branches (Stary Oskol district of Belgorod region and Kanavinsky district of Nizhny Novgorod region) with unknown experience	A.V. Schukin: "Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to an investigative group comprising: - investigator ...A.A. Strizhov; - investigator ...A.L. Serikov, - investigator ...A.V. Smolianinov. 2. Appoint as head of investigative group A.A. Strizhov"	26-Jun-12	Decree to appoint investigators to the Magnitsky's death investigation	D1281.pdf
						Mr Schukin was responsible for the oversight over the decisions of his subordinates on the criminal case into the death of Mr Magnitsky (No 366795) and refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother identifying legal breaches in the acts of his subordinate Mr Iskantsev	A.V. Schukin: Rule: " On 17.07.2012, a complaint was received from the representative of the victim N.N. Magnitskaya under the criminal case No 201/366795-10...The complaint from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov is not subject to satisfaction, because the decree complained about was issued by an authorised person, within duly stated deadline, in accordance with Section 4 of Article 7 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF... Rule 1. to refuse to satisfy the complaint from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov against the decree to refuse to satisfy the complaint issued by acting deputy head of the Main Investigative Department A.G. Iskantsev on 29.08.2011"	20-Jul-12	Refusal of complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under the case into the death of her son	D1275.pdf
Anatoly Georgievich Iskantsev	10-Oct-12	Acting head of Main Investigative Department, General Major	IC	B	In his position as senior Investigative Committee official, was responsible for the supervision over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death, the refusal of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to open a prompt and comprehensive probe into her son's false arrest, torture and murder, and the refusal to investigate named government officials	Mr Iskantsev refused a complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking an investigation of Interior Ministry officers Silchenko and Oleinik, and Prosecutor Pechegin, for their role in specific episodes of violations of her son's rights in custody	A.G. Iskantsev: "On 28.07.2011 the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has received a complaint from a representative N.A. Gorokhov of the victim under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 N.N. Magnitskaya...On the basis of the stated arguments, N.A.Gorokhov requests to examine the acts of investigator M.O. Lomonosova, and also requests to question O.F. Silchenko in whose charge was the criminal case No 311578 to accuse S.L. Magnitsky,...conduct a probe in relation to O.F. Silchenko and investigator of the investigative group he headed S.V. Oleinik under Art. 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF due to the concealment by them of data concerning the worsening medical condition of S.L. Magnitsky and give a legal assessment to the acts of employee of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation A.I. Pechegin who carried out an oversight over the investigation of the criminal case No 311578 to accuse S.L. Magnitsky and did not consider on substance the complaint from the lawyers of the latter against the actions of O.F. Silchenko. The complaint from the representative of the victim lawyer N.A. Gorokhov is not subject to satisfaction for the following reasons...No grounds are seen to register the complaint from N.A. Gorokhov as a report about the crime committed by employees of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.F. Silchenko and S.V. Oleinik, and equally of employee of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation A.I. Pechegin because the representative of the victim expresses the disagreement with the legal decisions adopted by the above named officials without stating specific data about them committing acts that present criminal offences...Rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the complaint..."	01-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Iskantsev of application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to investigate officials for the violations of her son's rights in detention	D1282.pdf

					Mr Iskantsev refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel a comprehensive investigation into the false arrest, torture and murder of her son and of the numerous officials named in her application	A.G. Iskantsev: "On 12.10.2011 the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has received a complaint from a representative of the victim under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 N.N. Magnitskaya... The complaint reports that the stated application from the victim was not registered and considered under the order of Art. 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, while it contained a report about the committed crime - the murder of S.L.Magnitsky. The considered complaint is not subject for satisfaction for the following reasons. From the content of the application follows that the applicant analyses data concerning the occurrence of death of S.L. Magnitsky on 16.11.2009 in FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. The same circumstances are subject of the consideration under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in the investigation of investigator M.O. Lomonosova. During the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 employees of the penal system of the Russian Federation L.A. Litvinova and D.B. Kratov have been brought to criminal prosecution for causing death of S.L. Magnitsky as a result of uncaredful crimes. Given the above stated, and that the report from N.N. Magnitskaya does not contain information about the commitment of other crimes, it was attached to the materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10...Rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the complaint..."	14-Oct-11	Refusal by Mr Iskantsev of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking an urgent inquiry into the false arrest, torture and murder of her son	D1284.pdf	
Valery Nikolaevich Alyshev	09-Jun-66	Deputy Head of the Main Investigative Department, General Major	IC	B	In his position as deputy head of the Investigative Committee's investigation department, was responsible for directing the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death and its cover up	Mr Alyshev authorised an investigative group on the case to investigate Mr Magnitsky's death (No 366795) that continued to have no suspects two and a half years after the case commencement. In spite of the "alleged" complexity of the case, Mr Alyshev appointed only two investigators, including one from a low-level regional district	V.N. Alyshev: "The Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation is investigating the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Having regard for the complexity of the criminal case, the necessity to carry out a large amount of investigative and other procedural activities, it is necessary to instruct it for further investigation to an investigative group. ...Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under a criminal case No 201/366795-10 to an investigative group comprising: - investigator ...of the Investigative Committee of RF, major of justice A.A. Strizhov; - investigator of the investigative unit of the Promyshlenny district of city of Samara...Senior Lt of justice M.K. Aitov."	16-Apr-12	Decree to form an investigation group on the case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1285.pdf
					Mr Alyshev refused an application from a representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel an investigation in relation to Interior Ministry Investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev for the inclusion of false information concerning Mr Magnitsky posthumously in the criminal case file	V.N. Alyshev: "The Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 06.12.2012 seeking to open a criminal case concerning senior investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.V. Urzhumtsev. There are no data about circumstances indicating signs of a crime contained in the received report, and in fact it only contains the disagreement with the procedural decree issued by an official... In accordance with the above, your report is not entitled to be registered as a crime report ...and is being forwarded ...to the head of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation."	14-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Alyshev to investigate Interior Ministry officer Urzhumtsev in relation to Magnitsky	D1287.pdf	
Yury Alexandrovich Tyutyunik	31/05/1958	Deputy head of Investigative Committee's Main Investigations Division	IC	B	In his senior role at the Investigative Committee's Main Investigations Division, he authorized the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme under the Investigative Committee's case No 461115, posthumously falsely identifying Sergei Magnitsky as perpetrator, and refused complaints from Magnitsky's mother.			15-Aug-16	Decree by Mr. Tyutyunik refusing application from Magnitsky's mother under case No 461115	D2225.pdf

Sergey MIKHAILOV		Head of 1st Investigative Section, Main Investigations Division	IC	B	In his role at the Investigative Committee, officer MIKHAILOV played a key role in creating the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme by blocking the investigation of SDN PAVLOV, SDN URZHUMTSEV and SDN STRIZHOV and their abuse of office causing the false posthumous allegations against Mr. Magnitsky of complicity in the tax refund scheme.	Complaints seeking the investigation of SDN PAVLOV, SDN URZHUMTSEV and SDN STRIZHOV were submitted by Ms. Magnitskaya's lawyer in 2017 on the basis of the February 2013 WhatsApp correspondence between SDN PAVLOV and SDN URZHUMTSEV.		21-Mar-17	Refusal by Mr MIKHAILOV to investigate SDN STRIZHOV for abuse of office and collusion with SDN PAVLOV resulting in the false posthumous allegations against Mr. Magnitsky	D2232.pdf
						The WhatsApp correspondence reveals the role of SDN PAVLOV and SDN URZHUMTSEV in tampering with evidence and directing the investigations by the Interior Ministry and the Investigative Committee in relation to the fraudulent tax scheme in order to absolve themselves from liability.		01-Jun-17	Letter by Mr. MIKHAILOV to Basmany District court with refusal to investigate the abuse of office by SDN URZHUMTSEV and his collusion with SDN PAVLOV resulting in the exoneration of PAVLOV from liability for the fraudulent tax scheme	D2233.pdf
Garry Khachaturovich Oganesyan	27/01/1987	Investigator of major cases, Southern Administrative District, Moscow	IC	B	In his role as a local district investigator, Mr. OGANESYAN authorised the false and misleading account of the fraudulent tax scheme (under the Investigative Committee's case No 360138). He refused to terminate the trumped-up case against Hermitage's outside lawyer KHAYRETDINOV, under which the lawyer is accused of using a "false" power of attorney, despite the power of attorney being issued to him by lawful owners of Hermitage Fund's companies and was used by the lawyer to file proper complaints reporting the fraudulent tax scheme, identifying perpetrators (SDN KHLEBNIKOV and MARKELOV) and complicit officials (including SDN KUZNETSOV and KARPOV).	Under the trumped-up criminal case, investigator OGANESYAN maintains that the power of attorney should have been issued to the lawyer by the perpetrators of the fraudulent tax scheme themselves: Mr KHLEBNIKOV and Mr MARKELOV, ignoring, inter alia, the fact that those two individuals were subsequently found guilty by the Russian courts of the \$230 million fraud.		27-Feb-15	Decree by Investigator OGANESYAN refusing to terminate the criminal case against Hermitage's lawyer, Mr Eduard KHAYRETDINOV	D2229.pdf
						The criminal case against attorney Khayretdinov was initiated in 2008 by SDN Lt Col KUZNETSOV in retaliation for being identified in Mr. Khayretdinov's report as a suspect in the fraudulent scheme.	Mr. Magnitsky, in his testimony before and after his arrest, described how the authorities retaliated against attorney Khayretdinov for reporting the fraudulent tax scheme.	22-Nov-15	Decree by Investigator OGANESYAN refusing to terminate the criminal case against Hermitage's lawyer, Mr Eduard KHAYRETDINOV	D2230.pdf
						Mr OGANESYAN refused several applications to terminate the retaliatory criminal case against Hermitage lawyer KHAYRETDINOV.	Decrees by Investigator OGANESYAN refusing to terminate the criminal case against Hermitage's lawyer, Mr Eduard KHAYRETDINOV, state: "The absence of crime in acts of E.D. Khayretdinov has not been established by the investigation, and therefore there is no ground to terminate the criminal case No 360138."	20-Feb-18	Decree by Investigator OGANESYAN refusing to terminate the criminal case against Hermitage's lawyer, Mr Eduard KHAYRETDINOV	D2231.pdf

Pavel Georgievich Barkovsky	19-Oct-58	Deputy Head of Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee, General Major	IC	B	In his position as deputy head of Investigative Committee's investigation department, was in charge of directing the investigation on Mr Magnitsky's death two years after it	Mr Barkovsky authorised an investigation group on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to Mr Kratov and Ms Litvinova (No 713112)	P.G. Barkovsky: "Rule: 1. To assign the proceedings of the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201-713112/11 to an investigative group comprising senior investigator ... Lt Colonel of justice M.O. Lomonosova, investigator of investigative unit of Kanavinsky district of city of Nizhny Novgorod ...Captain of justice A.V. Smolianinov and investigator of especially important cases of investigative unit of Stary Oskol district...of Belgorod region, Captain of justice A.L. Serikov."	31-Oct-11	Decree by Mr Barkovsky to appoint an investigative group on the case into Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to Mr Kratov and Litvinova	D1288.pdf
						Mr Barkovsky refused a complaint from a representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother for failure by his colleague, Mr Iskantsev, to address reported legal procedural breaches on the criminal case in relation to Mr Kratov and Ms Litvinova concerning Mr Magnitsky's death (No 713112)	P.G. Barkovsky: "On 05.06.2012 the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation received a complaint from representative of the victim under a criminal case No 201/713112-11 N.N. Magnitskaya...against the actions of deputy head of the department...A.G. Iskantsev during the consideration of the applicant's complaint from 21.02.2012. In relation to this, the representative of the victim requests to remove A.G. Inskantsev from the conduct of procedural oversight over the investigation of the criminal case No 201/713112-11....Thereby, the assessment of the acts of investigator was given paying regard to the requirements of Article 42 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, containing the statutory list of rights of the victim. This assessment does not contradict the provisions of the decision of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation mentioned in the complaint from the representative of the victim, because that document also does not stipulate the direct duty of the investigator to notify the victims and their representatives about the fact of a new accusation issued to an accused...Rule: 1. to refuse the satisfaction of the complaint "	08-Jun-12	Refusal to remove Mr Iskantsev from oversight over the Magnitsky's death investigation	D1834.pdf
Oleg Alexandrovich Zherdev	21-Nov-79	Deputy head of 2nd section of Department of Investigation of especially important cases against individuals and public safety	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Investigative Committee, was involved in the supervision of his subordinates on the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and refused complaints from Mr Magnitsky's mother, obstructing her access to justice as a victim under the case	Mr Zherdev attended the court hearing to resist the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother and support the decision of his subordinate, Investigator Lomonosova, to refuse Ms Magnitskaya access to her son's tissues archive for medical examination	O.A. Zherdev: "Deputy head of 2nd unit of department of investigation of especially important cases of crimes against persons and public safety of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation O.A. Zherdev also objected to the satisfying the complaint...Rule: leave without satisfaction the complaint from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya."	19-Jul-11	Court ruling to refuse the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive naming Mr Zherdev	D1291.pdf
						Mr Zherdev refused the complaint from a representative for Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the medical examination into Mr Magnitsky's death; the complaint raised the contradictions concerning the composition of the commission of experts, the lack of description of the preparations sent for the examination, or information about the methodology used for their study	O.A. Zherdev: "During the check of the complaint, no contradictions were identified in the conclusion of experts No 555/10 from 15.06.2011 under the criminal case No 366795-10 concerning the composition of the expert commission, justification of attaching tables to the conclusion, specificity of the tested histological preparations, as well as the order of testing these preparations. ...Rule: 1. Refuse to satisfy the complaint..."	25-Jul-11	Refusal by Mr Zherdev of complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under her son's death case investigation	D1292.pdf

D.V. Kolesnikov		Deputy Head of Department for the investigation of especially important cases about crimes against the state authority and in the sphere of economy	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Investigative Committee, was involved in the supervision of his subordinates on the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death; refused complaints from Mr Magnitsky's mother, obstructing her access to justice as a victim under the case	Mr Kolesnikov was responsible for refusing the complaint from a representative for Mr Magnitsky's mother in relation to legal breaches by investigator Strizhov under the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D.V. Kolesnikov: "In justification of the complaint it is said that the victim and her representative were not informed about the decree to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigative group, besides on 07.03.2012 investigator A.A. Strizhov refused to accept the application; the application filed on 28.02.2012 the investigator unlawfully considered as a letter; the petitions from 07.03.2012 , 14.03.2012 and 21.03.2012 were not considered at all. ..The criminal procedural law does not contain an obligation to inform the participants of the judicial proceeding during the transfer of the criminal case from one investigator to another...Representative N.A. Gorokhov was informed about the full refusal of his complaint on 30.03.2012. There are no data available about the refusal by investigator A.A. Strizhov to accept the application on 07.03.2012. ...Rule: 1. To fully refuse to satisfy the complaint from representative N.A. Gorkhov about the acts of investigator of GSU of SK of Russia A.A. Strizhov in relation to allegedly occurred violations of the law during the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10."	02-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Kolesnikov of complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under the case into her son's death	D1295.pdf
Arkady Victorovich Mazhaev	09-May-61	Head of Main Department of Procedural Control of the Investigative Committee	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Investigative Committee, was responsible for the supervision of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death and refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother	Mr Mazhaev refused a complaint from a representative for Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision by Mr Schukin, head of the Main Investigative Department, to provide access to death case files and witness testimony to the Interior Ministry officers with conflict of interest. Mr Mazhaev found no violations of the law in those actions	A.V. Mazhaev: "Your application about the disagreement with the decision of the head of the Main Investigative Department (further - GSU) A.V. Schukin on the criminal case No 201/366795-10 and on other matters has been considered...The determination of the admissibility and possibility to provide the data of preliminary investigation is within the authority of the investigation and the head of the investigative body. No violations in the acts of officials of GSU have been identified, therefore there are no grounds to undertake measures in response."	30-Nov-12	Refusal by Mr Mazhaev of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under the case into her son's death	D1299.pdf
Alexander Anatolievich Schegolikhin	15-Apr-74	Head of section of Department of Procedural Control	IC	B	In his role as senior official overseeing the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death, was responsible for the supervision of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	Mr Schegolikhin refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, from 8 April 2011, which sought to compel an investigation of the prosecutor's office officials for Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death. Mr Firestone's complaint cited numerous applications filed with the General Prosecutor's Office and formal refusals and denials received both during and after Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to his arrest and detention, and the criminal conspiracy he had uncovered	A.A. Schegolikhin: "The Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 8.04.2011 about the improper investigation of criminal cases by investigators of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, actions by officials of prosecutor's office, disagreement with the decision of the verdict of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, and other matters. Currently under the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 into the fact of death of detainee S.L.Magnitsky by the Main Investigation Department, among others are being checked the circumstances of possible complicity of employees of the Interior Ministry of Russia in his death in part relating to the subject matter of the investigation. A copy of the application on other matters stated in your application on 20.04.2011 was sent to the General Prosecutor's Office for consideration within their competence."	01-Jun-11	Refusal of application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague for an investigation of prosecutor's office officials for Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death	D1300.pdf
Artyom Vladimirovich Smolianinov		Investigator from Nizhny Novgorod region on the investigation team of the Investigative Committee	IC	B	In his role as investigator on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky, was responsible for the failure to conduct a prompt and thorough investigation	Mr Smolianinov, an investigator from Nizhny Novgorod, was appointed to the investigation group on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky (No 366795)	"Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to the investigation group comprising...investigator of especially important cases of the investigative section in town of Kanavinsky district of Nizhny Novgorod region A.V.Smolianinov..."	26-Jun-12	Decree to compose the investigative group on Mr Magnitsky's death investigation case naming Mr Smolianinov	D1311.pdf

					Mr Smolianinov refused the request from Mr Magnitsky's mother for questioning of Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov and Investigative Committee officer Gordievsky under the case into the death of her son (No 366795)	A.V. Smolianinov: "In justification of his application, the lawyer cites that S.L. Magnitsky during his questioning pointed out to investigator S.E. Gordievsky the inadmissibility of involving A.K. Kuznetsov in the investigation of the criminal case No 201/374015-08 due to the conflict of interest. The application from the lawyer is not to be satisfied for the following reasons. The determination of the list of participants of investigative actions is set out to be within the competence of the investigator... In accordance with p 3 Section 2 of Article 38 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, investigator is authorised to independently direct the course of investigation, make the decision about the conduct of investigative and other procedural actions. The question about the questioning of S.E. Gordievsky and A.K. Kuznetsov will be decided in the course of the preliminary investigation under the present criminal case. On the basis of the above, ...rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the application from the representative of the victim N.N. Magnitskaya about the inclusion of him and the victim to the list of participants of the investigative actions - questioning of former investigator of the investigative unit of Southern Administrative District ofMoscow S.E. Gordievsky and former head of the 6th unit of 2 section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Department of the Interior Ministry A.K. Kuznetsov."	01-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Smolianinov of the request from Mr Magnitsky's mother to question officers Kuznetsov and Gordievsky under her son's death case	D1312.pdf	
Andrei Leonidovich Serikov		Investigator from Belgorod region on the investigation team of the investigative Committee	IC	B	In his role as investigator on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky, was responsible for the failure to conduct a prompt and thorough investigation	Mr Serikov, an investigator from Belgorod region, was appointed to the investigation group on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky (No 366795)	"Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to the investigation group comprising...investigator of especially important cases of the investigative section in town of Stary Oskol of Belgorod region A.L. Serikov..."	26-Jun-12	Decree to compose the investigative group on Mr Magnitsky's death investigation case naming Mr Serikov	D1313.pdf
						Mr Serikov refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to the prosecutorial probe which exonerated Interior Ministry officials from wrong-doing	A.L. Serikov: "At the stage of the investigation the application from the lawyer is not subject to satisfaction because the victims and their representatives are given the right for access to all materials of the criminal case after the completion of the investigation. Besides, the investigation does not have the materials on the basis of which the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has issued the mentioned decision. On the basis of the above, ...rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the application from the representative of the victim N.N. Magnitskaya - lawyer N.A. Gorokhov for disclosure to the victim party of a copy of the conclusion of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation on the lawfulness of the actions of investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia under the criminal case No 153123 in relation to S.L. Magnitsky and materials of the probe into this matter."	24-Jun-11	Refusal by Mr Serikov of the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother on the probe exonerating Interior Ministry officials	D1314.pdf
Marina Olegovna Lomonosova	04-Aug-79	Investigator of the Department of investigation of criminal cases against individuals and public safety, Lt Colonel	IC	B	In her role as investigator into the death of Mr Magnitsky in Autumn 2010-April 2012, was responsible for the failure to conduct prompt and thorough investigation and to identify and bring to justice perpetrators and to ensure victim's access to the investigation	Mr Lomonosova refused to disclose to Mr Magnitsky's mother the conclusions of the probe by the Moscow Prosecutor's office concerning Mr Magnitsky's death, conducted in Butyrka	M.O. Lomonosova: "...seized materials contain the data about the actions and decisions of officials of FBU IZ-77/2 UFSIN of Moscow and also of FSIN of Russia. There are no data in the materials of the probe about S.L. Magnitsky himself that are not available from the sources that were available both to the said person and his defence lawyers. Given the stated, taking into account that according to the notice from the FSIN of Russia they view as premature the disclosure of the content of the materials of that internal probe, the application from N.A. Gorokhov is not subject to satisfaction."	15-Jul-11	Refusal by Ms Lomonosova to disclose the materials of the probe conducted in Butyrka detention center	D1326.pdf

R.A. Semushkin		Head of Investigative unit for Eastern Administrative District of Moscow	IC	B	In his position as head of the local district office, was involved in supervising the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances and failed to collect essential evidence	In his role as a senior investigative official, was responsible for the oversight of the progress of the investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky during which it was covered up and requests from the Magnitsky's family were refused by his subordinate	To R.A. Semushkin from V.V. Asanov of the Moscow Investigative Branch: "Here is being forwarded to organise the consideration the applications from lawyer N.N. Kharitonov [sic] about the lack of notification on the results of consideration of his applications and other matters. Please inform the applicant about the results."	19-Jan-10	Instruction to Mr Semushkin on the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1373.pdf
Kirill Yurievich Cherny	30-May-84	Senior investigator of Eastern Administrative District of Moscow	IC	B	In his position as local district investigator, was involved in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances, and refused family requests for medical records and medical examinations	Mr Cherny carried out the examination of the accident scene at Matrosskaya Tishina which failed to thoroughly describe the scene and the injuries on Mr Magnitsky's body	K.Y. Cherny: "Protocol of examination of the accident scene..Senior investigator of the investigative unit for Eastern Administrative District of...Moscow, lawyer of 2nd class K.Y. Cherny...In the area of wrists, there are circular abrasions. No other bodily injuries identified on the corpse..."	17-Nov-09	Protocol by Mr Cherny of examination of of the accident scene	D1374.pdf
						Mr Cherny refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers for access to his medical records from detention centers, as well as to other records of his death on the ground of investigative secrecy	K.Y. Cherny: "Rule: to refuse the satisfaction of an application from lawyers under the criminal case No 366795...in the part concerning the disclosure to relatives of the deceased and their lawyers of the video footage, seized in detention centers, of medical documents and of the materials of the probe received from FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of RF in Moscow into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, and with the protocol of examination of the body of S.L. Magnitsky."	31-Dec-09	Refusal by Mr Cherny of an application for access to Mr Magnitsky's medical records	D1375.pdf
						Mr Cherny refused a request from Magnitsky lawyers for an additional medical examination on the alleged ground that a complex medical examination had already been appointed; however, the decree appointing such an examination was issued only on 1 February 2010, i.e. four days after the application from the family was refused, obstructing family's access to justice and independent medical opinion	K.Y. Cherny: "There are no grounds to appoint an additional judicial medical examination of the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky because a complex judicial medical examination has been appointed under a criminal case....Rule: 1. to Refuse the application from lawyers under the criminal case No 366795 ...in the part requesting to appoint an additional judicial medical examination of the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky."	28-Jan-10	Refusal by Mr Cherny of the request for an additional medical examination	D1376.pdf
						Mr Cherny refused to put additional questions to medical experts concerning the post-mortem results	K.Y. Cherny: "In the part concerning introducing additional questions for the decree to appoint commission medical judicial examination from 1.02.2010 the application is subject to refusal due to the conclusion No 2052 of the judicial medical expert A.N. Borzova on the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky having been issued in accordance with the current criminal procedural legislation. Expert A.N. Borzova was explained her rights and duties stipulated by Article 57 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, and the expert was warned about giving knowingly false conclusion under Article 307 of the Criminal Code of RF. Therefore, the conclusion of the	16-Feb-10	Refusal by Mr Cherny to pose additional questions to medical experts on Mr Magnitsky's postmortem	D1379.pdf
Preobrazhensky District										
G.V. Kharlamov	23-Feb-62	Head of Investigative Branch for Preobrazhensky District	IC	B	In his role as local district officer, was involved in supervising the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances	Mr Kharlamov was the most senior local district officer in charge of the investigation into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death to whom the report about his death was addressed	"To G.V. Kharlamov (Moscow district branch of Investigative Committee), V.A.Davydov (Moscow Penal Service), S.A. Goryunov (Moscow Prosecutor's Office) from F.G. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "On 16.11.2009 at 21:50 in PIT of surgery unit of he hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow died arrested Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...[he] arrived on 16.11.2009 at 18:20 from FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. [He] was placed in cell No 4 of the collection unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. At 19:00 a team of psychiatric emergency ambulance was called to arrested S.L. Magnitsky (No 904253) who arrived at 21:10. On 16.11.2009 at 21:20 due to the sudden deterioration of his health S.L. Magnitsky was delivered to cell No 739 (PIT) of the surgery section of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...During the initial examination of corpse of S.L. Magnitsky no signs of violent death were found...The corpse of arrested S.L. Magnitsky was sent to the 11th judicial morgue of Moscow for a post mortem autopsy. A probe is being carried out into the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky. The results of the probe will be reported	18-Nov-09	Report to Mr Kharlamov of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1382.pdf

					Mr Kharlamov was the most senior local district officer in charge of the investigation into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death, to whom the request to consider launching a criminal probe into the use of special restraints on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death was addressed	To G.V. Kharlamov from prosecutor A.V. Davydov: "I forward to you the material of probe into the use of special restraints which is under control with a deadline for consideration of 4 December 2009."	24-Nov-09	Request to Mr Kharlamov for a probe into the use of special restraints on Mr Magnitsky	D1384.pdf	
					Mr Kharlamov appointed investigator Samerkhanov to investigate Mr Magnitsky's death	G.V. Kharlamov: "Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 366795 to the investigative group comprising: investigator...D.R. Samerkhanov, investigator ...D.A.Levin. 2. Appoint as head of investigative group investigator ...D.R. Samerkhanov"	25-Nov-09	Decree by Mr Kharlamov to appoint an investigative group to the Magnitsky's death investigation	D1385.pdf	
P.F. Kobzarev	12-Dec-80	Deputy Head of Investigative Branch for Preobrazhensky District	IC	B	In his role as local district officer, was involved in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances	Mr Kobzarev was among a group of investigators who were involved initially in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and the circumstances of the alleged medical negligence by detention center officials, and who failed to conduct the prompt and thorough investigation. He wrote a formal reply to Mr Magnitsky's lawyer Kharitonov in response to an application to disclose the inquiry results and conduct various investigative steps, without addressing any points of substance obstructing Magnitsky family's access to the investigation	P.F. Kobzarev: "Your application that came to the investigative unit of the district on 30.12.2009 seeking to allow your participation in the work of an investigator conducting a probe into the application about the crime against S.L. Magnitsky...has been considered...The points you stated in your application will be checked in the course of the preliminary investigation under this criminal case. Deputy Head P.F. Kobzarev"	15-Jan-10	Letter by Mr Kobzarev concerning the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1389.pdf
Damir Ravilevich Samerkhanov	19-Sep-82	Investigator of Preobrazhensky District of Moscow	IC	B	In his role as local district investigator, was involved in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances	Mr Samerkhanov was among a group of investigators who were involved initially in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and the circumstances of the alleged medical negligence by detention center officials, and who failed to conduct the prompt and thorough investigation	D.R. Samerkhanov: "Rule: to commence the criminal case into the signs of crime under Section 2 of Article 124 and Section 2 of Article 293 of the Criminal Code in relation to unidentified employees of the Department of UFSIN of Russia for the city of Moscow."	24-Nov-09	Decree by Mr Samerkhanov to commence a criminal case into negligence in relation to Mr Magnitsky's death	D1390.pdf
O.V. Bekina	01-May-81	Expert	IC	B	In her role as expert at the crime scene, failed to thoroughly examine the scene and record the injuries on Mr Magnitsky body	Ms Bekina was involved in the examination of Mr Magnitsky's corpse and death scene at Matrosskaya Tishina detention center which failed to fully and thoroughly describe the scene and identify injuries on his body	O.V. Bekina: "Protocol of examination of the accident scene...Specialist O.V. Bekina was explained the rights and duties under Article 58 (57) of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF...In the area of wrists, there are circular abrasions. No other bodily injuries identified on the corpse."	17-Nov-09	Protocol of examination of the accident scene	D1393.pdf
Southern District of Moscow										
Sergei Nikolaevich Lazutkin	07-Sep-65	(ex) Head of Southern District of Moscow	IC	A, B	In his role as supervisor of investigator Gordievsky, was responsible for the oversight of the criminal case which covered up Magnitsky's testimonies for the benefit of the criminal conspiracy and retaliated against the Hermitage Fund's lawyers who reported it	Mr Lazutkin approved a decree to open a criminal case in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer E.Khairtdinov for an alleged use of false power of attorney. The investigation claimed that the lawyer's powers of attorney were "false" because they were issued by the Hermitage Fund's directors from whom the companies were stolen, and that the "lawful" powers of attorney could only have been issued by the perpetrators (V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A.Markelov and V.N. Kurochkin) in whose name the Hermitage Fund's companies had been fraudulently re-registered.	Decree by S.N. Lazutkin: "Having considered the report by investigator S.E. Gordievsky about the existence in acts of attorney S.M. Khairtdinov body of crime..determined: to commence the criminal case into the signs of crime under section 3 of Article 327 of the Criminal Code in relation to Eduard Myansurovich Khairtdinov. Order the investigation into the criminal case to ...investigator S.E. Gordievsky."	27-Nov-08	Decree to open a criminal case in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer	D1396.pdf

					In a letter to General Prosecutor Chaika five months before Mr Magnitsky's death, the International Bar Association requested to look into the lawfulness of his detention into custody and the criminal prosecution of a lawyer for Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairetdinov, for an allegedly forged power of attorney expressing concern that the acts against lawyers in the case (sanctioned by Mr Lazutkin) were not consistent with international legal covenants protecting lawyers	"We have received information that on 24 November 2008, one of the HSBC and Hermitage legal advisers working at the law firm Firestone Duncan, Mr Sergey Magnitskiy, was arrested following a search of his home and office. Prior to his arrest, Mr Magnitskiy had apparently given witness statements detailing the complicity of Interior Ministry officials in the alleged frauds against HSBC and Hermitage. We understand that he has since been held without bail in a Moscow pre-trial detention facility...We have received reports that at the same time, the Interior Ministry has opened a criminal case against another independent lawyer retained by HSBC and Hermitage, Mr Eduard Khayretdinov, on the grounds that he has been representing interests of the Hermitage Fund's Russian investment companies by filing complaints to courts and law enforcement agencies, i.e. by carrying out his duties to this client. The case alleges that such representation is improper because he was acting under a power of attorney issued by the HSBC officials who – having been removed (allegedly by fraud) as directors of the Russian companies – were no longer technically empowered to issue the power of attorney after the theft....We are concerned that these activities are in contravention of Russia's obligations national and international law. Article 17 of the Russian Constitution guarantees that 'the basic rights and liberties in conformity with the commonly recognized principles and norms of the international law.' Article 20 guarantees the right to life, and Article 22 states that 'everyone shall have the right to freedom and personal inviolability.' We urge you to look into the actions taken against the lawyers who are defending the Hermitage Fund and more specifically, the pre-trial detention of Mr. Magnitskiy."	08-Jun-09	Letter from the International Bar Association concerning the case against Hermitage lawyers	D1793.pdf	
Natalia Alexeevna Yakimovich	28-Jul-67	(ex) Deputy Head of the Southern District of Moscow	IC	A, B	In her role as supervisor of investigator Gordievsky, was responsible for the oversight of the criminal cases which covered up Magnitsky's testimonies, concealed the criminal conspiracy he uncovered and was used to retaliate against the Hermitage Fund's lawyers who reported it	Ms Yakimovich approved a decree to approve the prolongation of the period of preliminary investigation of the criminal case in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer E.Khairetdinov for alleged use of false power of attorney, used to cover up the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and reported by the attorney. The investigation claimed that the lawyer's powers of attorney were "false" because they were issued by the Hermitage Fund's directors from whom the companies were stolen, and that the "lawful" powers of attorney could only have been issued by the perpetrators (V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A.Markelov and V.N. Kurochkin) in whose name the Hermitage Fund's companies had been fraudulently re-registered.	N.A. Yakimovich: "Extend the period of preliminary investigation of the criminal case No 360135 [sic]" on application from Investigator S.E. Gordievsky: "...S.E.Gordievsky...having considered the materials of the criminal case No 360138, determined:...During the preliminary investigation it has been determined that E.M. Khairetdinov being an attorney, at a place unidentified by the investigation, during the period after 17.10.2007 received from persons unidentified by the investigation powers of attorney in his name from director of OOO Makhaon P. Wrench and director of OOO Parfenion and OOO Rilend M.D.Wilson with imprints of seals of those organisations for the right to represent the interests in all state, administrative and other bodies and organisations of RF, bodies of the Interior Ministry and Prosecutor's office, courts of any instances, including in Arbitration courts, and other acts. Knowing for sure that on 11.09.2007 the above stated organisations were re-registered in the unified registrar of legal persons and V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A.Markelov and V.N. Kurochkin became the directors OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion and OOO Rilend...He (Khairetdinov) implementing an intent to use knowingly false document, represented the stated powers of attorney in Arbitration courts of city of Moscow and city of St. Petersburg..."	23-Jan-09	Decree to approve the continuation of the investigation in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer	D1397.pdf

					In August 2009, while Mr Magnitsky was still alive, Special Rapporteur to the Council of Europe described questions about the cases opened in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyers and the unsatisfactory and contradictory answers received from the Russian authorities	"At the end of August 2008, all lawyers independently representing HSBC/Hermitage – Mr Khairtdinov, Mr Pastukhov and Mr Gorfel, who had succeeded in uncovering fraudulent claims against the HSBC companies and who were in the process of challenging false bankruptcy proceedings – received summonses from the Kazan police to appear for questioning as witnesses – in violation of Article 8 of the Russian Law on Lawyers which prohibits the questioning of lawyers regarding cases in which they provide legal assistance. ...I had included questions on the alleged harassment of HSBC/Hermitage lawyers and the detention of Sergei Magnitsky in my letters to the head of the Investigative Committee and to the prosecutor general. The reply from the Investigative Committee confirmed that Mr Magnitsky was heard as a witness in one particular criminal case but insisted that no coercive measures had been taken against him and, in particular, that he was "not detained". Having checked this reply with Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, who had provided me with documentary evidence proving the fact of his detention, it turned out that Mr Magnitsky was detained under another case number 110 also concerning the Hermitage complex. The Investigative Committee's answer was, to say the least, easily prone to being misunderstood. In view of this reply, and of the precise indications (dates, places and persons involved, including on the side of the law enforcement bodies) received from the lawyers acting on behalf of HSBC/Hermitage, I am not convinced that I can accept without further questions the additional statement in the reply that "lawyers working for the HSBC/Hermitage company have not been questioned", which may once again have been limited to a	07-Aug-09	Council of Europe Report on Politically Motivated Abuse of the Criminal Justice System	D1794.pdf	
						Cont'd. The answer received from the prosecutor general's office regarding this case is more precise in that it recognises the fact of Mr Magnitsky's detention and indicates on what charges he is being held – a criminal case lodged on 4 October 2004 by investigators of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Kalmykh Republic for tax evasion. But it does not explain why he was arrested in November 2008 and was not interrogated once for several months. Contrary to the Investigative Committee's reply, the PGO acknowledges that criminal cases were opened against lawyers working for HSBC/Hermitage, including Mr Magnitsky, Mr Khairtdinov and Mr Pastukhov (the latter also for "use of forged document")."				
COURTS										
Olga Alexandrovna Egorova	29-Jun-55	Chair of the Moscow City Court	Court	B	In her position as senior judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to tissues and organs of her dead son for an independent medical examination and refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision not to consider her lawsuit concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	Ms Egorova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision to refuse her lawsuit which sought to compel the Russian Investigative Committee to allow her access to her son's tissues archive, finding her rights had not been violated by the refusal	O.A. Egorova: "Having checked the available materials, I believe that your arguments stated in the appeal, are baseless. You in the interests of victim, N.N. Magnitskaya, applied to Basmany District Court in Moscow...seeking to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator...M.O. Lomonosova from 10 June 2011 to refuse the request to provide to the victim organs from the histological archive of S.L. Magnitsky for their examination with a participation of a specialist. By the said ruling of Basmany District Court of Moscow your complaint was left without satisfaction...As correctly noted by the court, the court does not have authority to oversee the activities of the bodies of criminal prosecution, and also over the fulfillment by them of their obligations during the conduct of their official duties...The court justifiably did not find grounds to deem the decree complained about...unlawful and unjustified; at the same time it was not established that the decree from 10 June 2011 to refuse the request from 07 June 2011 obstructed access to justice for the victim S.L. Magnitskaya [sic], or violated in any way her constitutional rights and freedoms."	18-Nov-11	Refusal by Ms Egorova of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother re: denial of access to her son's tissues archive	D1414.pdf

					Ms Egorova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision to return the lawsuit filed by her lawyer against the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia to begin a posthumous prosecution of her son	O.A. Egorova: "The ruling by the Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 30 August 2011 returned to the applicant the complaint submitted in your interests by lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in accordance with Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30.07.2011 to cancel the decree from 27.11.2009 to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky due to his death...Having checked the submitted materials, I believe that your arguments stated in the appeal, are baseless...Based on the above your complaint has been left without satisfaction."	11-Mar-12	Refusal by Ms Egorova of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother re: the staying of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1413.pdf	
Marina Ivanovna Chernova	27-Sep-75	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her role as judge, refused the application seeking to compel an inquiry of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and the alleged payment of \$6m to organise his detention	Ms Chernova refused an application from Mr Navalny seeking to compel an inquiry into the allegation published in an article in New Times "Price of Death," concerning the payment of \$6 m at FSB headquarters in Moscow to organise the arrest of Mr Magnitsky	M.I. Chernova: "A.A.Navalny applied to the court with a petition in relation to A.I. Pechegin, deputy head of department of the oversight of especially important cases of the General Prosecutor's Office complaining about the decision and seeking to compel to give a reasoned answer, in justification stated that on 15 December 2009 the applicant applied to the General Prosecutor's Office of Russian Federation with a request to check facts stated in an article 'Price of Death' in New Times magazine No 43, published on 30 November 2009. Believes that the received answer from the General Prosecutor's Office from 29.01.2010 is not reasoned....The argument of the applicant that the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation had not conducted a proper probe into his application...could not serve as the basis to satisfy the application, because...the application of the applicant from 15.12.2009 was considered and A.A.Navalny was issued an answer on 29.01.2010...Rule: to refuse the application..."	07-May-10	Refusal by Ms Chernova of the application seeking to compel an inquiry of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1454.pdf
Artur Gennadievich Karpov	15-May-69	Judge of Basmanny District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son	Mr Karpov refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	A.G. Karpov: "From the submitted materilas it follows that on 30 September 2011, the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation received an application from N.N. Magnitskaya of 13 September 2011 into the fact of ill-treatment, torture, and intentional murder of S.L. Magnitsky. On 14 October 2011 based on results of the consideration of this application, an authorised official person - investigator M.O. Lomonosova gave an answer to N.N. Magnitskaya according to which the application from the applicant had been attached to materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10, and the data stated in the application would be checked and assessed during the preliminary investigation. The response from the investigator also explains the order to appeal the adopted decision under Chapter 16 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. Therefore, the court sees no violations of the order of consideration of this application from the applicant to the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation. The court has no grounds not to trust the documents submitted by the body of the criminal prosecution. In connection with this, there are no grounds to believe that actions (inaction) by senior investigator ...M.O. Lomonosova connected to the resolution of the application from N.N. Magnitskaya from 13 September 2011 causes harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructs her access to justice. "	13-Dec-11	Refusal by Mr Karpov of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking an investigation of torture and murder of her son	D1440.pdf

Larisa Fedorovna Polyakova	16-Jul-63	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	Ms Polyakova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation of her son's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	L.F. Polyakova: "The collegium of judges having checked the materials, having discussed the arguments of the cassation appeal, does not find grounds to cancel the court decision. ..The judge, leaving the applicant's complaint without satisfaction referencing the legal norm, noted that ...on 14 October 2011 based on the results of the consideration of the report from N.N. Magnitskaya the authorised official person, i.e. investigator M.O. Lomonosova provided a response, according to which the report was attached to materials of the criminal case opened into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, in order to check and examine the arguments stated in the report...As correctly stated by the judge, no violations of the criminal procedural law during the consideration of the report occurred. In connection with this, the judge did not have grounds to believe that acts (inaction) of senior investigator...M.O. Lomonosova caused harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructed her access to justice."	22-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Polyakova of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking investigation of her son's torture and murder	D1442.pdf
Yuri Anatolievich Pasyunin	01-Jan-59	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	Mr Pasyunin refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation of her son's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	Y.A. Pasyunin: "The collegium of judges having checked the materials, having discussed the arguments of the cassation appeal, does not find grounds to cancel the court decision. ..The judge, leaving the applicant's complaint without satisfaction referencing the legal norm, noted that ...on 14 October 2011 based on the results of the consideration of the report from N.N. Magnitskaya the authorised official person, i.e. investigator M.O. Lomonosova provided a response, according to which the report was attached to materials of the criminal case opened into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, in order to check and examine the arguments stated in the report...As correctly stated by the judge, no violations of the criminal procedural law during the consideration of the report occurred. In connection with this, the judge did not have grounds to believe that acts (inaction) of senior investigator...M.O. Lomonosova caused harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructed her access to justice."	22-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Pasyunin of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking investigation of her son's torture and murder	D1443.pdf
Ilshat Zagfaryovich Abbazov	26-May-57	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeals from Mr Magnitsky's mother to compel an investigation of his torture and murder in custody and seeking access to her son's tissues for their independent medical examination	Mr Abbazov refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation of her son's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	I.Z.Abbazov: "The collegium of judges having checked the materials, having discussed the arguments of the cassation appeal, does not find grounds to cancel the court decision. ..The judge, leaving the applicant's complaint without satisfaction referencing the legal norm, noted that ...on 14 October 2011 based on the results of the consideration of the report from N.N. Magnitskaya the authorised official person, i.e. investigator M.O. Lomonosova provided a response, according to which the report was attached to materials of the criminal case opened into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, in order to check and examine the arguments stated in the report...As correctly stated by the judge, no violations of the criminal procedural law during the consideration of the report occurred. In connection with this, the judge did not have grounds to believe that acts (inaction) of senior investigator...M.O. Lomonosova caused harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructed her access to justice."	22-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Abbazov of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking investigation of her son's torture and murder	D1436.pdf

					Mr Abbazov refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation to provide access to her son's tissues, in spite of previous requests from the family for medical examinations also having been refused	I.Z.Abbazov: "The collegium of judges note that the refusal of access to the victim of objects of histological archive for their examination by specialist of her choice does not restrict the rights guaranteed to the victim and does not contradict the purpose of the criminal proceeding, in particular the full and comprehensive check and identification of true data about the causes of death of the victim's son; the victim is eligible to file requests to solve any questions connected to the examination of the items of the histological archive by any persons with special knowledge, including those chosen by her...Leave ...the ruling of the Basmany district court of Moscow from 19 July 2011 on the complaint by lawyer N.A Gorokhov ...in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya without change, and the cassation appeal without satisfaction."	29-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Abbazov of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1435.pdf	
Alexander Nikolaevich Gorb	27-Jan-67	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position of judge, refused the appeal seeking to compel access to Mr Magnitsky's tissues and organs for their independent medical examination	Mr Gorb refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation to provide access to her son's tissues, in spite of previous requests from the family for medical examinations also having been refused	A.N. Gorb: "The collegium of judges note that the refusal of access to the victim of objects of histological archive for their examination by specialist of her choice does not restrict the rights guaranteed to the victim and does not contradict the purpose of the criminal proceeding, in particular the full and comprehensive check and identification of true data about the causes of death of the victim's son; the victim is eligible to file requests to solve any questions connected to the examination of the items of the histological archive by any persons with special knowledge, including those chosen by her...Leave ...the ruling of the Basmany district court of Moscow from 19 July 2011 on the complaint by lawyer N.A Gorokhov ...in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya without change, and the cassation appeal without satisfaction."	29-Aug-11	Refusal of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1438.pdf
Marina Evgenievna Selina	18-Nov-54	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues for their independent medical examination	Ms Selina refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation to provide access to her son's tissues and in spite of previous requests from the family for medical examinations also having been refused	M.E. Selina: "The collegium of judges note that the refusal of access to the victim of objects of histological archive for their examination by specialist of her choice does not restrict the rights guaranteed to the victim and does not contradict the purpose of the criminal proceeding, in particular the full and comprehensive check and identification of true data about the causes of death of the victim's son; the victim is eligible to file requests to solve any questions connected to the examination of the items of the histological archive by any persons with special knowledge, including those chosen by her...Leave ...the ruling of the Basmany district court of Moscow from 19 July 2011 on the complaint by lawyer N.A Gorokhov ...in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya without change, and the cassation appeal without satisfaction."	29-Aug-11	Refusal by Ms Selina of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1434.pdf
Ellada Nikolaevna Bondarenko	17-Jan-71	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to her son's tissues and organs for their independent medical examination	Ms Bondarenko refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the court decision to refuse her access to her son's tissues archive for their medical examination	E.N. Bondarenko: "The court of first instance did not have grounds to deem unlawful and unjustified the refusal by investigator of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of RF M.O. Lomonosova to satisfy the application to provide to the victim N.N. Magnitskaya items from the histological archive of tissues of S.L. Magnitsky to conduct testing with participation of a specialist, neither did it have grounds to conclude that the complained decree caused harm to the constitutional rights of victim N.N. Magnitsky, or obstructed her access to justice...The contents of the cassation ruling meets the requirements of Article 388 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. Therefore, violations of the criminal procedural law causing the cancellation of the court decision in effect, have not occurred."	26-Sep-11	Refusal by Ms Bondarenko of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1439.pdf

Valentin Anatolievich Kuleshov	15-Apr-70	Judge of Simonovsky District Court of Moscow	Court		In his position as judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to informaton about the 12 prosecutors in charge of overseeing cases concerning Mr Magnitsky	Mr Kuleshov refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel General Prosecutor's Office to disclose to her the information on the twelve prosecutors in charge of overseeing cases in relation to her deceased son and the decisions they have made	V.A. Kuleshov: "The court believes that the applicant and her representative have not indicated circumstances and have not submitted evidence showing that the information they request in any way concern the rights and lawful interests of N.N. Magnitskaya...rule: to refuse the application from Natalia Nikolaevna Magnitskaya challenging the actions (inaction) of deputy head of department of the oversight of especially important cases of the General Prosecutor's Office of RF V.V. Ignashin expressed in the refusal to provide data about the group of prosecutors assigned to check the lawfulness of the investigation of criminal cases affecting the rights and main freedoms of S.L. Magnitsky and seeking to compel to provide the corresponding information."	11-Sep-12	Refusal by Mr Kuleshov of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking disclosure of names of prosecutors in charge of cases in relation to her son	D1430.pdf
Evegeny Valerievich Komissarov	20-Feb-71	Judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning access to case files containing his testimonies given before the arrest and the posthumous allegations against him by the Interior Ministry	Mr Komissarov refused to provide information to Mr Magnitsky's mother about the case files concerning his testimonies before the arrest and the posthumous allegations against him by the Interior Ministry	E.V. Komissarov: "To your request for information from 25 May 2012 in relation to files of the the criminal case No 152979 and the criminal case No 374015 merged with it, I report the following. According to your representation...you act in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya. As follows from is not party to the criminal proceeding. Because of this, there are no grounds to satisfy your request."	29-Jun-12	Refusal by Mr Komissarov of request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1428.pdf
Alexandra Borisovna Kovalevskaya	21-Apr-79	Judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the lawsuit against the new posthumous allegation in relation Mr Magnitsky by the Interior Ministry (for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered)	Ms Kovalevskaya refused the lawsuit from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the new posthumous allegation against her son, being based on false materials included in the decree from 1 July 2011 to open a new criminal case on money laundering of the stolen \$230 million (case No 678540). The decree was issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	A.B. Kovalevskaya: "As follows from the submitted materials, the decree by investigator of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.V. Urzhumtsev to commence a criminal case on 01.07.2011 ...was issued by the proper official, following the preceding procedure, within the statutory timetable,...and meets all requirements of the criminal procedural law...The examination of truth of such information is carried out during the course of the preliminary investigation, and in essence, represents an evaluation of possible evidence under the criminal case, while the circumstances that served as a ground to commence the criminal case are subject to be proven during the course of the preliminary investigation, by means of gathering, checking and assessment of evidence, on the basis of which the investigation must reach a final conclusion about the existence or the absence of signs of any content of crime in the acts of these or those persons, confirming or refuting the justification to open a criminal case. Besides, the court takes into account, that based on the meaning of the current criminal legislation, the decree to commence a criminal case itself cannot breach anybody's rights, including any rights of Mr S.L. Magnitsky and even more so, of his relatives."	09-Dec-11	Refusal by Ms Kovalevskaya of the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1415.pdf
Irina Yurievna Kolesnikova	16-Nov-69	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal against the posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	Ms Kolesnikova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the inclusion of false information about her son's alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft into the decree to commence a new criminal case (No 678540) issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	"Having considered all submitted documents and arguments of parties, the court justifiably arrived at the conclusion that the grounds to satisfy the complaint of the applicant were absent...The court of first instance has arrived at the correct conclusion that the acts of investigator of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the head of the investigation section, connected to the opening of a criminal case No 678540, do not violate the constitutional rights of anybody, because following the issuance of the decree to commence a criminal case, the investigator begins the conduct of a preliminary investigation, during the course of which the event of crime...; the existence or the absence in acts of these or those persons of sights of criminal acts, ...and other circumstances must be proven....Leave unchanged the ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 09 December 2011 to leave without satisfaction the complaint under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF from applicant N.A. Gorokhov in the defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya"	27-Feb-12	Refusal by Ms Kolesnikova of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against a new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1416.pdf

Olga Viktorovna Dubrovina	01-Jun-78	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal against the posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	Ms Dubrovina refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the inclusion of false information about her son's alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft into the decree to commence a new criminal case (No 678540) issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	"Having considered all submitted documents and arguments of parties, the court justifiably arrived at the conclusion that the grounds to satisfy the complaint of the applicant were absent...The court of first instance has arrived at the correct conclusion that the acts of investigator of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the head of the investigation section, connected to the opening of a criminal case No 678540, do not violate the constitutional rights of anybody, because following the issuance of the decree to commence a criminal case, the investigator begins the conduct of a preliminary investigation, during the course of which the event of crime...; the existence or the absence in acts of these or those persons of sights of criminal acts, ...and other circumstances must be proven...Leave unchanged the ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 09 December 2011 to leave without satisfaction the complaint under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF from applicant N.A. Gorokhov in the defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya"	27-Feb-12	Refusal by Ms Dubrovina of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1417.pdf
Oleg Alexandrovich Muzychenko	14-Jun-78	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the appeal against the posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	Mr Muzychenko refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the inclusion of false information about her son's alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft into the decree to commence a new criminal case (No 678540), issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	"Having considered all submitted documents and arguments of parties, the court justifiably arrived at the conclusion that the grounds to satisfy the complaint of the applicant were absent...The court of first instance has arrived at the correct conclusion that the acts of investigator of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the head of the investigation section, connected to the opening of a criminal case No 678540, do not violate the constitutional rights of anybody, because following the issuance of the decree to commence a criminal case, the investigator begins the conduct of a preliminary investigation, during the course of which the event of crime...; the existence or the absence in acts of these or those persons of sights of criminal acts, ...and other circumstances must be proven...Leave unchanged the ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 09 December 2011 to leave without satisfaction the complaint under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF from applicant N.A. Gorokhov in the defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya"	27-Feb-12	Refusal by Ms Muzychenko of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against a new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1418.pdf
Lubov Yurievna Ishmuratova	15-Oct-63	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Ishmuratova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision by district court of Moscow to return the lawsuit against the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor to begin the posthumous prosecution of her son	L.U. Ishmuratova: "By ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 the complaint by N.N. Magnitskaya submitted under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF was returned to the applicant to eliminate the identified shortcomings. The court ruling was left unchanged under cassation order...In her appeal the applicant N.N. Magnitskaya finds the existing court decisions unlawful and unjustified asserting that the decree of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30 July 2011 [to resume the case in relation to her son, Mr Magnitsky] affected her interests because following the resumption of the investigation she received summonses for questioning as a witness, and the court did not issue the ruling stipulated by the law. Having studied the submitted materials, having checked the arguments of the appeal, I don't find grounds for appeal."	21-Feb-12	Decision by Ms Izhmuratova to refuse the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision to return the lawsuit against the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1419.pdf
Larisa Tikhonovna Martynova	10-Jun-64	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal concerning the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Martynova refused the appeal of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking a prompt review of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Grin which was returned by lower district court	L.T. Martynova: "No restrictions of access to justice by the court in relation to N.N. Magnitskaya has occurred contrary to her arguments, because the elimination of shortcomings allows the applicant in the future on the same grounds to apply under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF with a complaint regarding the lawfulness and justification of the actions of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF...Rule: leave unchanged the ruling of judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 concerning the complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna."	24-Oct-11	Refusal by Ms Martynova of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1424.pdf

Galina Egorovna Khotuntseva	22-Jan-67	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal concerning the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Martynova refused the appeal of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking a prompt review of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Grin which was returned by lower district court	G.E.Khotuntseva: "No restrictions of access to justice by the court in relation to N.N. Magnitskaya has occurred contrary to her arguments, because the elimination of shortcomings allows the applicant in the future on the same grounds to apply under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF with a complaint regarding the lawfulness and justification of the actions of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF...Rule: leave unchanged the ruling of judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 concerning the complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna."	24-Oct-11	Refusal by Ms Khotuntseva of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1425.pdf
Vadim Alexandrovich Lovchev	28-Aug-73	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the appeal concerning the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Martynova refused the appeal of Mr Magnitsky's mother, which sought a prompt review of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Grin which was returned by lower district court	V.A. Lovchev: "No restrictions of access to justice by the court in relation to N.N. Magnitskaya has occurred contrary to her arguments, because the elimination of shortcomings allows the applicant in the future on the same grounds to apply under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF with a complaint regarding the lawfulness and justification of the actions of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF...Rule: leave unchanged the ruling of judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 concerning the complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna."	24-Oct-11	Refusal by Mr Lovchev of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1426.pdf
Yulia Vladimirovna Bobrova	30-Nov-69	Judge of Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Bobrova refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision of Deputy General Prosecutor V. Grin to reopen a criminal case against her son posthumously, finding that her rights have not been violated by this decision	Y.V. Bobrova: "The court cannot accept the argument of the applicant that the mother of the accused has not applied for the rehabilitation of her son - accused S.L. Magnitsky, correspondingly Deputy General Prosecutor has performed the functions of the close relative of the accused, because the cancellation of the investigator's decree is based on the violation by the latter during the termination of the case of requirements of the criminal procedural legislation based on the meaning of the ruling of the Constitutional Court of RF...Other arguments of the applicant stated in the complaint in support of his conclusions are based on the subjective opinion of the author, are not based on the requirements of the law, are not confirmed objectively by the submitted evidence and are not subject to satisfaction...The court believes that by adopting the decision to cancel the investigator's decree to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky, the rights of his mother - N.N. Magnitskaya who the applicant represents, are not violated, her access to justice is not obstructed, and on the contrary, N.N. Magnitskaya has acquired the lawful procedural rights to prove her position on the case based on the position of the deceased accused...During the consideration of the complaint, the court has not identified circumstances evidencing the adoption by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF of an unjustified decision...Rule: To leave without satisfaction the complaint of lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30.07.2011 to cancel the decree from 27.11.2009 under the criminal case No 311578 to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky due to his death."	03-Apr-12	Refusal by Ms Bobrova of the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1427.pdf
Tatiana Vasilievna Neverova	28-Jul-75	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the complaint about the violations of Mr Magnitsky's rights in custody, and after his death refused applications from Mr Magnitsky's mother to compel an investigation of his torture and murder, and allowed the cover up of the death of Mr Magnitsky during the Kratov trial	While Mr Magnitsky was detained in custody, Mr Neverova refused the complaint from his lawyers about the violation of his rights by investigators	T.V. Neverova: "...the court arrives to the conclusion that the rights of the accused have not been breached by the refusal of investigator to disclose to him and his counsel the case materials which will be disclosed for the expert examination by the body of preliminary investigation, because...the accused and his counsel are not deprived of the opportunity to put additional questions to the expert or petition to appoint a new examination."	22-Jul-09	Refusal by Ms Neverova of the complaint about violations of rights of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1449.pdf

					Ms Neverova refused the petition from the lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to return the case in relation to Dmitry Kratov, an ex-Butyrka official, for a full and comprehensive investigation of the conspiracy to torture and kill Mr Magnitsky in custody	T.V. Neverova: "There are no grounds to merge this criminal case in relation to D.B. Kratov with the criminal case investigated by the body of preliminary investigation, because based on the meaning of p 1 section 1 of Article 153 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, the criminal cases can be merged in one proceeding in relation to several persons who committed crimes in conspiracy, and one of the grounds for this requirements is the identification of specific co-conspirator of the investigated act, when as follows from the case materials, the investigation continues investigating the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in relation to unidentified persons."	27-Jul-12	Refusal by Ms Neverova of the petition from lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother to return the Kratov case for the full investigation of the conspiracy to torture and kill Mr Magnitsky	D1448.pdf	
					Ms Neverova found that Mr Kratov, former deputy head of Butyrka detention center where Mr Magnitsky was held from 25 July 2009 until the last day of his life, 16 November 2009, "undertook all necessary measures" to provide medical care to Mr Magnitsky and was not guilty of his death, in spite of complaints from Mr Magnitsky's and his lawyers about the denial of medical care in custody	T.V. Neverova: "...from the evidence studied in the court hearing follows, that D.B. Kratov who was brought to trial being a deputy head of detention center in charge of medical and preventative work of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow city [Butyrka], carried out the management of the medical section of the said detention center, undertook all necessary measures to provide S.L. Magnitsky with medical care in relation to the diseases identified on the latter."	28-Dec-12	Ruling by Ms Neverova finding Mr Kratov took "necessary measures" to provide Mr Magnitsky with medical care and was not guilty of his death	D1447.pdf	
Galina Mikhailovna Filippova	15-Oct-68	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, resisted the application about the unlawfulness of the criminal case used by the criminal conspiracy to seize documents and misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies	Ms Filippova refused the complaint against the unlawful commencement of the case in relation to Kameya, a client of Hermitage, used by the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky to seize and keep custody of corporate documents used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies, fabricate claims against them and steal \$230 million of tax revenue they had paid to the Russian government	G. Filippova: "As follows from the materials submitted to the court, the trigger to commence a criminal case was the report from operative A.K. Kuznetsov of the 6th unit of 2 section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow...The court does not assess the arguments of Attorney E.M. Khairtdinov about the untruthfulness, hearsay and the lack of justification in the statements of investigator made in the decree to commence a criminal case about the unlawful application by OOO Kameya of the Agreement from 05.12.1998 and about the personal interest of I.S. Cherkasov, and also the arguments about the inadmissibility of results obtained during the inquiry preceding the opening of the criminal case, because the check of the truthfulness of such data shall be made during the preliminary investigation and in essence serves as an examination of possible evidence under the criminal case, and therefore cannot be the subject of the judicial check during the consideration of complaints under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF...rule: leave without satisfacion the complaint..."	13-Nov-07	Refusal by Ms Filippova of the complaint against the criminal case used to seize documents for the criminal conspiracy	D1467.pdf
Rufina Darvinovna Gazizova	21-Jan-64	Vakhitovsky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, approved the search by the Interior Ministry of offices of Attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Gazizova sanctioned the application from the Interior Ministry to search the offices of Attorney Khairtdinov who reported the criminal conspiracy on behalf of the Hermitage Fund on a false ground that documents concerning the "liabilities" of those companies may be found in his office, relying on false and misleading evidence from the Interior Ministry against the attorney. This and other searches of offices of Hermitage Fund's lawyers have been the subject of a resolution from the Council of Europe and the appeal from the International Bar Association, but did not stop the Interior Ministry's intimidation of lawyers with further criminal proceedings	R.D. Gazizova: "The preliminary investigation has sufficient grounds to believe that original documents about the existence of liabilities of OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend owed to OOO Logos Plus, OOO Instar, and OOO Grand Aktive, the documents to represent all stated legal entities, and other documents, items, valuables significant for the case may exist at the place of work of Attorney E.M. Khairtdinov... Rule: to approve the conduct of a search at the place of work of Attorney Khairtdinov..."	11-Aug-08	Approval by Ms Gazizova of the search of the office of Attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1470.pdf

Tatiana Pavlovna Korneyeva	01-Jan-54	Simonovsky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, approved the criminal proceeding against Attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Korneyeva approved an application from investigators to commence a criminal proceeding for the alleged use of a forged power of attorney against a Hermitage Fund's attorney Eduard Khairtdinov, who had filed complaints seeking investigation of the role of Interior Ministry officers, including Kuznetsov, and arbitration court judges in the misappropriation of the Hermitage Fund's companies, fabrication of claims against them and the \$230 million theft of their tax revenue. The proceeding against Attorney Khairtdinov was launched on the basis of a falsified report authorised by Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov whom the Attorney had reported	T. Korneyeva: "The defence side to justify its position and refute the application from the investigative bodies has submitted numerous materials. The court when issuing a conclusion on the application from the head of the investigative body, checks only the sufficiency of the data submitted by him, indicating signs of crime, and is not allowed to issue conclusions that may be contained only in the final decision on the criminal case, i.e. to give an assessment to materials submitted by the parties. Having considered the application, having listened to the sides of the proceeding, having checked the materials submitted by the investigative bodies, the court arrives to the conclusion that signs of crime under section 3 of Article 327 of the Criminal Code of RF, i.e. committing the use of knowingly false document [power of attorney] are seen in the the acts of attorney E.M. Khairtdinov... Satisfy the application from the head of investigative section for Southern Administrative District..."	26-Nov-08	Approval by Ms Korneyeva of the repressive criminal proceeding against Attorney who reported the criminal conspiracy	D1468.pdf
Irina Anatolievna Skuridina	27-Feb-80	Basmany District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused an application seeking to compel the Head of the Investigative Committee Mr Bastrykin to investigate the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky by officials in the Prosecutor's Office and the Interior Ministry	Ms Skuridina refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, against the inaction of the Head of Investigative Committee Alexander Bastrykin. The application sought to compel an investigation of the concealment by officials of the General Prosecutor's Office and the Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	I.A. Skuridina:"Head of section...of the Investigative Committee of Russia A.A. Schegolikhin submitted a reply to the complaint in which he stated that the Investigative Committee of Russia has considered the application from U.S. citizen J.R. Firestone from 30 January 2012 seeking to carry out a procedural probe and open a criminal case in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of Russia and General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation, complicit, in the opinion of the applicant, in the concealment of persons who organised the theft of 5.4 billion roubles [\$230 million] from the budget of the Russian Federation, and the disagreement with verdicts of Presnensky and Tverskoi District Courts of Moscow, decisions by Arbitration courts of various regions of the Russian Federation...As follows from the submitted materials, on 29 February 2012 the application of Jamison Firestone Reed from 30 January 2012 concerning the acts of officials of prosecutor's office, Interior Ministry and on other matters, was sent by deputy Chairman of the Investigative Committee V.I. Piskarev to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation...The court believes to be unjustified the arguments... that on the application, it was unjustifiably refused to accept and carry out the probe and thereby to treat it as a crime report, because the decision on the necessity to carry out a probe into crime reports is made by the heads of the body of criminal prosecution...On the basis of the above...order to leave ...the complaint without satisfaction..."	01-Oct-12	Ruling by Ms Skuridina refusing to compel an investigation of the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1453.pdf
Sergei Mikhialovich Markov	14-Sep-53	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In his position as judge, refused the appeal against Mr Magnitsky's arrest	Mr Markov refused the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	S.M. Markov: "The collegium of judges on criminal case of the Moscow city court comprising: the chair S.M. Markov, judges S.V. Andreeva and L.I. Nikolenko has considered in a court hearing on 15 December 2008 the cassation appeals by lawyers T.V. Gridnev and D.V. Kharitonov of the ruling by the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 26 November 2009 which chose a measure of restraint in the form of custodial detention for Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...the lawyers do not agree with the court ruling, believe it is not based on evidence. The lawyers believe that the conclusion that Magnitsky pressured witnesses, obstructed the case, tried to hide from the investigative bodies, did not live at the place of residence was not based on factual circumstances...note that the investigative bodies breached the statutory deadline for submitting the application to choose a measure of restraint for Magnitsky. In lawyers' opinion, the court in its ruling cited the circumstances which were not confirmed by the materials submitted to the court and did not account for the information about the character of the accused. [They] request to cancel the ruling. Having checked the case materials, discussed the points of the cassation appeals, the judiciary collegium finds that the court ruling should be kept with no changes."	15-Dec-08	Refusal by Mr Markov of the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1471.pdf

Svetlana Viktorovna Andreeva	01-Dec-65	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the appeals against Mr Magnitsky's arrest and the use of falsified Interior Ministry reports to justify his detention	Ms Andreeva refused the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	S.V. Andreeva: "The collegium of judges on criminal case of the Moscow city court comprising: the chair S.M. Markov, judges S.V. Andreeva and L.I. Nikolenko has considered in a court hearing on 15 December 2008 the cassation appeals by lawyers T.V. Gridnev and D.V. Kharitonov of the ruling by the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 26 November 2009 which chose a measure of restraint in the form of custodial detention for Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...the lawyers do not agree with the court ruling, believe it is not based on evidence. The lawyers believe that the conclusion that Magnitsky pressured witnesses, obstructed the case, tried to hide from the investigative bodies, did not live at the place of residence was not based on factual circumstances...note that the investigative bodies breached the statutory deadline for submitting the application to choose a measure of restraint for Magnitsky. In lawyers' opinion, the court in its ruling cited the circumstances which were not confirmed by the materials submitted to the court and did not account for the information about the character of the accused. [They] request to cancel the ruling. Having checked the case materials, discussed the points of the cassation appeals, the judiciary collegium finds that the court ruling should be kept with no changes."	15-Dec-08	Refusal by Ms Andreeva of the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1472.pdf
						Ms Andreeva refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, which was against the use of false reports from an Interior Ministry operative to justify the decision to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning	S.V. Andreeva: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge N.V. Sharapova; judges L.I. Nikolenko; S.V. Andreeva...has determined: Attorney Kharitonov in the defence of interests of Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow with a complaint seeking to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator Silchenko to bring witness Magnitsky by force under the criminal case the investigator was in charge...the court [of first instance] had no grounds to believe that the reports by a police employee had been falsified; there was also no data to believe that the police employee did not fulfill the order from investigator...with these data, the judicial collegium believes that the court justifiably arrived to the conclusion about the absence of grounds to satisfy the complaint from applicant attorney Kharitonov."	15-Apr-09	Refusal by Ms Andreeva of complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1795.pdf
Lyubov Ivanovna Nikolenko	18-Mar-57	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the appeals against Mr Magnitsky's arrest and the use of falsified Interior Ministry reports to justify his detention	Ms Nikolenko refused the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	L.I. Nikolenko: "The collegium of judges on criminal case of the Moscow city court comprising: the chair S.M. Markov, judges S.V. Andreeva and L.I. Nikolenko has considered in a court hearing on 15 December 2008 the cassation appeals by lawyers T.V. Gridnev and D.V. Kharitonov of the ruling by the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 26 November 2009 which chose a measure of restraint in the form of custodial detention for Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...the lawyers do not agree with the court ruling, believe it is not based on evidence. The lawyers believe that the conclusion that Magnitsky pressured witnesses, obstructed the case, tried to hide from the investigative bodies, did not live at the place of residence was not based on factual circumstances...note that the investigative bodies breached the statutory deadline for submitting the application to choose a measure of restraint for Magnitsky. In lawyers' opinion, the court in its ruling cited the circumstances which were not confirmed by the materials submitted to the court and did not account for the information about the character of the accused. [They] request to cancel the ruling. Having checked the case materials, discussed the points of the cassation appeals, the judiciary collegium finds that the court ruling should be kept with no changes."	15-Dec-08	Refusal by Ms Nikolenko of the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1473.pdf

					Ms Nikolenko refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the decision of Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning as a witness	L.I. Nikolenko: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge N.V. Sharapova; judges L.I. Nikolenko; S.V. Andreeva...has determined: Attorney Kharitonov in the defence of interests of Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow with a complaint seeking to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator Silchenko to bring witness Magnitsky by force under the criminal case the investigator was in charge...the court [of first instance] had no grounds to believe that the reports by a police employee had been falsified; there was also no data to believe that the police employee did not fulfill the order from investigator...with these data, the judicial collegium believes that the court justifiably arrived to the conclusion about the absence of grounds to satisfy the complaint from applicant attorney Kharitonov."	15-Apr-09	Refusal by Ms Nikolenko of complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1796.pdf	
Nina Vasilievna Sharapova	15-Jul-68	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	Ms Sharapova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the decision of Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning as a witness being based on false reports by an Interior Ministry operative	N.V. Sharapova: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge N.V. Sharapova; judges L.I. Nikolenko; S.V. Andreeva...has determined: Attorney Kharitonov in the defence of interests of Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow with a complaint seeking to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator Silchenko to bring witness Magnitsky by force under the criminal case the investigator was in charge...the court [of first instance] had no grounds to believe that the reports by a police employee had been falsified; there was also no data to believe that the police employee did not fulfill the order from investigator...with these data, the judicial collegium believes that the court justifiably arrived to the conclusion about the absence of grounds to satisfy the complaint from applicant attorney Kharitonov."	15-Apr-09	Refusal by Ms Sharapova of complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1474.pdf
Oksana Valerievna Rolgeizer	02-Jan-76	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the appeal against the decision to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention	Ms Rolgeizer refused the appeal against the decision to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention	O.V. Rolgeizer: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge S.M. Markov; judges O.V. Rolgeizer, L.I. Nikolenko, has considered in a court hearing on 03 August 2009 cassation appeals of lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova, and also of S.L. Magnitsky of the ruling by Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 15 June 2009 which extended for 3 months... the period of detention in custody of Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...The accused S.L. Magnitsky in the cassation appeal also does not agree with the court ruling and requests to cancel it as unlawful and unjustified stating the following. The court restricted the time for his review of materials submitted by the investigator in justification of his application, thereby the right of Magnitsky for defence was violated because he did not manage to duly prepare for the court hearing; his review of that material was conducted in conditions which excluded the possibility of the written work with documents (on a bench, without a table) and in the absence of Magnitsky's lawyers...; during the hearing in the court room Magnitsky was isolated from his lawyers and again because of this could not use the legal assistance;...the basis for the court decision formed inadmissible evidence (documents) which exist in the materials submitted by the investigation, and the application to exclude them from evidence made in the court room was unjustifiably refused; the court did not assess the grounds for the accusation stated to Magnitsky and the need to select the measure of restriction in the form of detention in custody;...the conclusions in the ruling about the possibility for Magnitsky to commit any unlawful acts in order to obstruct justice	03-Aug-09	Refusal by Ms Rolgeizer of the appeal against the decision to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention	D1475.pdf

						Cont'd. ...The arguments about the incomplete examination of materials under the application, that the explanations from the accused and his lawyers on the substance of the case in the ruling were not examined, and that the justification of the accusation stated to Magnitsky was not checked as well as references to the judicial decision being factually unsupported and unreasoned, and relying on inadmissible evidence the judicial collegium finds baseless for the following reasons. The protocol of court hearing shows that the court in full studied all submitted documents, and heard participants in the proceeding both from the prosecution side and from the defence side. As fairly noted by the court of first instance, when resolving the application from Magnitsky to exclude a series of documents from admissible evidence, while addressing the question about the extension of the period of detention of a person in custody, the court does not have the right to assess the evidence gathered under the case. For the same reason at this stage the assessment of the justifiability of issuing an accusation cannot be made...Therefore, the judicial collegium finds no grounds to change the court ruling based on grounds of cassation appeals. The judicial collegium also sees no other grounds to re-consider this court decision in order of cassation."				
Larisa Mikhailovna Vodopianova	04-Aug-56	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as Moscow city judge, refused the appeal against Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody	Ms Vodopianova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against his detention in custody finding the court decision was based on "objective" data in spite of it being based on unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers	L.M. Vodopianova: "Judge of the Moscow city court L.M. Vodopianova having studied the appeal for oversight from lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova in the defence of interests of S.L. Magnitsky to cancel the ruling of Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow from 26 November 2008 and the determination from judicial collegium on criminal cases of the Moscow City Court from 15 December 2008, has determined:...In appeal for oversight, lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova express disagreements with the enforced court decisions, point their unlawfulness due to the violations of the norms of criminal procedural legislation and the lack of justification for applying such measure of restriction as detention in custody. Having studied the appeal for oversight, having checked the court decisions, [I] find, that the appeal for oversight is not subject to satisfaction for the following grounds....The judicial decision to detain into custody as a measure of restriction in relation to S.L. Magnitsky is based on objective data contained in the submitted materials, and adopted in accordance with provisions of Article 108 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF...There are no exceptional circumstances seen giving grounds to change the measure of restriction in relation to S.L. Magnitsky. No violations of the criminal procedural law resulting in the unconditional cancelation of court decisions have been identified....Rule... to refuse the satisfaction of the appeal for oversight..."	29-May-09	Refusal by Ms Vodopianova of appeal against Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1476.pdf
Tatiana Mikhailovna Vasyuchenko	11-Jul-69	Presnensky District Court	Court	B	In her position as judge refused the application seeking to compel a prompt and thorough investigation of the criminal conspiracy involved in tax refunds via tax inspections no 25 and 28 and the information about the enrichment of tax officials and their family members	Ms Vasyuchenko refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel the investigation of systematic tax refunds by Moscow tax offices No 25 and 28 in Moscow and the illicit enrichment of families of tax officials	T.M. Vasyuchenko: "Having considered in an open court materials of the complaint of the applicant...requesting to deem unlawful the acts of senior investigator of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russia A.V. Spesivtsev and acting head of the second section for investigation of especially important cases of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russia V.V. Itkin, ...In the complaint, it is stated by attorney A.F. Antipov that J. Firestone had sent to the Investigative Committee of RF a report about the crime as he believes having been committed by former head of tax inspection No 28 in Moscow Stepanova and other public officials of tax inspections...On 08.06.2011, senior investigator of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russia A.V. Spesivtsev issued a decree to attach the crime report to the materials of the criminal case...The stated circumstances do not evidence the violation of any constitutional rights of the applicant and do not obstruct his access to justice because the public official will check the arguments stated in the application from Firestone within the framework of the criminal case under investigation...Rule: to leave without satisfaction the complaint..."	30-Sep-11	Refusal by Ms Vasyuchenko of the application to investigate tax officials behind tax refunds	D1479.pdf

Dmitry Vladimirovich Dolgoplov		Presnensky District Court of Moscow	Court	B In his position as judge, refused to consider the application seeking to compel an investigation of tax officials involved in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Dolgoplov refused to consider an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel an investigation of tax officials in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D.V. Dolgoplov: "The complaint is motivated by stating that on 15.04.2011 J. Firestone addressed to the Investigative Committee of RF a report about a crime committed in the opinion of the applicant by employees of Inspection of the Federal Tax Service No 28 of Moscow. On 11.05.2011 this crime report was forwarded for consideration based on authority to the the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of RF of Moscow. On 20.05.2011 deputy head of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow P.A. Titov made the decision to attach the report from J. Firestone to materials of the criminal case No 344212. The applicant believes that the decision to attach that crime report to materials of the criminal case No 344212 was made unlawfully, caused factual lengthy non-consideration of the crime report on substance and restricts the applicant's access to justice. Having considered the complaint..., the court believes that it is not subject for consideration under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code and must be refused for acceptance. The arguments of the applicant stated in the complaint have already been the topic of judicial consideration... Rule: to refuse in acceptance for consideration of the complaint...in the interests of J.Firestone seeking to deem unlawful the acts of deputy head of Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of RF P.A. Titov in relation to the consideration of allowed violations by employees of tax inspection No 28 of Moscow."	20-Mar-12	Refusal by Mr Dolgoplov to consider the application seeking an investigation of tax officials in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1481.pdf
Natalia Nikolaevna Dudar	13-Jun-74	Basmany District Court of Moscow	Court	B In her position as judge, refused the applications seeking to compel a thorough investigation in relation to the fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax officials	Ms Dudar refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel the Investigative Committee to notify of its decision on the crime report filed by him on 15 April 2011 in relation to the fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax officials and their alleged enrichment	N.N. Dudar: "Federal Judge of Basmany District Court of Moscow N.N. Dudar...determined: in the complaint submitted under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, applicant's attorney A.F. Antipov states that on 15 April 2011 J. Firestone sent to the Investigative Committee of RF a report of crime committed by former head of tax inspection No 28 of Moscow O.G. Stepanova and other public officials of tax inspections...Until now none of the decisions stipulated in the law in relation to the crime report from J.R. Firestone have been made, he has not been informed about the location of his report and the steps undertaken on it. Having regard to this, applicant attorney A.F. Antipov believes the actions (ommissions) of investigator D.A. Gaiduk to be unlawful, breaching rights of J.R. Firestone and principles of judicial proceeding, stipulated by Articles 6,7 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF...the oversight over adherence to the law during preliminary investigation is imposed on the prosecutor. According to the current legislation, the court does not have the authority to conduct an oversight over the activities of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecutor's office, and over the fulfillment by them of their duties...The court takes into account that the decision on the order in which to conduct the probe on reports received by the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation is made by the management of the body of criminal prosecution...During the investigation of the said criminal case, the accusation was not issued, the measure of restraint was not selected, of which the applicant was informed...Accordingly, there are no grounds to conclude the senior investigator...D.A. Gaiduk allowed unlawful acts or omission...Rule to leave without satisfacion the complaint"	22-Dec-11	Refusal by Ms Dudar of application seeking notification of the investigation of tax officials behind tax refunds	D1483.pdf

					Ms Dudar refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel the Investigative Committee to investigate the fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax officials and information about their alleged enrichment	N.N. Dudar: "...The court takes into consideration that the decision to conduct a probe into reports received by the Investigative Committee's branch for the Central Federal District is made by the head of the body of judicial prosecution...The court is not a body of judicial prosecution, is not acting on the part of defence or prosecution, the function of resolving the case is imposed on it. In line with the above, there are no grounds whatsoever to conclude that any of the employees of the Investigative Committee for Central Federal District have committed unlawful acts or omissions or made an unlawful decision...the above stated actions and omission by officials of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation for Central Federal District do not obstruct an opportunity for J. Firestone to submit a complaint to the court, apply to the state bodies and bodies of local governance...Under these circumstances,...Order to leave the complaint without satisfaction ..."	31-Jul-12	Refusal by Ms Dudar of application seeking a thorough investigation of tax officials behind tax refunds	D1482.pdf	
Private Individuals										
Ekaterina Alexandrovna Maltseva		Lawyer	<i>PI</i>	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in false liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Minutes of the court hearings St.Petersburg Arbitration Court case No.A56-22484/2007 showed Ms Misyukevich consenting to US\$110,000 in liabilities based on forged contracts against Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"the defendant acknowledges the claim"	21-Sep-07	Court record naming Ms Maltseva	D1870.pdf
Elena Gennadievna Shulgina	1967	Lawyer	<i>PI</i>	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in false liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Mr Shulgina was involved in the St. Petersburg Arbitration Court proceeding representing a claim filed using stolen identity to obtain US\$110,000 judgement against Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, based on forged contracts	"Hereby the Plaintiff informs the court that we have received the reply from the Defendant in accordance to which that the Defendant has no position in relation to the essence of the claim and does not object against the satisfaction of the claim"	21-Sep-07	Court record naming Ms Shulgina	D1871.pdf
Anton Sergeevich Turukhin	08-Nov-76	Lawyer	<i>PI</i>	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Mr Turukhin was involved in the St.Petersburg Arbitration Court proceeding on the case No.A56-22479/2007 representing a claim filed using stolen identity to obtain US\$325mln (7.5bln rubles) against Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, based on forged contracts	"The plaintiff requests to increase the amount of claim up to 7 557 858 000 rubles"."To recover from OOO Rilend for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 7 557 858 000 rubles of liabilities"	21-Sep-07	Court record naming Mr Turukhin	D1687.pdf
Kirill Alexandrovich Yakovlev	21-Apr-75	Lawyer	<i>PI</i>	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Mr Yakovlev was involved in the St.Petersburg Arbitration Court proceeding on the case No.A56-22479/2007 representing a claim filed using stolen identity to obtain US\$325mln (7.5bln rubles) against Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, based on forged contracts	"To recover from OOO Rilend for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 7 557 858 000 rubles of liabilities"	27-Aug-07	Court record naming Mr Yakovlev	D1688.pdf
Marina Vadimovna Misyukevich	29-Aug-80	Lawyer	<i>PI</i>	D	Lawyer acting for a false director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund company, Rilend to conceal the criminal conspiracy	Ms Misyukevich acted in arbitration court proceedings, using power of attorney issued by false director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's company (V.N. Kurochkin) to resist the legal action from the Hermitage Fund to invalidate the arbitration court awards of false liabilities used to obtain fraudulent tax refunds	Decree by 10th Arbitration Court of Appeal: "From plaintiff: ...M.V. Misyukevich, acting on power of attorney signed by general director V.N. Kurochkin on 07.02.2008...Representative of OOO Rilend acting on power of attorney issued by general director V.N. Kurochkin, in court did not agree to the appeal arguments..., stated that [she] M.V. Misyukevich is at the present time the only plenipotentiary representative of OOO Rilend."	24-Mar-08	Court record naming Ms Misyukevich	D1655.pdf

Alexander Yurievich Smetanin	23-May-65	Nominal owner	PI	D	As a nominal owner of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies, was involved in the effort to liquidate them and cover up the criminal conspiracy	After the \$230 m fraud was perpetrated, Mr Markelov's company, Pluton, allegedly "sold" the three stolen Hermitage Fund's companies, Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion, to Mr Smetanin, a foreman from Novocherkassk, for 18,000 rubles (US\$600), in spite of more than 900,000 rubles (US\$30,000) being held on companies' accounts	Boily Systems Ltd incorporated under laws of British Virgin Islands ("the Buyer")... represented by its authorised representative Mr.Alexander Smetanin...Pluton, Kazan, republic of Tatarstan, Russian Federation ("the Seller") represented by its Director Mr. Markelov Victor Aleksandrovitch... LLC Parfenion, LLC Makhaon, LLLC Rilend... (the Companies)". The Seller is willing to sell to the Buyer and the Buyer is willing to buy from the Seller, it's rights on the charter capital of the Companies.. The purchase price for the Capital shall be Roubles Eighteen thousands (RUR 18000).	08-Feb-08	Sales agreement by Mr Smetanin with Mr Markelov	D1781.pdf
						Shortly after "buying" the stolen Hermitage Fund's companies from Mr Markelov, Mr Smetanin ordered to liquidate them due to "no need" to continue with their business operations	A.Y. Smetanin: "To liquidate...[Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion] due to no necessity for further use in business activity"	24-Mar-08	Decision by Mr Smetanin to liquidate three companies	D1782.pdf
Rimma Mikhailovna Starova	10-Apr-38	Nominal director	PI	D	As a nominal director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies, was involved in the effort to liquidate them and cover up the criminal conspiracy	Following the "sale" of the misappropriated companies from Mr Markelov's company, Pluton, to Mr Smetanin, he "appointed" as director of the stolen companies Ms Starova, a pensioner from Novocherkassk	To appoint as General Director.. Starova Rimma Mikhailovna	28-Feb-08	Appointment of Ms Starova	D1810.pdf
						Ms Starova "engaged" the services of Mr. Shcherbakov to liquidate the misappropriated subsidiaries of the Hermitage Fund	"Delegate to Executor registration and support of voluntary liquidation", "Cost of services of Executor in accordance with this agreement is 15000 rubles per month"	24-Mar-08	Engagement by Ms Starova of liquidator	D1874.pdf
Dmitry Vyacheslavovich Scherbakov	12-Dec-80	Liquidator	PI	D	As hired liquidator, was involved in the effort of the criminal conspiracy to liquidate the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies to cover it up	Mr Shcherbakov was appointed to liquidate Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund in order to cover up the fraud against the fund and the Russian treasury. The appointment was issued by a "foreman" from Novocherkassk, Mr Smetanin registered as "new owner" of the stolen companies	"To liquidate...due to no necessity for further use in business activity", "to appoint Shcherbakov Dmitry Vyacheslavovich as Chairman of Liquidation Committee"	24-Mar-08	Decision to appoint Mr Scherbakov as liquidator	D1873.pdf
Yuri Nikolaevich Nikolaev	24-Jul-78	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer who represented fraudulent owners of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies and resisted attempts to expose the criminal conspiracy behind the misappropriation of the Hermitage Fund's companies and their \$230 m tax revenue	Mr Nikolaev represented fraudulent owners of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies using legal proceedings to "legitimise" the misappropriation of the companies, including via a collusive petition filed with the Arbitration court in Kazan referring to falsified documents used by the criminal conspiracy to conceal the misappropriation and fraud	"in the framework of these hearing there was a petition to invalidate sale and purchase agreements of shares of Makhaon, Parfenion and Rilend as of 31.07.2007... The Plaintiff was not part of these agreements and there was no ability to provide these agreements...Based on the above we ask the court to... request from Investigative Department of Interior Ministry of Central Federal District (address: Moscow, Rustavelli, 8a, Cheif Investigator ..Major Budilo Nikolai Nikolaevich, tel (495) 619-7955) from the files of Case No. 153107 ... certified copies of: - sale and purchase agreements of shares of Rilend between Glendora Holding and OOO Pluton as of 31.07.2007; - sale and purchase agreements of shares of Parfenion between Glendora Holding and OOO Pluton as of 31.07.2007; - sale and purchase agreements of shares of Makhaon between Kone Holding and OOO Pluton as of 31.07.2007; - Power of Attorney as of 02.07.2007 to Gasanov O.G. from Kone Holding; - Power of Attorney as of 02.07.2007 to Gasanov O.G. from Glendora Holding"	31-Mar-08	Petition by Mr Nikolaev in court	D1694.pdf
Alexei Chernov	08-Aug-55	Nominal director of Boily Systems	PI	D	Was involved in the effort of the criminal conspiracy to falsely bankrupt the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies and cover up the crime	Under the false bankruptcy proceedings (case A41-14643/08) launched by the criminal conspiracy to conceal their fraudulent acts, a request was made to include Mr Chernov as an alleged creditor of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's company using falsified documents to bankrupt the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"On the basis of Federal law on bankruptcy I request to include my claim of 501525 rubles 22 kopeeks in the registry of claims against Makhaon"	24-Jun-09	Request to include claim from Mr Chernov into bankruptcy proceeding	D1816.pdf

					Mr Chernov acted as an alleged creditor of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's company used to bankrupt the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"On the basis of Federal law on bankruptcy I request to include my claim of 501525 rubles 22 kopeeks in the registry of claims against Rilend"	24-Jun-09	Request to include claim from Mr Chernov into bankruptcy proceeding	D1843.pdf	
					The court accepted a collusive agreement between Mr Smetanin and Mr Chernov, used to further the bankruptcy of the companies and cover up the conspiracy	"Assignor assigns to Assignee and Assignee accepts and pay for the claim of Assignor against Makhaon, Parfenion and Rilend"	01-Jun-09	Agreement in relation to falsified claims	D1844.pdf	
Vladimir Alexandrovich Kadzharduzov (Bershtein)	19-Sep-44	Nominal bankruptcy manager of Rilend	<i>PI</i>	D	Acting as bankruptcy manager, was involved in the effort to falsely bankrupt the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies to cover up the criminal conspiracy	Mr Kadzharduzov assisted the criminal conspiracy to bankrupt Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, by supporting the false claim of Mr Chernov (transferred to Sergei Posokhov) of being company's creditor	"Oblige the sale manager V.A. Kadzharduzov to submit a response to the claim from L.K.[sic] Chernov to be included in the list of claims of creditors of OOO Rilend"	02-Jul-09	Decision by court seeking response from Mr Kadzharduzov	D1845.pdf
Denis Yurievich Samkov	27-Dec-68	Representative of nominal bankruptcy manager of Rilend	<i>PI</i>	D	Acting as representative of bankruptcy manager, was involved in the effort to falsely bankrupt the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies to cover up the criminal conspiracy	Mr Samkov filed a motion in support of a false claim of Mr Chernov (transferred to Sergei Posokhov) against Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, of being company's creditor, used by the criminal conspiracy for Rilend's liquidation	D. Samkov: "To recognize as substantiated the claim of creditor - Sergei Posokhov and establish the size of them of 501 525 rubles and 22 kopeeks and penies of 90240 rubles"	02-Jul-09	Motion by Mr Samkov	D1865.pdf
Alexander Kalmykov	08-Nov-69	Nominal bankruptcy manager of Parfenion	<i>PI</i>	D	Acting as bankruptcy manager, assisted the criminal conspiracy to bankrupt and liquidate the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies	Mr Kalmykov resisted the appeal against the false bankruptcy of Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"I believe that the arguments of claimant who filed an appeal should be declined by Appeal Arbitration Court"	22-Dec-08	Motion by Mr Kalmykov	D1846.pdf
Arbitration court judges										
Andrei Yurievich Yushkov	13-Mar-62	Judge	<i>Arb. Court</i>	D	Based on forged documents, transferred the ownership of Hermitage Fund's companies to Pluton, a company registered to Mr Markelov, previously convicted for manslaughter (2002) and arrested for kidnap (2006)	Tatarstan Arbitration Court Case No A65-13793/2007	"To execute the liabilities in kind by transferring to OOO Pluton the shares in OOO Rilend, OOO Makhaon and OOO Paftenion"	30-Jul-07	Decision by Mr Yushkov	D1869.pdf
Mikhail Victorovich Kuznetsov		Judge	<i>Arb. Court</i>	D	Accepted a claim filed using a stolen identity, awarded US\$325mln against Rilend, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund based on forged documents, in proceedings with participation from lawyer Mr Pavlov on Rilend's "behalf" who consented to all fake liabilities	St.Petersburg Arbitration Court Case No.A56-22479/2007 whereby the plaintiff claimed foregone profit against Rilend, the claim was signed by a person using a stolen passport, and the defendant's lawyer, Pavlov, acknowledged US\$325mln in falsified liabilities. This and other court decisions were used by the criminal conspiracy to justify illegal tax rebates	"To recover from OOO Rilend for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 7 557 858 000 rubles of liabilities"	03-Sep-07	Decision by Mr Kuznetsov	D1656.pdf
Sergei Nikolaevich Alexeev		Judge	<i>Arb. Court</i>	D	Accepted a claim filed using a stolen identity, awarded US\$70mln based on forged documents against Makhaon misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	St.Petersburg Arbitration Court Case No.A56-22474/2007, proceedings with participation from lawyer Ms Maioirova on Makhaon's "behalf" who consented to all false liabilities	"To recover from OOO Makhaon for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 1 669 479 000 rubles of liabilities"	07-Sep-07	Decision by Mr Alexeev	D1657.pdf
Elena Alexandrovna Orlova		Judge	<i>Arb. Court</i>	D	Accepted a claim filed using a stolen identity, awarded US\$110,000 based on forged documents against Parfenion misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	St.Petersburg Arbitration Court Case No.A56-22484/2007, proceedings with participation from lawyer Ms Maltseva on Parfenion's "behalf" who consented to all fake liabilities	"To change the plaintiff in procedural form to OOO Grand-Active. To recover from OOO Parfenion for the benefit of OOO Grand-Active 2 800 000 rubles of liabilities"	17-Sep-07	Decision by Ms Orlova	D1658.pdf

Ildar Shavkatovich Salimzyanov	08-Feb-59	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Issued \$575mln in false liabilities based on forged documents against Parfenion, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	Tatarstan Court Case No A65-26689/2007, proceedings where Parfenion was "represented" by Mr Markelov, who acknowledged false liabilities	"To recover from ... OOO Parfenion for the benefit of OOO Grand Active... 13 880 521 978 rubles of liabilities"	13-Nov-07	Decision by Mr Salimzyanov	D1689.pdf
Elena Anatolievna Kim	15-Jan-73	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Issued \$325mln in false liabilities based on forged documents against Rilend, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	Moscow Court Case No A40-54502, proceedings where Rilend was "represented" by Ms Maiorova who did not raise objections to the false claims	E. Kim: "Award 7,573,714,200 rubles (\$325 million) to Instar"	11-Dec-07	Decision by Ms Kim	D1864.pdf
Margarita Valerievna Zinurova	05-Dec-69	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Approved based on false documents the bankruptcy of Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund, used to cover up the criminal conspiracy	Moscow Region Court Case No A41-14643/08	"Approve the bankruptcy procedure [of Makhaon]... External Manager Kadzharduzov to provide immediately information about exclusion the company from Unified Registry of Legal Entities"	17-Sep-09	Decision by Ms Zinurova	D1829.pdf
						Moscow Region Court Case No A41-14640/08	"Approve the bankruptcy procedure [of Parfenion]... External Manager Kadzharduzov to provide immediately information about exclusion the company from Unified Registry of Legal Entities"	17-Sep-09	Decision by Ms Zinurova	D1830.pdf
Valentina Pavlovna Mizyak	02-May-63	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these stolen companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"Tax Office No13 of Rostov region did not show up the hearing and requested to consider the case in their absence", "the court of first instance violated the law...the case was considered in the absence of the third party - Tax Office No13 of Rostov region which was not properly informed about the date of hearings", "in accordance with p.5 Article 270 of Arbitration Procedural Code, the court will consider the case in accordance with the regulations of the court of the first instance"	28-Jan-10	Decision by Ms Mizyak	D1776.pdf
Galina Alexandrovna Kudeneeva	11-Sep-64	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"Tax Office No13 of Rostov region did not show up the hearing and requested to consider the case in their absence", "the court of first instance violated the law...the case was considered in the absence of the third party - Tax Office No13 of Rostovregion which was not properly informed about the date of hearings", "in accordance with p5 Article 270 of Arbitration Procedural code, the court will consider the case in accordance with the regulations of the court of the first instance"	28-Jan-10	Decision by Ms Kudeneeva	D1866.pdf
Sergei Victorovich Maltsev	03-Mar-75	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these stolen companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"Tax Office No13 of Rostov region did not show up the hearing and requested to consider the case in their absence", "the court of first instance violated the law...the case was considered in the absence of the third party - Tax Office No13 of Rostovregion which was not properly informed about the date of hearings", "in accordance with p5 Article 270 of Arbitration Procedural code, the court will consider the case in accordance with the regulations of the court of the first instance"	28-Jan-10	Decision by Mr Maltsev	D1867.pdf
Elvira Raufovna Isaeva	26-May-61	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these stolen companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"In accordance with extract from the Unified Registry of Legal Entities, the legal competence of OOO Pluton was seized due to exclusion from Unified registry... therefore, we rule to reverse the decision of Arbitration Court of Moscow Region as of 28 September 2009 ... and to refuse the claim of Glendora Limited and Kone Limited to return 100% ownership of above mentioned companies"	26-Oct-10	Decision by Ms Isaeva	D1774.pdf

Marina Vladimirovna Ignakhina	08-Feb-77	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Refused to re-instate the control of lawful owners over Rilend, Makhaon, Parfenion, the three misappropriated subsidiaries of the Hermitage Fund, on the ground that the three companies had been liquidated by the time of the hearing, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case number A41-8992/09	"Due to the completion of tender of procedure in relation to Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion as a result of bankruptcy, the information on liquidation of three companies was put into Unified Registry of Legal Entities", "In these circumstances the claim of Glendora Holdings Limited and Kone Holdings Limited to return 100% ownership of Parfenion, Rilend and Makhaon could not be met"	03-May-11	Decision by Ms Ignakhina	D1779.pdf
Tax Officials										
Elena Egorovna Anisimova	30-Jan-55	Deputy Head of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was responsible for the tax refunds	Payment orders were made for US\$852,908 for a down-payment for apartment G16 at Kempinski Resort Palm Jumeirah, Dubai, for the benefit of Elena Anisimova and her son. The apartment for the benefit of (ex)husband of Olga Stepanova was paid for from the same account	Payment orders	18-Jan-08	Payment orders for Kempinski apartments in Dubai for Ms Anisimova	D1849.pdf
Olga Dmitrievna Tsareva	28-Oct-56	Deputy Head of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was responsible for the tax refunds	Payment orders were mad for US\$620,784 for a down-payment for Apartment 116 at Kempinski Resort Palm Jumeirah, Dubai, for the benefit of Olga Tsareva and her daughter. The apartment for the husband of Olga Stepanova was paid for from the same account.	Payment orders	17-Jan-08	Payment orders for Kempinski apartments in Dubai for Ms Tsareva	D1850.pdf
Olga Victorovna Tsymai	03-Mar-67	Head of Tax Audit Department No 1 of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Tsymai of tax refunds	D1868.pdf
Olesya Vladimirovna Shargorodskaya	10-Oct-78	Officer of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Shargorodskaya of tax refunds	D1851.pdf
Olga Victorovna Davydova	14-Feb-60	Head of Tax Indebtedness Department of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Davydova of tax refunds	D1852.pdf
Yekaterina Alexandrovna Frolova	21-Jul-78	Head of Bookkeeping, Reporting and Planning Department of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Frolova of tax refunds	D1853.pdf
Maxim Alexeevich Tretyakov	23-Jun-70	Head of Legal Department of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was responsible for the lawfulness of conduct of the tax office which approved the fraudulent tax refund	Mr Tretiakov gave a testimony to Interior Ministry used to cover up the details of the tax refund approvals and exonerate the officials, and recognise the tax office as a "victim"	M.A. Tretiakov: "OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion submitted request to return from budget excessively paid taxes. After considering these documents, on 24 December 2007 Tax Office No.28 made the decision to return taxes to OOO Parfenion and OOO Makhaon"	27-Feb-09	Testimony by Mr Tretiakov to the Interior Ministry	D1634.pdf
Svetlana Vladimirovna Dubrovskaya	27-Oct-61	Head of Tax Audit Department No 4 of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was involved in the fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Ms Dubrovskaya gave testimony to the Interior Ministry under a case used to cover up the details of the tax refund approvals and exonerate the officials	S.V. Dubrovskaya: "Based on the information on overpayment of income tax in accordance with the registrars of tax payers and in response to their request, the decision to rebate the overpayment of taxes were made"	30-Jul-09	Testimony by Ms Dubrovskaya	D1633.pdf
Raisa Ivanovna Burmistrova	19-Oct-49	Head of Tax Indebtedness Department of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Burmistrova of tax refunds	D1854.pdf

Alexandra Kuzminichna Kuznetsova	27-Nov-55	Head of Bookkeeping, Reporting and Planning of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Kuznetsova of tax refunds	D1855.pdf
Yulia Mikhailovna Koltunova	27-Apr-78	Head of Tax Audit Department of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Koltunova of tax refunds	D1856.pdf
Natalia Mikhailovna Troshina	12-Jul-86	Officer of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Troshina of tax refunds	D1857.pdf
Sergei Yurievich Zhemchuzhnikov	06-Oct-68	Deputy Head of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, was involved in the fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Ms Zhemchuzhnikov gave a testimony to Interior Ministry used to cover up the details of the tax refund approvals and exonerate the officials	S.Y. Zhemchuzhnikov: "I in my office obtained an explanation from head of OOO Rilend Kurochkin, who explained that it was he who is the head of OOO Rilend, that it was he who signed with the counterparty of OOO Rilend the contracts, the failure to fulfill which has lead to the reduction of the taxable base of OOO Rilend, that he signed the amended tax return."	30-Jul-08	Testimony by Mr Zhemchuzhnikov	D1842.pdf
Federal Penal Service (FSIN)										
Eduard Viktorovich Petrukhin	25-Apr-63	Deputy Director, General Lt	FSIN	A	In his role as one of the most senior officials in the Federal Penal Service, authorised the transfer of Mr Magnitsky to a new detention center with no medical facilities	Mr Petrukhin authorised to transfer Mr Magnitsky to a new detention center, Butyrka, in July 2009. By this time Mr Magnitsky had been held, in addition to Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, in the temporary holding facility of the Moscow Interior Ministry (IVS 1) twice, and detention center No 5 where he had also been transferred between cells on numerous occasions. Mr Magnitsky was transferred to Butyrka which did not have medical facilities equipped to monitor or treat pancreatitis and gallstones that he had been diagnosed with in detention	E.V. Petrukhin to acting head of FBU SIZO 1 D.I. Vasiliev: "[I] Allow to transfer accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, from FBU SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia [Matrosskaya Tishina] to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] for further detention in custody. Acting director, General Lt of internal service. E.V.Petrukhin"	15-Jul-09	Authorisation letter by Mr Petrukhin to transfer Mr Magnitsky to Butyrka	D1500.pdf
Vladimir Ivanovich Semenyuk		Deputy Director, General Lt	FSIN	A	In his role as a senior official of the Federal Penal Service, was point of contact for the Interior Ministry to authorise Mr Magnitsky's transfer to a new detention center	Mr Semenyuk was point of contact for the Interior Ministry in organising a new transfer of Mr Magnitsky, to a new detention center, in April 2009, justified by the need to carry out "operations" and investigative activities	"Dear Vladimir Ivanovich!...Due to the necessity to ensure the regime of isolation, conduct operations and investigative activities and the significance of the criminal case I request to allow the detention during the period of preliminary investigation of accused S.L. Magnitsky in FBU SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia. Deputy Head [of the Interior Ministry' Investigation Committee] General Major of Justice O.V. Logunov"	April 2009	Letter to Mr Semenyuk from Interior Ministry General Logunov concerning Mr Magnitsky's transfer	D1501.pdf

Viktor Alexandrovich Gorchakov	03-Dec-68	Deputy Head of Butyrka in charge of Operations Unit	FSIN	A	In his position as one of the most senior officials in Butyrka, in charge of Operations Unit, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody and transfers between cells	The accusation of Mr Kratov identifies Mr Gorchakov as visiting a cell where Mr Magnitsky was held in detention. As most senior official in charge of Operations Unit, Mr Gorchakov was responsible for the conditions of Mr Magnitsky in custody and his ill-treatment at Butyrka	"Protocol of 14 May 2010 of review of journals of visits by management of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow of the detention buildings. During the examination ... a record identified from 29 July 2009, indicating that during the visit of building No 8 by the management of the facility, including D.V. Komnov, V.A. Gorchakov, D.B. Kratov, A.V. Dyuryagin - an entry was made: "No 267 Magnitsky - release from warehouse the boiler."	28-Dec-12	Kratov Accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky's death naming Mr Gorchakov	D1836.pdf
Andrei Mikhailovich Yaroshenko	10-Dec-85	Operative	FSIN	A	In his position as operative at Butyrka, was responsible for the transfer of Mr Magnitsky between cells on 1 September 2009	Mr Yaroshenko was responsible for the transfer of Mr Magnitsky between cells at Butyrka on 1 September 2009. The circumstances of this particular transfer were noted as unexplained in the official records. Mr Yaroshenko was questioned for the first time about the circumstances of the transfer nearly two years after Mr Magnitsky's death and said he could not recall the transfer details	"Question [from investigator]: from the cell card of S.L. Magnitsky follows that on 1 September 2009 you gave an order to transfer S.L. Magnitsky from cell 267 to cell No 59 of FBU IZ-77/2 UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. Please explain what were the circumstances and what caused the transfer of S.L. Magnitsky from cell No 267 to cell No 59 of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 1 September 2009? Answer [by A.M. Yaroshenko]: I don't recall the actual fact of transfer of S.L. Magnitsky from cell No 267 to cell No 59 of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 1 September 2009, and I do not recall what the transfer was connected to and what caused it...It has been a long time since the moment of the transfer."	05-Oct-11	Testimony by Mr Yaroshenko on transfer of Mr Magnitsky	D1524.pdf
Matvei Fedorovich Selnitsyn	18-May-82	Operative	FSIN	A	In his role as operative at Butyrka, was responsible for the "operational monitoring" of Mr Magnitsky's cell	Mr Selnitsyn was responsible for "operational monitoring" of the cell where Mr Magnitsky was held in detention	M.V.Selnitsyn: "During the period of detention of S.L. Magnitsky in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow in the cell in my operational service, no complaints came from the latter orally or in the written form about the state of health, non-provision of medical care..."	15-Jun-10	Testimony by Mr Selnitsyn on operational monitoring of Mr Magnitsky	D1538.pdf
Ochir Aduchievich Sangadzhigoryaev	19-Jun-60	Head of Organisation and Analytical Section	FSIN	A	In his role of a senior official at Butyrka detention center, was responsible for ignoring and covering up the ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky's in custody	19 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Sangadzhigoryaev prepared a report on Mr Magnitsky's conditions in custody signed by his superior, head of Butyrka Detention Center Mr Komnov. The report was sent to the prosecutor's office in response to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, and claimed that no violations had occurred and that Mr Magnitsky had allegedly been regularly examined and treated, covering up the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in detention	Report prepared by Mr Sangadzhigoryaev to Prosecutor Zakharov in the Moscow Office: "I report that a probe was carried out into the complaint of lawyer D.V. Kharitonov about the conditions of detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow [Butyrka] of accused Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky, no violations of the law in actions of the administration of the facility have occurred...The current legislation does not stipulate the provision of hot tap water in cells to persons held in custody... On 7.10.09 ...his diagnosis was: osteochondrosis..., gallstones, cholecystitis and pancreatitis. ..He is undergoing treatment in the hospital of therapeutic unit of the medical section of the facility...Currently, his general state of health is satisfactory. The treatment is done in accordance with prescriptions of treating doctor, medical examinations are conducted regularly..."	27-Oct-09	Report prepared by Mr Sangadzhigoryaev on Mr Magnitsky's rights in detention	D1522.pdf
Elena Valentinovna Chepeleva	05-Aug-67	Nurse	FSIN	A	In her role as nurse at Butyrka, was responsible for the initial examination of Mr Magnitsky's on arrival to Butyrka and organising his treatment in accordance with prescriptions which were never carried out	Ms Chepeleva claimed to have examined Mr Magnitsky on arrival to Butyrka detention center where he was transferred on 25 July 2009 from Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, and to have found him "healthy," in spite of the diagnosis of pancreatitis, cholecystitis and gallstones identified at Matrosskaya Tishina, and the prescription of a repeat medical ultrasound, planned surgery, and a course of medicines, stated in his medical record, but which were not provided at Butyrka	Kratov Verdict: "On 25 July 2009, ...S.L. Magnitsky arrived to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow [Butyrka], and the diagnosis was established to him: "practically healthy", which was found to be confirmed among others during the examination by a paramedic of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow E.V. Chepeleva."	28-Dec-12	Kratov Verdict as not guilty of negligence for Mr Magnitsky's death naming Ms Chepeleva	D1530.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission noted a discrepancy between Ms Chepeleva's claims of examining Mr Magnitsky's and the record of his letters refuting it	Conclusion by POC: "During the meeting with members of the POC, Dmitry Komnov, Head of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center said that upon arrival on July 25, 2009, Magnitsky was examined by paramedic Chepeleva. He presented no complaints. He did not complain of heart troubles. Whereas Magnitsky, in his statements, points out that upon his arrival to Butyrka he had no medical examination. One is surprised by paramedic Chepeleva's statement that Magnitsky did not complain of anything during the initial examination. In any case, he was to mention the need to have a second ultrasound."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Ms Chepeleva	D1822.pdf

D.I.Vasiliev		Acting head of FBU SIZO 1	FSIN	A	In his role as acting head of federal detention center SIZO 1 Matrosskaya Tishina, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's treatment in detention	Mr Vasiliev was responsible for issuing a reprimand to Mr Magnitsky for not keeping hands at the back while being escorted for a medical examination	D.I. Vasiliev: "In accordance with Article 38 of the Federal Law On Detention...for the violation of Rules of internal order of detention center expressed in the violation of rules of conduct of suspects and accused, and specifically that on 10.07.2009 accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, during movement in the regime building of the facility did not keep his hands at the back, did not react to the reprimands from employees of the detention center who escorted him, order: 1. To announce a reprimand to accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich born 1972	16-Jul-09	Penalty imposed by Mr Vasiliev on Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1540.pdf
Yuri Anatolievich Delov	28-Mar-80	Inspector of internal service of FBU SIZO 1	FSIN	A	In his role as inspector, reported Mr Magnitsky for an alleged breach of internal procedure when being escorted to a hospital	Mr Delov signed a report about Mr Magnitsky's breaching internal procedure by not keeping hands at the back while being escorted to the hospital, resulting in a reprimand to Mr Magnitsky being issued	Y.A. Delov: "I report that on 10.07.2009 during escorting the accused S.L.Magnitsky to the territory of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow, during the movement in the regime building of FBU SIZO-1 did not keep hands behind back and did not respond to reprimands."	10-Jul-09	Report by Mr Delov in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1541.pdf
Viktor Vyacheslavovich Stepanov	24-Jul-75	Head of medical unit, FBU SIZO 1 Lt Colonel	FSIN	A	In his role as senior official of detention center SIZO 1 Matrosskaya Tishina, signed a report about an alleged breach of internal procedure by Mr Magnitsky when being escorted to a hospital	Mr Stepanov signed a report about Mr Magnitsky's breaching internal procedure by not keeping hands at the back while being escorted to the hospital, resulting in a reprimand to Mr Magnitsky being issued	V.V. Stepanov: "I report that on 10.07.2009 during escorting the accused S.L.Magnitsky to the territory of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow, during the movement in the regime building of the Facility did not keep hands behind back and did not respond to reprimands."	10-Jul-09	Report by Mr Stepanov in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1542.pdf
Natalia Mitrofanovna Tarasyuk	18-Aug-60	Senior paramedic	FSIN	A	In her role as senior paramedic, allegedly medically examined Mr Magnitsky on 24 July 2009 one day before his transfer to Butyrka and stamped his medical record to the effect that he was healthy and fit to be transferred, contrary to medical recommendations and diagnoses	Ms Tarasyuk allegedly medically examined Mr Magnitsky on 24 July 2009, one day before his transfer to Butyrka and stamped his medical record to the effect that he was healthy and fit to be transferred, in spite of the previous medical diagnoses issued by doctors of pancreatitis, cholecystitis, and gallstones, and prescriptions of the course of medicines, a controlled ultrasound examination within a month and a surgery stated in the same medical record	Kratov Accusation, page 66: "According to a testimony by senior paramedic of a medical unit of FKU SIZO 1 of FSIN of Russia N.M. Tarasyuk from 16 September 2011..On 24 July 2009, she was at the 24-hour shift duty, during which she carried out an examination of Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky who was leaving the detention center. During the examination, he did not state any complaints about his state of health."	07-Jul-12	Accusation of Mr Kratov for negligence naming Ms Tarasyuk	D1581.pdf
Alexandr Yurievich Kuleshov	29-May-62	Head of Department in charge of Supplies and Procurement	FSIN	A	In his role as one of the most senior officers next to the head of detention center, was responsible for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky	Mr Kuleshov was one of the most senior officers at the detention center during the period when Mr Magnitsky arrived to Matrosskaya Tishina until the time his death was recorded there	"On 16.11.2009 I was responsible on behalf of the management for the facility, my duties included the checks and carrying out of service by employees of the facility. Closer to the evening, I can't say today the precise time, I received a call from a person on duty who said that S.L. Magnitsky, a problem person arrested and held in custody by investigation, who had problem with health was delivered to the collection unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow [Matrosskaya Tishina] from FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow [Butyrka]. I immediately arrived to the collection unit, where I saw S.L. Magnitsky, the medical person, I think it was a paramedic on duty was finishing his examination. S.L. Magnitsky was standing and holding to the metal bar, acted aggressively, this was manifested in shouting, he shouted some phrases which by now I can't repeat, the only thing I recall is that Magnitsky shouted: Why are you all gathered here?" I noted that his forehead was sweating, the face was very pale, the eyes were expanded...Some time later S.L. Magnitsky calmed down, sat on the bench and said that he did not feel very well...to which he was responded again that soon he would be taken up to the hospital. I stayed for some more time at the collection unit, assured myself that the aggression of S.L. Magnitsky passed, he calmed down, following this I left the collection unit. In about 15-20 minutes, a man on duty called me and said that S.L. Magnitsky fell poorly again and that emergency ambulances was called which was on its way...In some more time again a man on duty called me and said that S.L. Magnitsky was transferred to the reanimation unit where he died... Upon receiving this information I went to the duty unit to see his personal file, because there was a need to prepare a special report on the death of S.L. Magnitsky."	16-Sep-10	Record of testimony by Mr Kuleshov on Mr Magnitsky's death	D1551.pdf

M.V. Lapshin		Operative of the Operations Unit, Senior Lt	FSIN	B	In his role as operative of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, carried out an internal probe which recommended to discard the materials of the probe into Mr Magnitsky's death into an archive	Four days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Lapshin issued the conclusion on the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death and recommended to send its materials to an archive ("file")	M.V. Lapshin: "No operational information was received on the signs of violent death or death of S.L. Magnitsky due to unnatural causes. On the contrary, the received materials of the probe indicate that the death of S.L. Magnitsky occurred due to illness. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased; copies of the materials of the probe to be discarded to file of the operational unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. 2. Materials about the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky have been provided on 18.11.2009 ref No 50/2/1-9073 for the adoption of corresponding decision to the investigative unit for Preobrazhensky district of the investigative department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow"	20-Nov-09	Conclusion by Mr Lapshin of the probe on the death of Mr Magnitsky	D1582.pdf
Mikhail Konstantinovich Zakharov	02-Apr-78	Deputy Head of Operations Unit, Major	FSIN	B	In his role as senior official of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, authorised the recommendation of an internal probe to discard the materials of the probe about Mr Magnitsky's death into an archive	Four days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Zakharov authorised the recommendation to send the materials of the internal probe on Mr Magnitsky's death to an archive ("file")	M.K. Zakharov: "Agreed" on the Conclusion of the probe: "No operational information was received on the signs of violent death or death of S.L. Magnitsky due to unnatural causes. On the contrary, the received materials of the probe indicate that the death of S.L. Magnitsky occurred due to illness. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased; copies of the materials of the probe to be discarded to file of the operational unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. 2. Materials about the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky have been provided on 18.11.2009 ref No 50/2/1-9073 for the adoption of corresponding decision to the investigative unit for Preobrazhensky district of the investigative department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow"	20-Nov-09	Conclusion agreed by Mr Zakharov of the probe on the death of Mr Magnitsky	D1583.pdf
Dmitry Fedorovich Markov	02-Sep-67	Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI), Major	FSIN	A, B	In his role as one of most senior officers on duty at Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky before his death and the subsequent cover up	Mr Markov signed the act on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky which indicates also the use of rubber baton. Subsequently it was claimed to be a technical error in the record and that it meant to state that handcuffs had been applied to Mr Magnitsky before his death. The circumstances of the application of restraint were never properly investigated	"Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky	D1601.pdf

					Mr Markov was interviewed by the Public Oversight Commission. During the interview, Mr Markov claimed that Mr Magnitsky had fallen ill during the examination by a psychiatric medical team, when the psychiatric medical team stated that Mr Magnitsky had been already dead by the time they entered his cell	"Testimony by Markov. Markov was accompanied by his deputy, Lieutenant Kuznetsov. When we asked him whether Magnitsky's hands were blue (as it was known from Magnitsky's mother, she found that his hands were damaged), he replied that he saw only signs of handcuffs. He was brought to Matrosskaya Tishina in handcuffs. He came to the entrance department himself. Thirty minutes after fit of psychosis already in the isolation cell, the handcuffs were taken off him, he was normal. Psychiatric emergence came to the room and during the examination he felt bad, he was sitting on the floor, he had obvious difficulty breathing and he was sweating...Testimony by doctor Alexandra Gaus:..Being asked, whether his hands were damaged, she replied that there were signs of handcuffs and it was reflected in medical card. Being asked what psychiatric emergency did, what did doctor do, she said that called for enforcement DPNSI (Fedorovich) who came with approximately eight people. They put handcuffs on Magnitsky's hands. He didn't oppose them, but stayed in handcuffs, looked inadequate and gazed round. After handcuffs she ordered to make him injection in order diminish pain in stomach...Our conversation with Dr. Vitaly Kornilov, the psychiatrist from the emergency ward, (over the phone) helped to understand more in details. The ambulance arrived to the Matrosskaya Tishina Prison at 8 pm, and not at 8.48 pm as was reported earlier by the administration, however, the doctors were not allowed to go through to see the patient, as such they were waiting for an hour. Dr Kornilov further added: "Being the doctors from the Emergency Services, we were waiting in case our help in providing reanimation actions would be requested. Finally we were called upon. We entered into the cell. The patient was lying on the floor lifeless and we concluded that he had already died. There were a number of medical personal there. Then a male doctor came in. I do not recall seeing any female doctor there."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Markov	D1801.pdf	
					Mr Markov co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	D.F. Markov: "Act of Death:..we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occuring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky	D1545.pdf	
Oleg Gennadievich Kuznetsov	11-Jul-82	Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI), Lt	<i>FSIN</i>	A, B	In his role as senior officer of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky before his death and the subsequent cover up	Mr Kuznetsov was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death, justifying it in the official report by the need to prevent bodily harm and suicide, disregarding that Mr Magnitsky was transferred to Matrosskaya Tishina for the official purpose of "urgent admission to a hospital" on account of acute diseases	"Report on the application of handcuffs. I report that on 16.11.2009 at 19hr 30 min. during the conduct of my service at the post of the collection unit I, deputy aid to head of detention center on duty, Lt of internal service, O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of the collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies, used special means in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty, Lt of internal service O.G. Kuznetsov"	16-Nov-09	Report on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1802.pdf
					Mr Kuznetsov signed the record stating the use of handcuffs and referring to the use of a rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death	"Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky	D1546.pdf	

Valentin Egorovich Bazaev	13-Jun-84	Operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow, Senior Lt.	FSIN	A, B	In his role as operative of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was part of the group of officers who admitted Mr Magnitsky to Matrosskaya Tishina and were responsible for his safety, and after Mr Magnitsky's death carried out an internal probe on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky, recommending to discard the materials of the probe into an archive	Mr Bazaev was part of the group of officers who admitted Mr Magnitsky on arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina, and were responsible for his safety. After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Bazaev carried out an internal probe into the use of special means of restraint (handcuffs) on Mr Magnitsky in his last hours of life, and recommended to discard its materials to an archive ("file")	"I, authorised operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow senior Lt of internal service V.E. Bazaev, having considered the materials of the probe into the fact of the use of special means (handcuffs) in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, has determined:...that handcuffs...had been used to stop the unlawful acts, the disobedience to lawful demands of employees of places of detention in custody, and to cause [sic] bodily harm to himself and those around him. The special means were used in accordance with Article 45 of the Federal Law On Detention...and Article 30 On Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies. During the application of handcuffs no breaches of the law have occurred. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased, materials of the probe to be discarded to the file of the regime unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow."	24-Nov-09	Conclusion by Mr Bazaev of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1565.pdf
Alexander Grigorievich Grechkunovskiy	11-Aug-80	Head of Operations Unit, f FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow, Major	FSIN	B	In his role as most senior officer in charge of Operations at Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, after Mr Magnitsky's death authorised to discard the materials of the internal probe on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky before his death	Mr Grechkunovsky approved the decision to send to an archive ("file") the materials of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky in the last hours of his life, finding no violations of the law	A.G. Grechkunovsky: "Agree" on the Conclusion of the probe on the use of special means: "I, authorised operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow senior Lt of internal service V.E. Bazaev, having considered the materials of the probe into the fact of the use of special means (handcuffs) in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, has determined:...that handcuffs...had been used to stop the unlawful acts, the disobedience to lawful demands of employees of places of detention in custody, and to cause [sic] bodily harm to himself and those around him. The special means were used in accordance with Article 45 of the Federal Law On Detention...and Article 30 On Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies. During the application of handcuffs no breaches of the law have occurred. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased, materials of the probe to be discarded to the file of the regime unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of	24-Nov-09	Conclusion agreed by Mr Grechkunovsky of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1547.pdf
Petr Vasilievich Borovkov	03-Sep-75	Inspector, Lt	FSIN	A, B	In his role as officer at the detention center, was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky in his final hours, was responsible for Mr. Magnitsky's safety and the cover up of the circumstances of his death	Mr Borovkov signed as a witness the record stating the use of handcuffs and referring to the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death	"Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act signed by Mr Borovkov on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton	D1803.pdf
Alexei Evgenievich Larin	30-Jan-85	Junior Inspector	FSIN	A, B	In his role as officer at the detention center, was assigned to monitor Mr Magnitsky in the isolation cell where was found dead, was involved in the use of restraints, and the subsequent cover up of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death	Mr Larin signed as a witness the record stating the use of handcuffs and referring to the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death, including the use of special means of restraint shortly before his death	Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act signed by Mr Larin on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky	D1804.pdf
Vadim Alexandrovich Romanov	14-Jul-72	Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI), Captain	FSIN	A, B	In his role as one of the most senior officers in charge of detention center, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's life and health and subsequent cover up	Mr Romanov was on senior shift duty at the detention center during the time when Mr Magnitsky was brought to Matrosskaya Tishina for the "urgent admission to a hospital", was instead handcuffed, placed in an isolation cell where his death was recorded by civilian doctors. Mr Romanov wrote an internal report about the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death covering it up	From Mr Romanov to F. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "Report. I report to you that ...S.Magnitsky arrived approximately at 18:20 from SIZO-2 of Moscow was placed [sic] in the medical room for an examination in the room [sic] was without other detainees under the supervision of junior inspector ...Larin. Approximately at 19:00 he began rioting and he was placed in handcuffs in cell No 4 at the collection unit without other detainees under the supervision of ...Larin. Approximately at 20:00 the handcuffs were taken off, because ...Magnitsky calmed down. Approximately at 21:00 he fell ill and at 21:10 approximately a doctor on duty was called. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center, captain of internal service ...Romanov"	24-Nov-09	Report by Mr Romanov on Mr Magnitsky's death	D1549.pdf

N.N. Klimkin	18-Nov-76	Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI)	FSIN	A	In his role as one of the most senior officers in charge of detention center, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's life and health	Mr Klimkin was a senior officer on duty serving during the period of Mr Magnitsky's arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and his death	"Order...1. Appoint for duty from 08:30 on 16.11.2009 until 08:30 on 17.11.2009 duty shift No 4. ...2. Approve the personal composition of duty shift...Deputy DPNSI [Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty] captain of internal service N.N. Klimkin."	13-Nov-09	Decree appointing Mr Klimkin on duty shift	D1552.pdf
Olga Alexandrovna Yurkevich	26-Aug-51	Deputy Chief Doctor	FSIN	A	In her role of senior medical officer at Matrosskaya Tishina, was point of contact for the arranging admission of Mr Magnitsky to a hospital on the day of his death which never took place	Dr Yurkevich at Matrosskaya Tishina was the senior point of contact for Butyrka medical personnel for making arrangements on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life to admit him to Matrosskaya Tishina hospital, where he was never admitted	O.A. Yurkevich: "Ambulance call record...Trigger: Acute pancreatitis. Wait time. Convoy guards. 2hr. 35'. Note: Dr Yurkevich, deputy chief doctor. Admitted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...Diagnosis: Acute cholecystopancreatitis...Dr Gaus..."	16-Nov-09	Ambulance record noting arrangement with Dr Yurkevich on Mr Magnitsky's transfer	D1825.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, Deputy head of Butyrka Kratov described arrangements with Matrosskaya Tishina doctor to transfer Mr Magnitsky for hospital treatment to members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission	Kratov: "I called to Matrosskaya Tishina and said to Olga Alexandrovna (doctor of Matrosskaya Tishina, 'We are transporting a patient to you. It would be nice to examine him. Pancreatitis.'" Alexandrovna: "Does he have pancreonecrosis? (a lethal condition requiring immediate treatment) Kratov: "No." Alexandrovna: "Then why are you transporting him?" Kratov: "Just acute Pancreatitis."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming "Olga Alexandrovna"	D1805.pdf
Alexander Alexandrovich Semenyov	24-Nov-78	Paramedic	FSIN	A, B	In his role as paramedic, had responsibility for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and was involved in the subsequent cover up	Mr Semenyov signed a medical report concerning Mr Magnitsky's bodily injuries on the day of his death	Signature of A.A. Semenyov: "On instruction from head of detention center No 77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow I examined Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, thereby found the following: bodily injuries not identified,."	16-Nov-09	Medical record by Mr Semenyov concerning Mr Magnitsky	D1574.pdf
						Mr Semenyov was present on duty during the last hours of Mr Magnitsky's life at Matrosskaya Tishina and was interviewed on the circumstances after Mr Magnitsky's death by members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission	"Medical Assistant Alexander Semenov (Sasha), in the presence of Head of Medical Department of Matrosskaya Tishina Ibatulina and Head of SIZO Matrosskaya Tishina Tagiev said that he made injection before psychosis. He made an anti-spasm injection and left the room. Returned back during the psychosis, heard that Magnitsky shouted "Where is my stuff?" Psychosis started at 19:00. Psychiatric emergency was called for examination at 19:30. Emergency team arrived at 8.48 pm. When emergency doctors entered to the special cell, Sergei was sitting on the cot, felt very badly with his eyes unfocused. At the time from 7.30 pm up until the arrival of the Emergency team, he was left unattended without medical support. The supervision was conducted by the guard on duty through the little box in the cell door. The guard also uncuffed Sergei. Unfortunately we have not received any answer to our question: whether it is a normal behavior to leave the patient unattended without any medical supervision, due to the fact that medical superiors did not allow medical assistant Sasha to speak. Sasha was looked scared. On our question what he thinks about all of these, he replied that it is a typical event: psychosis and death."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Semenyov	D1807.pdf
Iskander Iminovich Nafikov	20-Feb-67	Doctor on duty	FSIN	A, B	In his role as doctor on duty, had responsibility for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and the subsequent cover up	Mr Nafikov was present on duty during the last hours of Mr Magnitsky's life at Matrosskaya Tishina when instead of the prescribed urgent admission to a hospital, he was placed in an isolation cell where he was found dead by civilian doctors	"Account of Dr Gaus...The doctor on duty, Nafikov, ran in to perform resuscitation procedures. The medical assistant Sasha was conducting resuscitation procedures with the help of special equipment (cushion of Ambu). There were no handcuffs on Magnitsky's hands. At 21:50 heart stopped."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Nafikov	D1808.pdf
Ekaterina Lvovna Vorobieva	22-Oct-62	Nurse, sergeant	FSIN	A, B	In her role as nurse on duty, had responsibility for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and the subsequent cover up	Ms Vorobieva co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky in Matrosskaya Tishina	E.V. Vorobieva: "Act of Death...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Ms Vorobieva	D1578.pdf
Alexei Petrovich Pluzhnikov	17-Jul-61	Head of Building No 4, Captain	FSIN	A, B	In his role as senior officer on duty at the hospital building, co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	Mr Pluzhnikov co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	A.V. Pluzhnikov: "Act of Death...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Mr Pluzhnikov	D1579.pdf

M.V. Romanova		Controller, intern, private	FSIN	A, B	In her role as an intern of duty, co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	Ms Romanova co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky alongside her superior on duty Captain Pluzhnikov	M. Romanova: "Act of Death...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Mr Pluzhnikov	D1580.pdf
David Vakhtangovich Galustov	23-Mar-77	Head of Surgery unit of FBU IZ-77/1 (Matrosskaya Tishina), Senior Lt.	FSIN	B	In his role as head of detention center's surgery unit, signed Mr Magnitsky's postmortem epicrisis used to cover up the circumstances of his death	The medical diagnosis of Mr Magnitsky issued after death ("Epicrisis") at Matrosskaya Tishina was signed by Mr Galustov as head of surgery	"Postmortem epicrisis. Patient Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 0.04.1972...At 21:15 again examined due to the worsening condition of the patient. During the examination by a doctor psychiatrist, the condition of the patient sharply and suddenly worsened - the patient lost consciousness. Began resuscitation activities... Resuscitation activities for 30 minutes without effect. On 16.11.09 at 21.50 stated the biological death...Head of surgical unit... D.V. Galustov"	16-Nov-09	Postmortem epicrisis of Mr Magnitsky by Mr Galustov	D1543.pdf
Anna Nikolaevna Borzova	03-Apr-84	Expert of the Bureau of Judicial Medical Examinationin Moscow	FSIN	B	In her role as a state-appointed post mortem expert, carried out an autopsy of Mr Magnitsky which failed to conduct tests of all internal organs and identify his blood type or examine his medical records from custody	Ms Borzova conducted the autopsy of Mr Magnitsky which failed to test all internal organs, identify blood type and examine his medical records from custody	"Based on the decree issued on 17 November 2009 by senior investigator of the investigative unit for Eastern Administrative District of investigative department of the Investigative Committee of the prosecutor's office of RF for city of Moscow lawyer of 2nd class K.Y. Cherny (SIZO No 1), in the premises of thanatological unit No 11, judicial medical expert of the Bureau of Judicial Medical Examination A.N. Borzova with expert record of less than 1 year, carried out a judicial medical examination of corpse of citizen Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, 37 years old"	17-Nov-09	Post mortem conclusion on S.L. Magnitsky signed by Ms Borzova	D1590.pdf